APPENDIX 9.4

PHOENIX LIFE LIMITED

Abstract of Valuation Report

1. INTRODUCTION

(1) Valuation Date

The valuation relates to 31 December 2008.

(2) Previous Valuation

The previous valuation under Rule 9.4 related to 31 December 2007.

(3) Interim Valuations

No interim valuations (for the purposes of Rule 9.4) have been carried out since 31 December 2007.

2. PRODUCT RANGE

In the remainder of this Appendix and Appendix 9.4A, the products are identified by their current fund and / or their originating fund as defined above or, in respect of products that were already in PLL, by their originating company prior to **either:**

- the 2005 fund merger (see the Company's 2005 FSA Returns):
 - "PAL" Phoenix Assurance Limited
 - "SLUK"Swiss Life (UK) Plc
 - "Bradford" Bradford Insurance Company Limited
- or the 2006 fund merger (see the Company's 2006 FSA Returns):
 - "Alba" Alba Life Limited
 - "BA"Britannic Assurance plc
 - "BRS" Britannic Retirement Solutions Limited
 - "BULA" Britannic Unit Linked Assurance Limited
 - "Century"Century Life plc
 - "PLP" Phoenix Life & Pensions Limited

For other products transferred into PLL that had been transferred previously, the descriptions previously used in the transferor's returns are still used.

The 100% With-Profits Fund previously accepted reinsurance of unitised with-profits group pensions business from Phoenix & London Assurance Limited. This reinsurance has been recaptured as at 31 December 2008 and so this business no longer appears in the Company's returns.

The new business status of each of the with-profits subfunds during the year was:

FUND	STATUS
Alba With-Profits Fund	(d) closed to new business except by increment
Britannic Industrial Branch Fund	(d) closed to new business except by increment
Britannic With-Profits Fund	(d) closed to new business except by increment
Phoenix With-Profits Fund	(d) closed to new business except by increment
90% With-Profits Fund	(d) closed to new business except by increment
100% With-Profits Fund	(d) closed to new business except by increment

The remaining questions are answered in respect of each fund in turn.

Alba With-Profits Fund

3. DISCRETIONARY CHARGES AND BENEFITS

(1) Application of Market Value Reduction

During the year, market value reductions were applied to accumulating with-profits business as described below.

(a) Unitised with-profits business

Terminal bonus and market value reductions as a percentage of unit allocations by calendar year of purchase are shown in the tables below. Market value reductions (negative terminal bonuses) do not apply on maturity or death; the overall terminal bonus is subject to an overall minimum of nil and the minimum payout is the face value of the units. For all other claims, the overall terminal bonus can be negative and a market value reduction of that amount will have been applied to the face value of the units.

Applicable 1 January 2008 to 30 June 2008

Calendar year of purchase of units	Old BLAS		New BL business (Life System Company 4)		
- Parchase of units	(Life System Company 2)				
	Life	Pension	Life Fund	Pension	
<=1992		(3.50)%			
1993	1.00%	(2.50)%	19.00%	29.00%	
1994	4.50%	22.50%	22.50%	34.00%	
1995	11.50%	17.50%	30.00%	42.00%	
1996	8.00%	13.00%	25.00%	35.00%	
1997	5.00%	8.00%	21.00%	28.50%	
1998	1.00%	0.00%	14.00%	19.00%	
1999	(6.00)%	(7.00)%	6.50%	10.00%	
2000	(7.50)%	(7.00)%	5.00%	7.50%	
2001	(3.00)%	6.00%	9.50%	13.50%	
2002	6.50%	10.00%	16.00%	21.50%	
2003	11.50%	15.50%	17.50%	23.50%	
2004	9.00%	12.00%	13.00%	17.50%	
2005	6.00%	7.00%	6.00%	8.50%	
2006	1.00%	2.50%	1.50%	2.50%	
2007	0.00%	1.00%	0.50%	1.00%	
2008	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Applicable 1 July 2008 to 31 December 2008:

Calendar year of		business	New BL bu		
purchase of units	(Life System	Company 2)	(Life System C	ompany 4)	
	Life	Pension	Life Fund	Pension	
<=1992		(5.00)%			
1993	1.00%	(4.00)%	19.00%	29.00%	
1994	5.00%	24.00%	22.50%	34.00%	
1995	11.50%	18.00%	30.00%	42.00%	
1996	8.00%	13.00%	25.00%	35.00%	
1997	5.00%	8.00%	20.50%	28.50%	
1998	1.00%	0.00%	13.50%	19.00%	
1999	(5.50)%	(7.00)%	6.50%	10.00%	
2000	(7.50)%	(7.00)%	5.00%	7.50%	
2001	(3.00)%	5.50%	9.50%	13.50%	
2002	7.00%	10.50%	16.00%	21.50%	
2003	12.00%	16.00%	17.50%	23.50%	
2004	9.50%	12.00%	13.00%	17.50%	
2005	6.00%	7.50%	6.00%	8.50%	
2006	1.50%	3.00%	1.50%	2.50%	
2007	0.00%	0.00%	0.50%	1.00%	
2008	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

(b) Other with-profits business

For the Unitised Capital Guaranteed Fund business, from 1 January 2008 to 13 March 2008 no market value reduction was applied, from 14 March 2008 to 31 December 2008 a market value reduction of 3% was applied.

For the Nestegg (post 1988) business, from 1 January 2008 to 31 December 2008 a market value reduction of 8% was applied.

For the ex BLA / Crusader With Profits Performance Fund business, from 1 January 2008 to 31 December 2008 no market value reduction was applied.

For the ex BLA / Crusader With Profits Pension Fund business, from 1 January 2008 to 31 December 2008 no market value reduction was applied.

For the Assured Growth Scheme business, individual scheme specific market value reductions were applied, where applicable, throughout the year.

(2) Premiums on Reviewable Protection Policies

There are no reviewable protection policies.

(3) Non-profit Deposit Administration

No non-profit deposit administration business is transacted.

(4) Service Charges on Linked Policies

There are no linked policies.

(5) Benefit Charges on Linked Policies

There are no linked policies.

(6) Accumulating With-Profits Charges

There were no changes to unit management charges or notional charges to accumulating with-profits policies since the last valuation.

(7) Unit Pricing of Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(8) Tax Deductions From Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(9) Tax Provisions for Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(10) Discounts on Unit Purchases

Not applicable

4. VALUATION BASIS

(1) Valuation Methods

Subject to the exceptions specified below, liabilities have been valued using the gross premium valuation method. The mathematical reserves were calculated as the value of future benefits and expenses less the value of future expected office premiums. No allowance for future lapses is made except implicitly in setting the per policy expenses.

The mathematical reserve for all accumulating with-profits policies has been calculated as the face value of units, which is the number of units including attaching bonus units allocated up to the valuation date, less a market value adjustment where applicable.

Exceptions:

- (a) No negative reserves have been included and no contract of insurance has been treated as an asset.
- (b) As a result of realised losses brought forward, no provision for the prospective liability with respect to tax on unrealised capital gains on non-linked assets was considered necessary for the purpose of this valuation.
- (c) No specific reserve has been made for investment performance guarantees for property linked and deposit administration contracts, apart from ex-BLAS pension policies in the With Profit Pension Fund, where the 4% guaranteed growth rate has been allowed for.

The With-Profits Fund guarantee on policies arising from BLA is provided for by valuing units at the higher of the underlying asset price or the quoted bid price. (d) A prospective method of valuation has not been used for the following contracts.

Policies previously written in BLA

Economic Mortgage and Low Start Economic Mortgage policies were valued as endowment contracts but with a death benefit equal to the greater of the guaranteed minimum death benefit and the sum assured and declared bonuses.

For group life assurances, the reserve held was the proportion of premium due in respect of the period from the valuation date to the date when the next premium falls due. For schemes where a premium rebate is given on account of favourable experience, an additional reserve was held in respect of the estimated rebate accrued to the valuation date. A reserve was held to provide for claims incurred but not reported.

Inward reassurances of term assurance benefits were valued with the reserve being a proportion of current annual premium, the proportion being not less than one half.

Where extra premiums are payable for assurances, one half of the current extra annual premiums was reserved.

Where the office premium charged was for an age higher than the actual age at entry, in respect of an under average life, the assurance was dealt with according to the rated up age. Any debts imposed on account of extra risks were ignored in the valuation.

In respect of permanent disability benefits attaching to life policies, the whole of the premium received was reserved.

Claims payable by instalments were valued on an interest only basis by discounting the future repayments.

For deposit administration contracts, the valuation liability was taken as the amounts held to the credit of policyholders before adding the current year's bonus interest.

The liabilities of Growth Pension business were obtained by valuing the paid up pensions and annuities in payment secured by each policy at the valuation date.

The reserve in respect of fatal accident benefits was not less than one half of the current annual premium.

In respect of long term permanent health policies, other than those valued on the gross premium method, the reserve held was the proportion of premium due in respect of the period from the valuation date to the date when the next premium falls due, together with additional reserves in respect of the estimated amount of rebate accrued to the valuation date where appropriate.

A reserve was held for claims in course of payment and to provide for claims incurred but not reported.

Policies previously written in BLL

- (i) Group life assurances costed on a unit rate risk premium basis: a reserve equal to the proportion of the premium relating to the unexpired risk subject to a minimum of one quarter of the annual premium is held.
- (ii) Group life assurances associated with pension schemes and costed on a risk premium basis: a reserve equal to 75% of the risk premium is held.

No specific tests of adequacy were considered necessary for the bases used in (i) and (ii) as the underlying premium rates are tested annually for adequacy and the chargeable premium rate is guaranteed for not more than two years in either case.

Policies previously written in BLAS

Liabilities for group term assurance are calculated on the basis of a year's risk cost, the provision being 75% of the office annual premium or the recurrent single premium.

For deferred annuities under the Long Term Accumulation System the liabilities are the aggregate amounts of the deposit accounts, for the schemes concerned as at the valuation date after crediting interest to the daily balances, at the valuation rate.

For deferred retirals the liabilities are the cash options at normal retirement ages accumulated with interest.

In the light of these provisions tests for adequacy were not considered appropriate.

- (e) Other specific reserves have been set up for the following contracts:
 - (i) Growth equity contracts have been valued as non-linked with-profits, plus, on Type A policies only, the full undiscounted value of capital appreciation to the valuation date including the reserve for capital gains tax.
 - (ii) Genesis pension contracts have been valued by a cash flow method for linked benefits and by a gross premium method for non-linked benefits.

The assumptions used in the cash flow method are as stated at the start of this section.

(2) Valuation Interest Rates

The following table shows the valuation interest rates.

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Life Assurance Fund	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
With Profit	2.50%	3.45%
Non Profit	3.85%	4.20%
General Annuity Fund		PHARMACH CO. C.
With profit Deferred Annuities	5.95%	4.45%
Non profit Deferred Annuities	3.65%	5.25%
Immediate Annuities	4.00%	5.30%
Pension Business Fund		
New With Profit AP Deferred Annuities	4.05%	4.15%
New With Profit SP Deferred Annuities	4.05%	4.15%
Old With Profit AP Deferred Annuities	5.95%	4.45%
Old With Profit SP Deferred Annuities	4.95%	4.55%
Non Profit AP Deferred Annuities	3.65%	5.25%
Non Profit SP Deferred Annuities	6.05%	5.70%
Immediate Annuities	4.00%	5.30%
Laserplan	4.95%	4.55%
Group Pension Plan	3.20%	3.90%
PHI Fund		······································
Non-claims	4.00%	4.00%
Claims in Payment	4.00%	5.30%

For deferred annuities, the stated valuation interest rate applies before and after vesting.

(3) Risk Adjustments

For corporate bonds, a deduction is applied to the yield on an individual stock by stock basis. The individual stock risk margins were calculated as a long term average default rate plus an additional allowance for short-term factors and expected deviations from the historic average.

The long term average default rates are:

Rating	5yr	10yr	20yr
Aaa	4.6	13.9	17.1
Aa	19.7	35.5	49.4
Α	31.2	44.8	59.4
Baa	88.0	109.1	121.0
Ba	268.4	284.9	288.5
В	599.9	524.4	425.4
Caa	1,053.9	757.1	629.3

A number of different techniques are then employed to arrive at an additional haircut, namely.

- For bank subordinated debt, a higher risk is proposed to be recognised by imposing a nil recovery rate on the above default rates
- Stocks were 'notched' downwards where the credit rating was considered to be inappropriate (after analysis of the current market spread and other factors).
- Finally, an additional haircut to around 10% of stocks, which was based on a stock-by-stock analysis of abnormal default or coupon deferment risk. To avoid spurious precision, the addition applied was a doubling of the base haircut in most cases. In some cases, the adjustment was lower and in a few cases much higher, where a default had either effectively happened or was considered extremely likely.

An additional prudence has then be applied to all but the "already defaulted" stocks by increasing the risk margin deduction by 25%.

For the one individual property held by the fund the yield assumed is the weighted average of 80% of the property yield and 20% of the 2.5% consols yield.

For the property unit trust a deduction of 50 basis points is made to the yield to allow for the risk of default. This deduction is based on the property portfolio underlying the unit trust and is based on the difference between the risk adjusted yield as calculated above and the yield with no adjustment for risk.

(4) Mortality Basis

Product Type	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	Table	Table
Life Fund Permanent Assurances	94% AM92	94% AM92
	94% AF92	94% AF92
Term Assurances	94% TM92	94% TM92
	94% TF92	94% TF92
GAF Annuities	90% Modified IMA92	95% Modified IMA92
	90% Modified IFA92	90% Modified IFA92
PBF Annuities	90% Modified PMA92	95% Modified PMA92
	90% Modified PFA92	90% Modified PFA92
PBF Deferred Annuities (In deferment)	64% AM92	64% AM92
	64% AF92	64% AF92
GAF Deferred Annuities (In deferment)	64% AM92	64% AM92
	64% AF92	64% AF92
PBF Deferred Annuities (In Payment)	90% Modified PMA92	95% Modified PMA92
	90% Modified PFA92	90% Modified PFA92
GAF Deferred Annuities (In Payment)	90% Modified IMA92	95% Modified IMA92
	90% Modified IFA92	90% Modified IFA92
	Percent of Premium	Percent of Premium
Group Pensions % of premium	0.15%	0.15%
Group Life % of premium	70%	70%

Annuities in payment use the following improvement factors:

Male	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
40	1.55%					<u> </u>
50	1.91%	1.80%				
60	2.80%	2.04%	2.00%			
70	3.65%	2.78%	2.36%	2.00%		
80	3.27%	2.93%	2.61%	2.16%	2.00%	
90	1.67%	1.85%	2.29%	2.12%	1.92%	1.90%
100	1.00%	1.05%	1.30%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%
Female	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
40	1.34%					· A. A. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
50	1.87%	1.56%	***************************************			
	1.07.70	1.50 /6				
60	2.40%	1.91%	1.80%			
60 70			1.80% 1.96%	1.80%		
	2.40%	1.91%		1.80% 1.97%	1.74%	
70	2.40% 2.81%	1.91% 2.36%	1.96%		1.74% 1.86%	1.45%

Expectation of life resulting from these improvement factors:

	Current	Expectation of life from	Curre	nt Year	Previo	us Year
	Age	Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
Immediate	65	65	24.65	26.01	24.04	25.88
annuities	75	75	14.82	15.69	14.28	15.58
Deferred	45	65	27.63	28.79	27.12	28.69
annuities	55	65	26.01	27.36	25.46	27.24

(5) Morbidity Basis

Not required as below de minimis level.

(6) Expense Basis

The following table shows the gross attributable expenses per policy.

Product Group		Per Policy	Expense
		Current	Previous
		Valuation	Valuation
		£	2
CWP savings endowment (product code 120)	RP	70.61	63.32
	PUP	35.31	31.66
CWP target cash endowment (125)	RP	70.61	63.32
	PUP	35.31	31.66
CWP pensions (155/165)	RP	117.69	105.53
	SP/PUP	35.31	31.66
Term assurance (325 / 330)		42.37	37.99
Income protection (360 / 365)		68.26	61.21
Income protection claims in payment (385)		0.00	0.00
Annuity (400)		42.37	37.99
UWP savings endowment (510)	RP	70.61	63.32
<u> </u>	PUP	35.31	31.66
UWP target cash endowment (515)	RP	70.61	63.32
	PUP	35.31	31.66
UWP regular premium pension (525/545)	RP	117.69	105.53
	PUP	35.31	31.66
UWP single premium pension (525/545)		35.31	31.66
UWP group regular premium pension (525/545)		75.87	71.58
UWP group single premium pension (525/545)		75.87	71.58

where:

RP Regular premium policies

SP Single premium policies

PUP Paid up policies

The expenses on life business are netted down for tax at 20%.

There are no zillmer adjustments for the policies to which the above expenses apply.

(7) Unit Growth Rates And Inflation Assumptions

There are no unit growth rate assumptions as there is no linked business.

Future expenses are assumed to increase at 2.1% p.a. for conventional contracts administered by Capita. In addition, expenses are assumed to increase by 9.3% followed by RPI+1% p.a. after the end of the agreement with Capita in 2013.

The following rates are used for conventional contracts (excluding immediate annuities) administered by Pearl Group Management Services:

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
2009	3.1%	5.9%
2010	4.2%	6.3%
2011	3.9%	7.1%
2012	(0.3)%	8.5%
2013	(0.1)%	9.2%
2014	(0.6)%	8.3%
2015	15.2%	(19.4)%

and at RPI+1% p.a. thereafter.

The following rates are used for immediate annuities administered by PGMS:

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
2009	RPI + 6.0%	5.9%
2010	RPI + 6.0%	6.3%
2011	RPI + 6.0%	7.1%
2012	RPI + 1.0%	8.5%
2013	RPI + 1.0%	9.2%
2014	RPI + 1.0%	8.3%
2015	(10.1)%	(19.4)%

and at RPI+1% p.a. thereafter.

Individual accumulating with-profits business written after 1 January 1995 and corporate accumulationg with-profits business inflate at RPI+1% p.a.

(8) Future Bonus Rates

The company is a realistic basis life firm and as such, in accordance with INSPRU 1.2.9(R), no allowance has generally been made for future reversionary bonuses.

(9) Persistency Assumptions

It is assumed that there are no lapses or surrenders and no policies are made paidup after the valuation date (but see paragraph 4 (10) below).

(10) Other Material Assumptions

Expense assumptions do make an implicit allowance for the effect of expected future lapses. The inflation assumptions set out in paragraph 4 (7) have been adjusted to allow implicitly for lapses.

Provision has been made in the value of liabilities held for guaranteed benefits included in the terms of contracts in force at the valuation date.

For with-profits contracts, unitised with-profits contracts and with-profits deposit administration contracts the excess of the annual premium over the net premium not required to meet expenses is available to provide future bonuses.

For accumulating with-profits contracts, the published reserve basis applies a market value adjustment where one applies in practice.

(11) Allowance for Derivatives

No contracts have liabilities that have been calculated by reference to derivative assets. We have a holding of swaptions to hedge against the risk of interest rate falls affecting the guaranteed annuity option reserves.

(12) Effect of Basis Changes

There have been no changes in valuation methodology arising from changes in INSPRU valuation rules effective from 31 December 2006.

5. OPTIONS AND GUARANTEES

(1) Guaranteed Annuity Rate Options

For contracts with benefits expressed as cash but which have a guaranteed minimum annuity rate the reserve was calculated assuming that the benefit at maturity was the higher of:

- (i) the cash amount, and
- (ii) the value of the guaranteed annuity, using mortality rates appropriate for deferred annuities and the valuation interest rate as shown for that contract (but subject to a maximum of the re-investment rate).

It is assumed that 95% of policyholders exercise the guaranteed annuity option and that 20% of policyholders exercising the option take the maximum tax free cash.

Product Name	GAO	Min	Max	Guaranteed	Type of	Retirement
	Reserve	Duration	Duration	Annuity Rate	annuity	Age
	£m	years	years	% cash sum		
Indiv Arr 1st and	7.0	0.0	29.0	6.0	Joint Life 50%	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	6.3	0.0	22.0	3.9	Joint Life 50%	60.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	5.6	0.0	27.0	5.3	Joint Life 50%	61.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	6.8	0.0	27.0	4.9	Joint Life 50%	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	2.3	0.0	26.0	4.5	Joint Life 50%	62.0
2nd Series					9	
Indiv Arr 1st and	5.6	0.0	29.0	8.0	Joint Life 50%	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	4.1	0.0	28.0	7.6	Joint Life 50%	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	4.2	0.0	27.0	6.4	Joint Life 50%	64.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	2.3	0.0	19.0	9.5	Joint Life 50%	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	2.2	0.0	26.0	9.5	Single Life	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	1.6	0.0	26.0	8.8	Single Life	62.0
2nd Series	,		4			
Indiv Arr 1st and	0.6	0.0	25.0	7.3	Single Life	60.0
2nd Series					_	
Indiv Arr 1st and	0.6	0.0	18.0	11.1	Single Life	65.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	0.6	0.0	21.0	7.3	Joint Life 50%	61.0
2nd Series						
Indiv Arr 1st and	0.5	0.0	22.0	7.0	Joint Life 50%	60.0
2nd Series			·	•		
Seda Series 3 -	14.7	0.6	35.4	5.6	Single Life	75.0
Individual					-	
Seda Series 3 -	1.4	2.6	35.4	6.8	Single Life	75.0
Individual						

A portfolio of swaptions is held to hedge against the risk of falling interest rates.

(2) Guaranteed Surrender and Unit-linked Maturity Values

Guaranteed surrender values – the reserves for these do not exceed the materiality limits.

(3) Guaranteed Insurability Options

Guaranteed insurability options - the reserves for these do not exceed the materiality limits.

(4) Other Guarantees and Options

(a) Provision was made for any guarantees and options (other than investment performance guarantees) on the following basis.

Flexible endowment policies were valued at the higher of the net liabilities assuming maturity at:

- (i) the next early maturity option with the relevant guarantees, or
- (ii) the maximum term.

Conversion and guaranteed insurability options under convertible term policies have been provided for by increasing reserves over those that would have been required for term policies.

Policies previously written in BLAS

Mortality reserves in respect of guaranteed and other death benefits were set up as follows:

Pre 1982 series. Assuming a 25% fall in unit values, very few contracts would have a sum assured at risk. A nominal reserve is held.

Post 1981 series and pension business. With the exception specified below, the reserve is twice the monthly risk charge for the relevant benefits, adjusted for extra premiums.

The reserve set up for waiver of premium benefits under the Personal Pension Plan is the accumulation of premiums paid subject to a maximum of three years' premium, adjusted for extra premiums.

For permanent health insurance benefits the reserve set up is the accumulation of premiums paid subject to a maximum of three months' premiums, adjusted for extra premiums.

- (b) For contracts with benefits expressed as an annuity but which have an option to secure a cash fund the reserve was calculated assuming that the benefit at maturity was the higher of:
 - (i) the annuity applied to the guaranteed cash option, and
 - (ii) the value of the annuity, using mortality rates appropriate for deferred annuities and the valuation interest as shown for that contract (but subject to a maximum of the reinvestment rate).

It is assumed that 95% of policyholders exercise the guaranteed annuity option and that 20% of policyholders exercising the option take the maximum tax free cash.

6. EXPENSE RESERVES

(1) Aggregate Expense Loadings

The aggregate amount of expense loadings, grossed up for taxation where appropriate, expected to arise during the 12 months after the valuation date from implicit and explicit reserves made in the valuation to meet expenses in fulfilling contracts in force at the valuation date is £11.7m. This is composed of the following elements.

	£m
Explicit allowances for investment expenses	0.0
Explicit allowances for other maintenance expenses	13.0
Implicit allowances	1.8

(2) Implicit Allowances

Implicit allowances cover investment expenses and are allowed for by a reduction in the valuation interest rate based on the rates actually charged by Ignis Asset Management.

Fixed interest	0.08%
Property	0.35%
Cash	0.03%

(3) Form 43 Comparison

The maintenance expenses are not significantly different from line 14 of Form 43.

(4) New Business Expense Overrun

As the office is closed to new business the expense incurred is not a material amount and as a result, it was not deemed necessary to hold a further specific reserve.

(5) Maintenance Expense Overrun

Specific expense reserves have not been calculated for LASPEN Managed Fund contracts.

As the basis of charging for both administrative and investment management services can be varied outside the period of guarantee, which covers only the first three years following the commencement of a policy, no explicit provision for future expenses was deemed necessary.

An additional reserve of £2.4m has been set up in respect of the Capita contract to allow for the potential cost of renegotiating the contract at the renewal date. No such reserve is considered necessary in respect of the Pearl Group Management Services contract since the contract allows for Alba IT costs to fall to Britannic With-Profits business unit cost levels, which has not been allowed for in the expense assumptions.

No additional allowance has been made for redundancy costs or management service agreement termination costs.

(6) Non-attributable expenses

Not applicable - all expenses are treated as attributable.

7. MISMATCHING RESERVES

(1) Analysis of Reserves by Currency

	·	Matching	Assets	
	Liabilities *	Same Currency	Other Currency	Mismatching Percentage
Currency	£m	£m	£m	
Sterling (£)	1,637.7	1,623.2	14.5	0.9%
Euro (€)	73.6	71.5	2.1	2.9%
US (\$)	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0%

^{*} Includes liabilities in respect of the deposits received from reinsurers as shown in Form 14.

The Alba With-Profits Fund has in total UK sterling denominated assets to the value of 99.63% of its UK sterling liabilities. The company has US Dollar assets to the value of 153.12% of its US Dollar liabilities and Euros assets to the value of 114.68% of its Euro liabilities.

The returns provided to Euro and US dollar with-profits policyholders are determined by reference to the same assets as are used for its sterling with-profits policies.

(2) Other Currency Exposures

"Other currency" grouping was not required in paragraph 7 (1).

(3) Currency Mismatching Reserve

No explicit currency mismatching reserve is held.

(4) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.16(R)

Not applicable

(5) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.23(R)

Not applicable

(6) Resilience Capital Requirement

Not applicable

(7) Additional Reserves Arising From INSPRU 1.1.34(2)(R)

No reserve is required for mismatching. Liabilities are analysed by duration and currency and the investment strategy is chosen appropriately so that there is no significant mismatch between assets and liabilities.

8. OTHER SPECIAL RESERVES

The special reserves exceeding the lesser of £10m and 0.1% of total mathematical reserves are as follows:

Description	Reserve
	£m
Future projects and issues	17.5

Future projects and issues reserve

Reserve for additional liabilities which may arise in connection with data errors affecting the long-term business, future litigation settlements and other similar costs. Calculated with regard to past experience.

9. REINSURANCE

(1) Facultative reinsurance

- (a) No premiums were payable on a facultative basis to a reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (b) No such premiums were payable to a connected company reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.

(2) Reinsurance Treaties

Immediate Annuities (Treaty 1)

- (d) XL Re Ltd (UK Branch).
- (e) The treaty reassures 100% of the liability under the closed book of immediate annuity business covered by the agreement. The assets matching this liability are held in a collateral account over which Alba With-Profits Fund has a charge.
- (f) No premiums were paid by the company under this treaty during the year.
- (g) There is no deposit back arrangement.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations.
- (j) Total mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty amount to £461.7m as at the valuation date.
- (k) There is no retention under the treaty.
- (I) The reinsurer is authorised to transact business in the UK.
- (m) The reinsurer is not connected to the company.
- (n) Loss mitigation techniques have been used to effectively eliminate credit risk through the ring-fencing of reassurance assets.
- (o) No commission is payable on the reinsurance premium.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty.

Immediate Annuities (Treaty 2)

(d) XL Re Ltd (UK Branch).

- (e) The treaty reassures 100% of the liability under the closed book of immediate annuity business covered by the agreement. The assets matching this liability are held in a collateral account over which Alba With-Profits Fund has a charge.
- (f) No premiums were paid by the company under this treaty during the year.
- (g) There is no deposit back arrangement.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations.
- (j) Total mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty amount to £194.3m as at the valuation date.
- (k) There is no retention under the treaty.
- (I) The reinsurer is authorised to transact business in the UK.
- (m) The reinsurer is not connected to the company.
- (n) Loss mitigation techniques have been used to effectively eliminate credit risk through the ring-fencing of reassurance assets.
- (o) No commission is payable on the reinsurance premium.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty.

Non Profit Deferred Annuities

(d) American International Reinsurance Company Ltd (AIRCO)

- (e) The treaty reassures 100% of the liability under the closed book of non profit deferred annuity business covered by the agreement. The assets matching this liability are held in a collateral account over which Alba With-Profits Fund has a charge.
- (f) No premiums were paid by the company under this treaty during the year.
- (g) There is no deposit back arrangement.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations.
- (j) Total mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty amount to £388.4m as at the valuation date.
- (k) There is no retention under the treaty.
- (I) The reinsurer is not authorised to transact business in the UK.
- (m) The reinsurer is not connected to the company.
- (n) Loss mitigation techniques have been used to effectively eliminate credit risk through the ring-fencing of reassurance assets.
- (o) No commission is payable on the reinsurance premium.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty.

Guaranteed Annuity Options

(d) Britannic With-Profits Fund

- (e) The agreement reinsures the cost of meeting guaranteed annuity options not yet vested at the valuation date exercised under with and non profit policies written with a cash benefit and guaranteed annuity option in the Ordinary Long Term fund in return for a premium of 75% of the cost of meeting any such option on the assumption that the option is exercised to the maximum extent and assuming all such options are exercised. The treaty reassures the company's liability in respect of guaranteed annuity options such that Alba With-Profits Fund's liability is restricted to a maximum of 75% of the option.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £3.4m.
- (g) There is no deposit back arrangement.
- (h) The treaty is open to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations.
- (j) Total mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty amount to £14.1m as at the valuation date.
- (k) There is no retention under the treaty.
- (I) The reinsurer is authorised to transact business in the UK.
- (m) The reinsurer is not connected to the company but is a subfund of
- (n) There are no material contingencies, such as credit risk or legal risk to which the treaties are subject.
- (o) No commission is payable on the reinsurance premium.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty.

10. REVERSIONARY (OR ANNUAL) BONUS

Bonus was allocated at the rates set out below at the date of this investigation.

Policies previously written in BLA

		Reversionary Bonus rates for current year		Reversionary Bonus rates for previous year		Total g'tee bonus for current year
	Math reserves	Sum Assured	Bonus	Sum Assure d	Bonus	
Assurances	£m					
UK and overseas sterling life series A,B,H,D,K	174.1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Deferred Annuities					<u> </u>	
Annual Premium Self Employed Deferred Annuities Series 2 & 3	88.7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Single Premium Self Employed Deferred Annuities Series 2 & 3	15.0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Group Deposit Administration Contracts

The following rates were applied to these contracts for the valuation year.

	Nestegg	Nestegg 32
	£m	£m
Mathematical reserve	72.1	9.6
Compounded annual rate for previous year	1.00%	1.00%
Compounded annual rate for current year	1.00%	1.00%
Total guarantee bonus for current year	0.00%	0.00%

Growth Pensions

A total of £0.0m was distributed to policyholders. The mathematical reserves totalled £12.9m.

Growth Pension surplus is normally distributed annually by reference to an allocated share of assets to each group policy. The allocation of assets is adjusted each year according to the amount of new investment made in respect of each policy, and gives effect to changes in market value. Any bonus declared would be distributed to the policyholder as an amount of premium to be re-applied to the policy on "controlled funding" principles.

Bonuses, if payable, would be allocated in each case in respect of each annual premium due since the previous declaration subject, in the case of deferred life annuities (pension business) only, to payment of any premium outstanding at 31 December required to complete payment of a full year's premium.

Policies previously written in BLL

	Math reserve	Basic Sum Assured	Bonus	Basic Sum Assured	Bonus	Total g'tee bonus for current year
		Current	Valuation	1	rious ation	
Life assurance	£m			<u>vaiu</u>	auon	<u> </u>
All classes	100.9	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Non Genesis Annual Premium pension Contracts	12.5	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Genesis Premium Rate Annual Premium Contracts	30.1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Buyouts and Genesis premium rate business Single Premium Contracts.	263.0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Policies previously written in BLAS

	Math reserve	Current	Valuation	Prev Valua		
		Basic Sum Assured	Bonus	Basic Sum Assured	Bonus	Total g'tee bonus for current year
	£m	, , , <u>,</u>				
UK Life Assurance Contracts	100.1					
UK Life Assurance Contracts – LAS low cost endowments	51.7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
UK Annual Premium Pension and Annuity Contracts	99.7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
UK Single Premium Pension and Annuity Contracts	41.0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Republic of Ireland Annual Premium & Annuity Contracts	13.6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Republic of Ireland Single Premium & Annuity Contracts	11.8	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Unitised with-profits contracts – bonus allocated to fund balances in addition to any guaranteed rate.

Bonus Series	Math reserves	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Current Year	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Previous year	Total g'tee bonus for current year
	£m			
Life Fund (0% guarantee)	7.3	0.00%	0.00%	, 0.00%
Pension Fund (4% guarantee)	19.6	0.00%	0.00%	4.00%
Pension Fund (0% guarantee)	37.0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Unitised Capital Guarantee Fund – bonus allocated to fund balances.

	Math reserves	Reversionary Rate for Current Year	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Previous	bonus for
	£m			<u> </u>
Unitised Capital Guarantee Fund	24.0	2.61%	2.88%	0.00%

Britannic Industrial Branch Fund

3. DISCRETIONARY CHARGES AND BENEFITS

(1) Application of Market Value Reduction

There are no policies to which market value reductions can be applied.

(2) Premiums on Reviewable Protection Policies

There are no policies with reviewable premiums.

(3) Non-profit Deposit Administration

There are no deposit administration contracts.

(4) Service Charges on Linked Policies

There are no linked policies.

(5) Benefit Charges on Linked Policies

There are no linked policies.

(6) Accumulating With-Profits Charges

There are no accumulating with-profits policies.

(7) Unit Pricing of Internal Linked Fund

Not applicable

(8) Tax Deductions From Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(9) Tax Provisions for Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(10) Discounts on Unit Purchases

Not applicable

4. VALUATION BASIS

(1) Valuation Methods

All policies are valued using a gross premium method. The mathematical reserves are calculated as the value of future benefits and expenses less the value of future expected office premiums. No allowance for future lapses is made.

The valuation data is grouped for certain policies where premiums ceased some years ago and individual policy data is not available. The data is grouped by age at entry, term and year of entry.

For additional benefits granted under the Industrial Assurance (Life Assurance Premium Relief) Regulations 1977, 95% of the premium relief due for the remainder of the tax year has been deducted from the value of the increased sum assured.

The reserves allow for the maturity guarantee of a return of premiums on certain endowment policies.

(2) Valuation Interest Rates

The valuation interest rates used are:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
With-profit	3.02%	3.00%
Non profit	2.66%	3.00%

(3) Risk Adjustments

For corporate bonds, a deduction was applied to the yield on an individual stock by stock basis to allow for the risk of default. The individual stock risk margins were calculated as a long term average default rate plus an additional allowance for short-term factors and expected deviations from the historic average.

The long term average default rates (in basis points) are:

Rating	5yr	10yr	20yr
Aaa	4.6	13.9	17.1
Aa	19.7	35.5	49.4
Α	31.2	44.8	59.4
Baa	88	109.1	121
Ва	268.4	284.9	288.5
В	599.9	524.4	425.4
Caa	1,053.90	757.1	629.3

A number of different techniques are then employed to arrive at an additional haircut, namely.

- For bank subordinated debt, a higher risk was recognised by imposing a nil recovery rates on the above default rates
- Stocks were then 'notched' downwards where the credit rating was considered to be inappropriate (after analysis of the current market spread and other factors)
- Finally, an additional haircut to around 10% of stocks, which was based on a stock-by-stock analysis of abnormal default or coupon deferment risk. To avoid spurious precision, the addition applied was a doubling of the base haircut in most cases. In some cases, the adjustment was lower and in a few cases much higher, where a default had either effectively happened or was considered extremely likely.

An additional prudence has then been applied to all but the "already defaulted" stocks by increasing the risk margin deduction by 25%.

Equity and property yields are reduced by 2.5% of their yield and cash yields by 0.5% of their yield.

(4) Mortality Basis

The mortality bases are:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
With-profit	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
Non profit	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult

(5) Morbidity Basis

Not applicable

(6) Expense Basis

Product Group		Per Policy Expense Current Valuation		y Expense Valuation
	Premium paying	Non premium paying	Premium paying	Non premium paying
	£	£	£	£
With-profits (105 / 130)	37.85	7.53	35.69	7.10
Non profit (310)	n/a	7.53	n/a	7.10

(7) Unit Growth Rates And Expense Inflation

There are no unit growth rate assumptions as there is no linked business.

The allowance made for expense inflation is:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
With-profits policies	4.04%	4.25%

(8) Future Bonus Rates

No future bonuses are assumed in the mathematical reserves.

(9) Persistency Assumptions

It is assumed that there are no lapses or surrenders and no policies are made paidup after the valuation date.

(10) Other Material Assumptions

All policies subject to a contingent debt have been valued using true ages and the actual sums assured.

The mathematical reserve is not less than the surrender value or transfer value which a policyholder might reasonably expect to receive, but excluding any element relating to final bonus.

(11) Allowance For Derivatives

The assets described in Form 13 contain derivative contracts. These derivative contracts are to manage asset exposure and reduce risk and are appropriately matched. The derivatives do not directly impact the long term insurance liabilities. In

addition there are a few assets having the effect of a derivative contract and these do not impact the long term business insurance liabilities.

(12) Effect Of Basis Changes

There have been no changes in valuation methodology arising from changes in INSPRU valuation rules effective from 31 December 2006.

5. OPTIONS AND GUARANTEES

(1) Guaranteed Annuity Rate Options

There are no guaranteed annuity rate options.

(2) Guaranteed Surrender and Unit-linked Maturity Values

Minimum surrender values are applied to both whole of life and endowment policies in accordance with the Industrial Assurance Act 1923. The mathematical reserves allow for policy surrender values as described in paragraph 4 (8) above. These surrender values exceed the 1923 Act minimum amounts. The amount of the additional reserve included in Form 51 to ensure the mathematical reserve is no less than the current surrender value is shown in the table below.

These policies are conventional with-profits products therefore a market value reduction does not apply. Policyholders may not make increments to these policies.

Product Name	Basic Reserve	Guarantee Reserve	In force premium per annum
*	£m	£m	£m
IB policies	447.6	1.1	30.0

(3) Guaranteed Insurability Options

Not applicable

(4) Other Guarantees and Options

The maturity value of endowments issued from 6 April 1999 is guaranteed to be at least equal to the premiums paid. The method and basis of valuation is described in paragraph 4 above and the guarantee is valued on all relevant policies.

These endowments are conventional with-profits products therefore a market value reduction does not apply. Policyholders may not make increments to these policies

Product Name	Basic Reserve	Spread of outstanding durations	Guarantee Reserve	Guarantee Amount	In force premiums
	£m	years	£m	£m	£m
Industrial Branch	49.4	1 to 17	14.7	98.6	8.0

6. EXPENSE RESERVES

(1) Aggregate Expense Loadings

The aggregate amount of expense loadings, grossed up for taxation where appropriate, expected to arise during the 12 months after the valuation date from implicit and explicit reserves made in the valuation to meet expenses in fulfilling contracts in force at the valuation date is £13.7m. This is composed of the following elements.

	£m
Explicit allowances for investment expenses	0.0
Explicit allowances for other maintenance expenses	12.1
Implicit allowances	0.6

(2) Implicit Allowances

The implicit allowances represent the allowance for future investment expenses. These are calculated as the expected investment management charges based on the current asset mix and yields applied to the mathematical reserves.

(3) Form 43 Comparison

The aggregate expense loading arising in the next 12 months is not significantly different from the maintenance expense in Form 43 line 14 and are shown in the table below.

	F43.14	table 6(1)	ratio
Homogeneous risk group	(a) £m	(b) £m	(a)/(b)
Endowment & whole life	13.8	12.7	109%
Total	13.8	12.7	109%

The expenses in line 14 of Form 43 are higher than the expenses in table 6(1). The number of policies in force is expected to reduce by 14% over the next year as policies mature. After adjusting for this and the valuation expense inflation assumption, the expense loadings are not materially different to the expenses in Form 43.

(4) New Business Expense Overrun

Since the company is not actively seeking new business, there is no new business strain and no additional reserve is required.

(5) Maintenance Expense Overrun

The mathematical reserves include explicit allowance for future expenses inflating in line with the current management services agreements. These expenses exclude future redundancy costs. An additional reserve of £3.8m is established to cover the risk that there is a one-off 20% increase in per policy expenses when the current management services agreement is reviewed.

(6) Non-attributable expenses

Not applicable – all expenses are treated as attributable.

7. MISMATCHING RESERVES

(1) Analysis of Reserves by Currency

All liabilities are denominated in sterling and are backed by sterling denominated assets

Currency	Math Reserves	Matching assets
	£m	£m
Sterling (£)	479.1	479.1
Euro (€)	0.0	0.0
Total	479.1	479.1

(2) Other Currency Exposures

All liabilities are denominated in sterling.

(3) Currency Mismatching Reserve

There is no significant mismatching of assets and liabilities by currency and so no reserve is made to cover this risk.

(4) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.16(R)

PLL is a realistic basis reporting company and as such there is no resilience capital requirement.

(5) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.23(R)

Not applicable

(6) Resilience Capital Requirement

Not applicable

(7) Additional Reserves Arising From INSPRU 1.1.34(2)(R)

No reserve is required for mismatching. Liabilities are analysed by duration and currency and the investment strategy is chosen appropriately so that there is no significant mismatch between assets and liabilities.

8. OTHER SPECIAL RESERVES

The reserve for project issues and other costs is the only special reserve exceeding the lesser of £10m and 0.1% of total mathematical reserves.

Description	Reserve
	£m
Future projects and issues	10.4

Future Projects and Issues

This reserve is a combination of the data contingency, litigation and project costs reserves.

The data contingency reserve covers additional liabilities which may arise in connection with data errors affecting the long-term business and is calculated having regard to past experience.

The litigation reserve is held for future litigation settlements and other similar costs, and is calculated having regard to past experience.

The project costs reserve covers future project costs which are not included in the valuation basis per-policy expenses.

9. REINSURANCE

(1) Facultative reinsurance

Reinsurance

- (a) No premiums were payable on a facultative basis to a reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (b) No such premiums were payable to a connected company reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.

(2) Reinsurance Treaties

There are no reinsurance treaties in force.

10. REVERSIONARY (OR ANNUAL) BONUS

Bonus series	Math reserves	1	Reversionary bonus rate for previous year	Total guaranteed bonus rate for current year
	£m			
Industrial Branch	416.3	0.44%	0.44%	0.44%

Britannic With-Profits Fund

3. DISCRETIONARY CHARGES AND BENEFITS

(1) Application of Market Value Reduction

Market value reductions may be applied to unitised with-profits and smoothed return business for non-protected exits such as surrenders, transfers and early or late retirements. Market value reductions may not be applied for protected exits which generally include, death, maturity, surrender at a guarantee date and retirement at the selected retirement date. The times at which a market value reduction may be applied have previously been fully described in the product range information provided in previous annual returns.

Market value reductions are applied and calculated on an individual policy basis, based on that particular policy's individual circumstances, including the policy's premium history and investment conditions over the duration of the policy and those prevailing at the time of non-protected exit. Consequently a statement of the period for which market value reductions were applied and a summary of the policy years of entry to which it was applied is not available.

During 2008, market value reductions were applied to claims to some degree for all types unitised with-profits and smoothed return business.

(2) Premiums on Reviewable Protection Policies

There are no policies with reviewable premiums.

(3) Non-profit Deposit Administration

There are no deposit administration policies in force.

(4) Service Charges on Linked Policies

There have been no changes to service charges on linked policies.

(5) Benefit Charges on Linked Policies

There have been no changes to benefit charges on linked policies.

(6) Accumulating With-Profits Charges

There were no changes to benefit charges on accumulating with-profits policies in the period.

(7) Unit Pricing of Internal Linked Funds

The internal linked funds are held within the Non Profit Fund.

(8) Tax Deductions from Internal Linked Funds

The tax deductions are described in the Non Profit Fund Section.

(9) Tax Provisions for Internal Linked Funds

The tax provisions are described in the Non Profit Fund Section.

(10) Discounts on Unit Purchases

Not applicable

4. VALUATION BASIS

(1) Valuation Methods

Policies previously written in BA

The valuation methods used to calculate the mathematical reserves for each significant product group are described below. Unless otherwise stated, a prospective valuation method has been used and all policies are valued individually.

Conventional Business

All main classes of conventional business are valued using a gross premium method. The mathematical reserves were calculated as the value of future benefits and expenses less the value of future expected office premiums. No allowance for future lapses is made.

The mathematical reserve for guaranteed annuity option business reinsured from the Alba With-Profits Fund is based on the excess of the value of the deferred annuity compared to the cash amount. The underlying assumption is that 95% of policyholders exercise the option and that 20% of the policyholders exercising the option take the maximum tax-free cash. These assumptions are at least as prudent as the requirement in INSPRU 1.2.66(G).

The mathematical reserve includes reserves for lapsed policies which may be reinstated under the company's non-forfeiture regulations by payment of arrears. The mathematical reserves are reduced by the premium in arrears.

The reserves for contracts providing terminal illness benefit allow for the payment of death benefit a year early and for the loss of a year's premiums.

The reserves allow for the maximum estimated future cost of the concession granted to policies at the time of withdrawal of life assurance premium relief.

The mathematical reserves for the with-profits annuity is the value of projected future cashflows allowing for future annuity payments, future expenses, shareholder profit and loss transfers and tax on future declared investment return distributions. The future annuity payments allow for the smoothing of annuity payments down to the level supported by the valuation interest rate.

Unitised Business

The mathematical reserve for all unitised contracts linked to units in the unitised withprofits fund has been calculated as the higher of (i) and (ii) below:

- (i) The minimum of (a) and (b) below:
 - a. The face value of units, which is the number of units including attaching bonus units allocated up to the valuation date valued at £1.00 each. This is the full value guaranteed at maturity, guarantee date, death, selected retirement age or on withdrawals under the regular withdrawal scheme; and
 - b. The shadow fund value, which is the value of accumulated premiums less policy charges at the earned investment rate.
- (ii) A prospective value calculated by discounting projected future cashflows and allowing for future expenses. In the projection, there is no allowance for future reversionary bonuses. For regular premium paying policies, the reserves are based on:
 - a. 50% of the higher of the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums continue to be paid at the current level and the reserve if premiums increase automatically in line with policy conditions; and
 - b. 50% of the reserve calculated assuming that premiums cease and the policy becomes paid up at the valuation date.

The non-unit reserve for mortality cover for regular premium policies is equal to at least eighteen times the current monthly charge for these benefits.

The mathematical reserve for the overseas with profit bond (series I) makes no allowance for future bonus.

For the smoothed return With Profit Bond invested in Series B2 units, the mathematical reserves allow for future reversionary bonus supported by the valuation interest rate after allowing for the management charges. Allowance is made for the period during which the reversionary bonus rates are reduced to supportable levels after allowing for the smoothed policy value and guaranteed policy value as appropriate and smoothed investment returns.

Mortality charges are not guaranteed and can be varied at short notice. Policyholders would reasonably expect any increases in charges to be justified by significant adverse actual experience. The reserves make no allowance for changes in future mortality charges.

Unit Linked Business

Policies investing in unitised with-profits units may also invest in unit linked units in the Non Profit Fund. The sterling reserves covering future expenses and mortality costs for life policies are apportioned between the Britannic With-Profits Fund and the Non Profit fund in proportion to the unit liabilities. The sterling reserve for pension policies is maintained in the Britannic With-Profits fund. All unit liabilities are held in the Non Profit Fund.

The expense reserves are determined from projected cashflows and are such that no policy experiences future valuation strain.

For regular premium paying business, the aggregate expense reserves are based on 50% of the higher of the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums continued to be paid at the current level and the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums increased automatically in line with the policy conditions and 50% of the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums ceased and the policy became paid up at the valuation date.

Where the annual management fees for the internal linked funds could be increased in the future, policyholders would reasonably expect that any such increase would be justified in terms of the company's own operating experience or external events outside the control of the company. The calculation of the non unit reserves makes no allowance for future increases in operating expenses other than RPI linked increases set out in the management services agreement.

Policies previously written in Century

For with-profits whole life and endowment assurance contracts, the reserve was calculated using the net premium method of valuation with a Zillmer adjustment. The net premiums were limited to a maximum percentage of the office premium as follows:

Ex-NEL With Profit Assurances	95%	
Ex-Prosperity Whole Life Assurances	85%	
Ex-Prosperity Endowment Assurances	95%	
Ex-Sentinel With-Profit Assurances	65%	

Policies have been issued subject to a lien and under certain Endowment Assurance policies the sum payable on death may be less than the sum payable at maturity. In the valuation an amount equal to the sum payable on maturity has been assumed to be the sum payable at death.

The reserves calculated were tested against the guaranteed surrender value and if the latter was the greater then this amount was held as the valuation reserve.

Any negative reserves arising were individually eliminated by reducing the value of the valuation premiums so as to make the mathematical reserves zero.

For policies where the extended term non-forfeiture provision was in operation on the valuation date, a reserve was held to cover the liability during the remaining period of non-forfeiture and, for endowment assurances, any maturity payment at the end of the period.

For lives accepted at non-standard rates, the additional reserve held was an amount equal to 150% of the annual office extra premium.

Non-linked Deferred Annuities

For Ex-Sentinel with-profits deferred annuity contracts the reserve was calculated using the net premium method of valuation. The net premiums were limited to a maximum of 90% of the office premium.

For ex-NEL with-profits 'untied' and 'tied' Deferred Annuities, the mathematical reserve has been ascertained for each policy by deducting from the present value of the cash option and annuity respectively and the present value of an amount not less

than the return on death, if any, the present value of the net premiums receivable. The net premium method of valuation was used, the net premium so calculated being restricted to a maximum of 95% of the annualised office premium. No Zillmer adjustment was made.

For all policies, the premium payment term is an integral number of years and the vesting date is usually the insured's birthday following the end of that period.

The reserve for paid up or single premium policies was increased by 6.25% to provide for future expenses relating to those policies.

(2) Valuation Interest Rates

The valuation interest rates used for the main groups of policy are:

Product Group		Current	Previous
		Valuation	Valuation
Policies previously written in BA			
Conventional Life Business			
With-profits and non profit assurances	discount rate	5.20%	3.00%
With-profits deferred annuity	in deferment	4.00%	3.75%
	in payment	3.55%	3.75%
Conventional Pensions Business			*
With-profits deferred annuity	in deferment	4.00%	3.75%
	in payment	3.55%	3.75%
Non profit deferred annuity	in deferment	3.30%	3.75%
	in payment	3.55%	3.75%
With-profits annuity	discount rate	4.00%	3.75%
Guaranteed annuity options	in deferment	3.30%	3.75%
	in payment	3.55%	3.75%
Unitised Life Business	<u> </u>		
Single premium whole life (portfolio)	unit growth	3.20%	3.00%
	discount rate	3.20%	3.00%
Single premium whole life (series B2, FWL)	unit growth	3.20%	3.00%
	discount rate	3.20%	3.00%
Regular premium endowment - savings	unit growth	3.20%	3.00%
	discount rate	3.20%	3.00%
Regular premium ISA	unit growth	3.20%	3.75%
	discount rate	3.20%	3.75%
Unitised Pensions Business			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Individual pensions	unit growth	4.00%	3.75%
	discount rate	4.00%	3.75%
Overseas Business	······································		
Single premium series I (Irish life)	unit growth	4.00%	3.75%
	discount rate	4.00%	3.75%
Unit Linked Life Business			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Single premium whole life (portfolio)	unit growth	4.01%	4.30%
•	discount rate	3.20%	3.30%
Regular premium endowment - savings	unit growth	4.01%	4.30%
	discount rate	3.20%	3.30%
Unit linked pensions			0.007
Personal Pensions & FSAVCs	unit growth	4.13%	4.80%
	discount rate	4.00%	4.10%
Stakeholder	unit growth	4.17%	4.85%
	discount rate	4.00%	4.10%

Product Group		Current Year	Previous Year
Policies previously written in Century			
With-profits whole life and endowments	discount rate	5.20%	3.00%
Non linked deferred annuity with profits	in deferment	4.00%	3.75%
	in payment	3.55%	3.75%

(3) Risk Adjustments

For corporate bonds, a deduction was applied to the yield on an individual stock by stock basis to allow for the risk of default. The individual stock risk margins were calculated as a long term average default rate plus an additional allowance for short-term factors and expected deviations from the historic average.

The long term average default rates (in basis points) are:

Rating	5yr	10yr	20yr
Aaa	4.6	13.9	17.1
Aa	19.7	35.5	49.4
Α	31.2	44.8	59.4
Ваа	88	109.1	121
Ва	268.4	284.9	288.5
В	599.9	524.4	425.4
Caa	1,053.90	757.1	629.3

A number of different techniques are then employed to arrive at an additional haircut, namely.

- For bank subordinated debt, a higher risk was recognised by imposing a nil recovery rates on the above default rates
- Stocks were then 'notched' downwards where the credit rating was considered to be inappropriate (after analysis of the current market spread and other factors)
- Finally, an additional haircut to around 10% of stocks, which was based on a stock-by-stock analysis of abnormal default or coupon deferment risk. To avoid spurious precision, the addition applied was a doubling of the base haircut in most cases. In some cases, the adjustment was lower and in a few cases much higher, where a default had either effectively happened or was considered extremely likely.

An additional prudence has then been applied to all but the "already defaulted" stocks by increasing the risk margin deduction by 25%.

Policies previously written in BA

Equity and property yields are reduced by 2.5% of their yield and cash yields by 0.5% of their yield.

(4) Mortality Basis

Policies previously written in BA

The mortality bases for the main classes of business are:

oduct Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuatio
Conventional Life Business		
	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
With-profits and non profit assurances	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
With-profits deferred annuity		
in deferment	85% AMC00 ult	85% AMC00 ult
	85% AFC00 ult	85% AFC00 ult
in payment	52% PCMA00	58% PMA92 C202
	58% PMFA00	54% PFA92 C202
Conventional Pensions Business		
With-profits deferred annuity		
in deferment	85% AMC00 ult	85% AMC00 ult
	85% AFC00 ult	85% AFC00 ult
in payment	52% PCMA00	58% PMA92 C202
	58% PMFA00	54% PFA92 C202
Non profit deferred annuity		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
in deferment	85% AMC00 ult	85% AMC00 ult
	85% AFC00 ult	85% AFC00 ult
in payment	52% PCMA00	58% PMA92 C202
	58% PMFA00	54% PFA92 C202
With-Profits Annuity		······································
	Modified PMA 92	Modified PMA 92
	Modified PFA 92	Modified PFA 92
Guaranteed annuity options	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	90% PMA92 MedCoh	95% PMA92 MedC
	90% PFA92 MedCoh	90% PFA92 MedCo
Unitised Life Business	<u> </u>	
	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
Single premium whole life	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
Single premium whole life series B2	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
Regular premium endowment savings	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
Unitised Pensions Business		
	165% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
Individual pensions	154% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
Overseas Business		
t y transport of the second of	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
Single premium series I	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
Unit Linked Life Business		. 1070 711 000 uit
	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
Single premium whole life (portfolio)	110% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
C politions)	110% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
	110% AFC00 ult	110% AWC00 ult
Regular premium endowment (savings)		TIO /O A FOUU UIL
Regular premium endowment (savings) Unit Linked Pensions		
Regular premium endowment (savings) Unit Linked Pensions		110% AMC00 ult
Unit Linked Pensions	165% AMC00 ult	110% AMC00 ult
	165% AMC00 ult 154% AFC00 ult	110% AFC00 ult
Unit Linked Pensions	165% AMC00 ult	

The expectation of life and longevity improvement factors for the with-profits annuity for the current year are:

Representative		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7					
description of	·						
underwriting		Light			Medium	High	Seriously
category	Standard	smoker	Diabetic	Smoker	Impair	ment	ill
Male aged 65	22.25	20.28	20.56	17.40	15.14	13.01	14.87
Male aged 75	14.37	12.98	13.09	11.03	9.43	9.30	11.35
Female aged 65	26.93	25.50	25.46	23.51	21.54	21.19	23.13
Female aged 75	18.31	17.28	17.12	16.05	14.68	15.58	16.06

The expectation of life and longevity improvement factors for the with-profits annuity for the previous year were

Representative				-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
description of							
underwriting		Light			Medium	High	Seriously
category	Standard	smoker	Diabetic	Smoker	Impair	ment	ill
Male aged 65	22.25	20.28	20.56	17.40	15.14	13.01	14.87
Male aged 75	14.37	12.98	13.09	11.03	9.43	9.30	11.35
Female aged 65	26.93	25.50	25.46	23.51	21.54	21.19	23.13
Female aged 75	18.31	17.28	17.12	16.05	14.68	15.58	16.06

The longevity improvement factors used for with-profits annuity for the current year are:

Males	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
40	1.53%					<u> </u>
50	1.95%	1.78%				
60	2.90%	2.02%	2.00%			
70	3.80%	2.88%	2.43%	2.00%		
80	3.16%	2.85%	2.68%	2.20%	2.00%	
90	1.59%	1.80%	2.27%	2.18%	1.96%	1.95%
100	1.00%	1.03%	1.28%	·1.50%	1.50%	1.50%

Females	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
40	1.32%					İ
50	1.93%	1.53%				
60	2.45%	1.95%	1.80%			
70	2.89%	2.43%	1.98%	1.80%		
80	2.18%	2.32%	2.20%	1.98%	1.77%	
90	1.10%	1.53%	2.00%	1.96%	1.93%	1.48%
100	0.78%	1.00%	1.05%	1.50%	1.48%	1.25%

The expectation of life for with-profits deferred annuity for the current and previous year are:

Expectation of life from age 65 for current age	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Male aged 45	43.85	48.30
Male aged 55	35.70	39.52
Female aged 45	45.32	44.27
Female aged 55	36.82	35.78

The mortality tables used for with-profits deferred annuity for the current year are PCMA00/PCFA00.

Policies previously written in Century

The mortality bases used in the valuation of the significant groups of business are as follows

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
With-Profits Whole Life and Endo	wment Assurance	
Base Table	81% A67/70 Ult	81% A67/70 Ult
R6A (peak) AIDS allowance	33%	33%
Age deduction for females	3 years	3 years
With-Profits Deferred Annuity - in	deferment	
Base Table	43% A67/70 Ult	43% A67/70 Ult
R6A (peak) AIDS allowance	33%	33%
Age deduction for females	3 years	3 years

The AIDS projection basis R6A is as reported by the Institute of Actuaries AIDS Working Party. No credit was taken for the margins in the mortality bases used in the scheduled valuation against the levels currently being experienced.

No other reserves for possible detrimental changes in mortality or morbidity rates have been made.

(5) Morbidity Basis

There is not a significant amount of business with critical illness cover.

(6) Expenses

The following tables shows the gross attributable expenses per policy.

Product Group	Per Polic	y Expense
	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	(£)	(£)
CWP savings endowment (120)		
Premium paying	40.89	39.01
Single premium/paid up	20.45	19.51
CWP target cash endowment (125)		
Premium paying	40.89	39.01
Single premium/paid up	20.45	19.51
CWP pensions (155/165)		
Premium paying	68.15	65.02
Single premium/paid up	20.45	19.51
UWP Bond (500)		
Premium paying	0.00	39.01
Single premium/paid up	0.00	19.51
UWP savings endowment (510)		
Premium paying	0.00	39.01
Single premium/paid up	0.00	19.51
UWP pension (525 / 545)		4
Regular premium	0.00	65.02
Single premium/paid up	0.00	19.51
UL bond (700)		
Premium paying	0.00	45.45
Single premium/paid up	0.00	45,45
UL savings endowment (715)		
Premium paying	0.00	45.45
Single premium/paid up	0.00	45.45
UL pension (725)		
Regular premium	0.00	50.79
Single premium/paid up	0.00	50.79

Product Group	% Fund	Expense
	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	%	%
UWP Bond (500)		
Premium paying	0.47	0.00
Single premium/paid up	0.47	0.00
UWP savings endowment (510)		
Premium paying	0.47	0.00
Single premium/paid up	0.47	0.00
UWP pension (525 / 545)		
Regular premium	0.47	0.00
Single premium/paid up	0.47	0.00
UL bond (700)		
Premium paying	0.47	0.00
Single premium/paid up	0.47	0.00
UL savings endowment (715)		
Premium paying	0.47	0.00
Single premium/paid up	0.47	0.00
UL pension (725)		
Regular premium	0.47	0.00
Single premium/paid up	0.47	0.00

The unit linked expenses in these tables are the expenses charged by Pearl Group Management Services. The Britannic With-Profits Fund administers the unit linked policies on behalf of the Non Profit Fund, the expenses being described in the Non Profit Fund section of Appendix 9.4.

The expenses on life business are netted down for tax at 20%.

There are no zillmer adjustments for the policies to which the above expenses apply. The following table shows the zillmer adjustments for other premium paying policies where the reserve was calculated using the net premium method of valuation:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
CWP savings endowment (120)	3.00%	3.00%

The zillmer adjustments on life business are netted down for tax at 20%.

The expense assumptions for deferred annuities and non-premium paying assurances where the net premium method was used were as follows:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	% of reserve	% of reserve
CWP savings endowment (120)	2.25%	2.25%
Deferred annuities (390)	6.25%	6.25%

The expenses on life business are netted down for tax at 20%.

(7) Unit Growth Rates And Expense Inflation

Policies previously written in BA

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Unit growth rates		
Life business	4.01%	4.30%
Pensions business	4.13%	4.80%
Expense inflation		
With profit policies	4.04%	4.25%
Unit linked (1)		all a second and a
PGMS expense charges	3.54%	4.50%
BULA expense charges	2.54%	3.50%

(1) The Britannic With-Profits Fund administers the unit linked element of the unitised policies on behalf of the Non Profit Fund. The expenses charged to the Non Profit Fund are specified in an expense agreement and inflate in line with RPI. Britannic With-Profits Fund is charged an expense per policy in respect of these policies by Pearl Group Management Services; these expenses inflate in line with RPI plus 1%.

(8) Future Bonus Rates

No future bonuses are assumed in the mathematical reserves for conventional and unitised with-profits business other than for minor specific reserves for future bonuses for Century Ex-Prosperity contracts and the Britannic smoothed return with-profits bond invested in Series B2 units.

For the Britannic With-Profits Bond the average smoothed return applicable at 1 January 2009 was 4.81%.

(9) Persistency Assumptions

It is assumed that there are no lapses or surrenders and no policies are made paidup after the valuation date.

(10) Other Material Assumptions

All policies subject to a contingent debt have been valued at true ages and the actual sums assured.

Policies issued subject to an extra premium have been valued at true ages and for policies previously written in BA an additional reserve of one year's extra premium and for policies previously written in Century an additional reserve of 150% of one year's extra premium have been established.

The mathematical reserve is not less than the surrender value or transfer value that a policyholder might reasonably expect to receive, but excluding any element relating to terminal bonus.

(11) Allowance for Derivatives

The assets described in Form 13 contain derivative contracts. These derivative contracts are to manage asset exposure and reduce risk and are appropriately matched. The derivatives do not directly impact the long term insurance liabilities except as described in paragraph 5 (1) below.

(12) Effect of Basis Changes

There have been no changes in valuation methodology arising from changes in INSPRU valuation rules effective from 31 December 2006.

5. OPTIONS AND GUARANTEES

(1) Guaranteed Annuity Rate Options

Policies previously written in BA

The reinsurance accepted in respect of guaranteed annuity options referred to in paragraph 4 (1) and shown on Form 51 relates to the liability arising when the annuities vest in the Alba With-Profits Fund in the future.

Policies previously written in Century

The liabilities for guaranteed annuity rate options were calculated at policy level using a deterministic valuation interest rate. All the policies were significantly in the money at the valuation date and are likely to remain so in the future unless interest rates increase substantially. The value of the guaranteed annuity options is therefore virtually all intrinsic value, and if a stochastic method had been used, the reserves thus calculated would not be materially different from the values reported.

The main assumptions used to value guaranteed annuity options were:

Valuation interest rate p.a.	Pre-vesting	4.00%	
valuation interest rate p.a.	Post-vesting	5.22%	
GAO take-up rate*		95%	
Mortality		As in 4 (4)	
Payment expense allowance		4%	

^{*} The GAO take-up rate is calculated using the assumption that 20% of policies take 25% of their fund as cash at retirement for all outstanding durations.

Details of guaranteed annuity options that were in force at the valuation date are shown in the table below:

Product	Basic Reserve	O/S Durn Spread	Gtee Reserve	GAO Rate	Incrs Yes/No	Ann. Form	Ret. Ages
·	£m	years	£m	%cash sum		-:	A
Ex-Sentinel Dfd.Ann	2.3	1-31	0.7	10.25%	No	*	60-75

^{*}The guaranteed annuity option rates shown are for a male age 65, monthly level annuity, payable in advance with 5 year guarantee period – other options are available.

In general, where policyholders may make increments to the policy, the guaranteed annuity option does not apply to the regular premium increases or additional single premiums.

(2) Guaranteed Surrender and Unit-linked Maturity Values

There are no policies with a unit linked maturity guarantee in force. Details of policies with guaranteed surrender values are described below.

Product	Basic Reserve	Guarantee Reserve	Guaranteed amount	In force premium per annum
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Portfolio investment Bond	219.6	0.0	219.6	0.0
With Profit Bond (Series B2)	11.0	0.0	11.0	0.0
With Profit Bond (series I)	115.9	0.0	115.9	0.0

Portfolio Investment Bond

- (a) The general method and basis of valuation are described in paragraph 4. The policies are valued assuming the benefits are paid as death benefits at age 100 as this is more onerous than allowing for surrender on a guarantee date. No additional surrender guarantee reserve is required.
- (b) (i) product name, (ii) basic reserve, (iv) guarantee reserve, (v) guaranteed amount and (vii) in force premiums are shown in the table above.
 - (iii) and (vi)

On surrender at a guarantee date, with-profits units and bonus units have a guaranteed value of £1.00. In addition, for policies issued from 28 May 1997,

the surrender value at the guarantee date in respect of the with-profits benefits will not be less than the premium applied to purchase those benefits. The guarantee date varies by date of issue of the policy:

Date of issue	Guarantee dates
Prior to 12 July 1994	Fifth and subsequent policy anniversaries
12 July 1994 to 6 April 1999	Fifth and subsequent quinquennial policy anniveraries
From 6 April 1999	Tenth and subsequent policy anniversaries

(viii) No increments can be made to the policy.

With Profits Bond (Series B2)

- (a) The general method and basis of valuation are described in paragraph 4.
- (b) (i) product name, (ii) basic reserve, (iv) guarantee reserve, (v) guaranteed amount and (vii) in force premiums are shown in the table above.
 - (iii) and (vi)

This policy invests in the With-Profits Fund and participates in surplus in the With-Profits Fund by the allocation of smoothed investment returns. Each contribution has two separate values, the smoothed value and the guaranteed value, together with an underlying unsmoothed value. The smoothed value is the contribution increased or decreased by the smoothed investment return net of the initial charge and annual management charge. The guaranteed value is initially 75% of the smoothed value and will be increased such that it is equal to 75% of the previous highest smoothed value.

The full or partial withdrawal value at a guarantee date is the higher of the smoothed value and the guaranteed value.

The guarantee dates are the 5th and subsequent quinquennial policy anniversaries.

(viii) No increments can be made to the policy.

With Profits Bond (Series I)

- (a) The general method and basis of valuation are described in paragraph 4.
- (b) (i) product name, (ii) basic reserve, (iv) guarantee reserve, (v) guaranteed amount and (vii) in force premiums are shown in the table above.
 - (iii) and (vi)

This policy invests in the With-Profits Fund and reversionary bonus vests on a daily basis at a rate declared at the previous valuation date in anticipation of surplus that would otherwise emerge following the previous valuation.

The full withdrawal value at a guarantee date is the full value of the units including reversionary bonus added to date.

The guarantee dates are the 7th and subsequent policy anniversaries.

(viii)No increments can be made to the policy.

(3) Guaranteed Insurability Options

(a) For policies previously written in BA, a reserve of £0.6m is established to cover the cost of guaranteed insurability options. This is calculated as 5% of the office premiums plus 0.1% of the sum at risk for these policies.

No business that was previously written in Century contains any guaranteed insurability, continuation or conversion options.

(b) The total sum assured for policies with guaranteed insurability, continuation and conversion options is less than £1bn.

(4) Other Guarantees and Options

For BA endowments issued from 6 April 2000, the maturity value is guaranteed to be at least equal to the premiums paid. The method and basis of valuation is described in paragraph 4 above and the guarantee is valued on all relevant policies.

Product	Basic Reserve	Spread of outstanding durations	Guarantee Reserve	Guarantee Amount	In force premiums
	£m		£m	£m	£m
Ordinary Branch Endowment	21.5	1-25	6.6	55.7	4.5

Policyholders may not make increments to these policies.

6. EXPENSE RESERVES

(1) Aggregate Expense Loadings

The aggregate amount of expense loadings, grossed up for taxation where appropriate, expected to arise during the 12 months after the valuation date from implicit and explicit reserves made in the valuation to meet expenses in fulfilling contracts in force at the valuation date is £21.7m. This is composed of the following elements.

	£m
Explicit allowances for investment expenses	0.1
Explicit allowances for other maintenance expenses	4.8
Implicit allowances	16.8

(2) Implicit Allowances

The basis for calculating the implicit allowances within 6 (1) is outlined in section 4, the main elements of the calculation being:

- (a) the margin between the office premium and the net premium for prospectively valued contracts where the net premium method has been employed:
- (b) margins expressed as a percentage of certain non-linked reserves e.g. certain single premium and paid up assurances where a net premium valuation method has been employed; and
- (c) allowance for investment management charges which is calculated as the expected investment management charge for the next financial year applied to the mathematical reserves in Form 50.

(3) Form 43 Comparison

The aggregate expense loading arising in the next 12 months is significantly different from the maintenance expense in Form 43 line 14 as shown in the table below:

	F43.14	table 6(1)	ratio
Homogeneous risk group	(a) £m	(b) £m	(a)/(b)
Policies previously written in BA	25.2	20.7	122%
Policies previously written in Century Life		1.0	0%
Total	25.2	21.7	116%

The expenses in line 14 of Form 43 are significantly higher than the expenses in table 6(1). Form 43 includes £4.1m expenses relating to the maintenance of the Wythall Green Property.

The Wythall Green Property expense is exceeded by the rental income from the property by a significant margin and the net amount is treated as investment income in the valuation rather than being included in the valuation expense allowances.

After adjusting for these other expenses the expense loadings are not materially different to the expenses in Form 43.

(4) New Business Expense Overrun

Since the company is not actively seeking new business, except for contractual increments, it does not expect to incur any material strain in writing new business so no additional reserve is required.

(5) Maintenance Expense Overrun

The mathematical reserves include explicit allowance for future expenses inflating in line with the current management services agreements. These expenses exclude future redundancy costs. As described in paragraph 8, an additional reserve of £26.7m is established to cover the risk that there is a one-off increase in per policy charges when the current management services agreement is reviewed.

(6) Non-attributable expenses

Not applicable – all expenses are treated as attributable.

7. MISMATCHING RESERVES

(1) Analysis of Reserves by Currency

The mathematical reserves (other than those for property linked liabilities) are mostly in sterling and are mostly matched by assets in sterling realisable in the United Kingdom.

The table shows the results.

Currency	Mathematical Reserves	Backed by assets
	£m	£m
Sterling (£)	3,663.3	3,663.3
Other currencies	116.9	116.9
Total	3,780.2	3,780.2

^{*} Includes liabilities in respect of deposits received from reinsurers

(2) Other Currency Exposures

See paragraph 7 (1).

(3) Currency Mismatching Reserve

A subfund of euro-denominated assets is maintained in respect of euro-denominated liabilities. There is no significant mismatching of assets and liabilities by currency and so no reserve is made to cover this risk.

(4) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.16(R)

PLL is a realistic basis company and therefore the resilience capital requirement does not apply.

(5) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.23(R)

No applicable

(6) Resilience Capital Requirement

Not applicable

(7) Additional Reserves Arising From INSPRU 1.1.34(2)(R)

No reserve is required for mismatching. Liabilities are analysed by duration and currency and the investment strategy is chosen appropriately so that there is no significant mismatch between assets and liabilities.

8. OTHER SPECIAL RESERVES

The special reserves exceeding £10m are:

Description	Reserve
	£m
Pensions Review Reserve	18.9
Expense Contingency Reserve	26.7
Pension Scheme Risk Reserve	15.0
Future projects and issues	36.4

Pension Review Reserve

This covers the expected additional benefits or compensation payable to certain personal pension policyholders where best advice rules may not have been adhered to.

The valuation basis for calculating the liability relating to the expected cost of guarantees where the value of the personal pension is promised to match the value of the associated occupational pension scheme is consistent with that set out in paragraph 4.

The reserve for other compensation payments is based on prudent assumptions about the expected number of payments and the average compensation amount per case. The assumptions take into account current experience. No discounting to the date of settlement is applied.

Expense Contingency Reserve

This reserve covers the risk that per policy expenses are increased when the management services agreement is reviewed in 2014. The reserve assumes an increase of 20% in expenses at the time of the review, discounted to the valuation date at rates consistent with those set out in paragraph 4(2).

Pension Scheme Risk Reserve

The Britannic With-Profits Fund has a degree of exposure to the risks within the Pearl Group Pension Scheme. This includes exposure to longevity risk and potential pension scheme costs arising when the terms of the management services agreement are reviewed in 2014.

These risks can be mitigated by the scheme surplus in the first instance, but there are some potential liabilities for the With-Profits Fund. It is possible that the scheme actuary will strengthen the longevity assumptions in the scheme valuation at some point to bring assumptions more in line with longevity assumptions in the regulatory valuation increasing the risk.

An additional provision has been established to cover these risks for the regulatory valuation which has been allocated 100% to the Britannic With-Profit Fund, recognising the rapid run-off of the Britannic Industrial Branch Fund and the likely time horizon of any risk crystallising.

Future Projects and Issues

This reserve is a combination of the data contingency, litigation and project costs reserves.

The data contingency reserve covers additional liabilities which may arise in connection with data errors affecting the long-term business and is calculated having regard to past experience.

The litigation reserve is held for future litigation settlements and other similar costs, and is calculated having regard to past experience.

The project costs reserve covers future project costs which are not included in the valuation basis per-policy expenses.

9. REINSURANCE

(1) Facultative reinsurance

- (a) No premiums were payable on a facultative basis to a reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (b) No such premiums were payable to a connected company reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.

(2) Reinsurance Treaties

The relevant reinsurance treaties in force at the valuation date are described below.

- (e) The treaty covers property linked benefits under unitised life and pensions contracts, including stakeholder pensions, on a 100% quota share basis. The treaty is subject to an experience adjustment in respect of pensions business whereby the reinsurance premium to be paid is reduced by the product charges (i.e. management fee and difference between the policy premiums and the bid value of the units allocated) and increased by the policy related, investment management and other expenses the reinsurer incurs in respect of these policies.
- (f) The premiums payable by the insurer during the year are £12.3m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is open to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £336.4m.
- (k) 0% of the property linked benefits relating to new policies being reinsured are retained by the insurer.
- (I) The reinsurer is authorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (m) The reinsurer is not a connected company of the insurer, but is a subfund of PLL.
- (n) There are no material contingencies, such as credit risk or legal risk to which the treaties are subject.
- (o) There is no reinsurance commission payable under the contract.
- (p) The treaty is not a financing arrangement.

10. REVERSIONARY (OR ANNUAL) BONUS

Bonus Series	Math	Reversionary	Reversionary	Total g'tee
	reserves	Bonus Rate	Bonus Rate	bonus for
	,	for Current	for Previous	current year
	_	Year	year	
	£m	%	%	%
Policies previously written in B	Α			
Conventional				
Assurances	356.7	0.431%	0.448%	0.431%
Life Deferred Annuities	15.5	0.647%	0.660%	0.647%
Pensions Deferred Annuities	97.8	0.832%	0.899%	0.832%
Unitised With-Profits				
Life Regular Premium	19.1	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
Life Single Premium	219.8	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
Pensions	2,565.1	1.000%	3.000%	1.000%
Irish life - Euro denominated	115.9	3.750%	3.750%	3.750%
New WP bond (smoothed returns)	11.9	7.410%	6.940%	7.410%
WP annuity	14.1	7.380%	7.120%	7.380%
Policies previously written in C	enturv		· · ·	
Ex - Sentinel				
Simple Bonus	10.9	4.75%	4.75%	4.75%
Compound - assurances	4.6	3.80%/3.80%	3.80%/3.80%	3.80%/3.80%
Compound - deferred annuities	2.3	3.80%/3.80%	3.80%/3.80%	3.80%/3.80%
Ex-NEL				
Simple Bonus	30.0	6.00%	6.00%	6.00%
Compound Bonus	19.4	6.00%	6.00%	6.00%
Ex-Prosperity				
All contracts	5.2	3.35%/4.00%	3.35%/4.00%	3.35%/4.00%

Notes

- (a) The unitised with-profits bonus is the percentage addition per annum to basic and bonus units in force as at 31 December and allows for the period the units had been in force during that year.
- (b) The reversionary bonus for conventional business previously written in BA is a simple bonus scale where the addition for the year depends on the duration in force.
- (c) The smoothed return allocated to the Britannic With Profits Bond is declared quarterly and varies by unit series which is based on policy date of commencement and rate shown is the weighted average applied.
- (d) The average declared return applied for the with-profits annuity was 7.38% and average guaranteed uplift applied for 2008 was 1.31%.

Phoenix With-Profits Fund

3. DISCRETIONARY CHARGES AND BENEFITS

(1) Application of Market Value Reduction

Unitised With Profit Bond

Market value reductions have been applied throughout the year.

These policies were sold between June 1996 and September 2001 and all policy years of entry have been subject to market value reductions.

Lifestyle Bond

Market value reductions have been applied throughout the year.

These policies were sold between February 2001 and December 2001 and have been subject to market value reductions.

UK With Profit Bond (Pre 1997)

Market value reductions have been applied throughout the year.

These policies were sold between January 1992 and December 1996 and all policy years of entry have been subject to market value reductions.

Isle of Man With Profit Bond (Pre 1999)

Market value reductions have been applied throughout the year.

These policies were sold between December 1992 and December 1998 and all policy years of entry have been subject to market value reductions.

Living Pensions

The following products within the Living Pensions range have a unitised with-profits option:

- Living Pensions Personal Pension
- Living Pensions Personal Option Policy
- Living Pensions Top Up Pension

Market value reductions were applied from July 2008 to December 2008 inclusive.

These policies were sold between April 1996 and December 1996 although remained open to increments until April 2001. Policies sold in 2000 have been subject to market value reductions.

Unitised With-Profits Pensions

The following products within the unitised with-profits pensions range have a unitised with-profits option:

- Executive Pension Plan
- Company Pension Scheme
- Company Additional Pension Scheme

- Individual Personal Pension Plan
- Group Personal Pension Plan
- Personal Additional Pension Plan

Market value reductions have been applied throughout the year.

These policies were sold between January 1995 and April 2001 although remain open to increments. Policies sold between 1999 and 2000 have been subject to market value reductions.

Pensions Solutions

The following products within the Pensions Solutions range have a unitised withprofits option:

- Individual Personal Pension Plan
- Group Personal Pension Plan
- Contracted-in Money Purchase Plan
- Executive Pension Plan
- Trustee Investment Plan

Market value reductions were applied from October 2008 to December 2008 inclusive.

These policies were sold between April 2001 and December 2002 although remain open to increments.

(2) Premiums on Reviewable Protection Policies

There were no changes to premiums on non-linked reviewable protection policies since the previous valuation date. The amount of the mathematical reserves at the valuation date was £0.6m.

(3) Non-profit Deposit Administration

There are no non-profit deposit administration policies.

(4) Service Charges on Linked Policies

The linked policies that were previously in PLP are now in the Non Profit Fund and the changes in charges are disclosed in that section of the abstract.

(5) Benefit Charges on Linked Policies

There were no changes to benefit charges on linked policies in the current year.

(6) Accumulating With-Profits Charges

The following expenses, which are notionally charged to specimen policy asset shares when determining terminal bonus or market value reductions for accumulating with-profits policies, have changed:

Annual maintenance expenses (net of policy fee where applicable), which changed from £30.15 to £34.12.

Charges apply to all accumulating with-profits business, except Lifestyle Bond. The mathematical reserves at the valuation date amount to £1,376m.

(7) Unit Pricing of Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(8) Tax Deductions From Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(9) Tax Provisions for Internal Linked Funds

Not applicable

(10) Discounts on Unit Purchases

M & G Securities Limited - Authorised Unit Trust

The Company receives a 1% discount on the cost of purchasing units in excess of £1,000. Policyholders do not benefit from this discount. There is no discount to the Company on the sale of units. The company does not receive any rebate of the annual management charge on its holdings in the unit trust.

4. VALUATION BASIS

(1) Valuation Methods

The valuation methods used are as follows:

Gross Premium Method

Reserves for policies other than for those products included in the section "Accumulating With-Profits Policies" or where the gross mathematical reserves and gross annual premium do not exceed the lesser of £10m or 1% of the total gross mathematical reserves have been established using a prospective gross premium method applied to each policy.

Accumulating With-Profits Policies

Reserves for accumulating with-profits policies on Form 52 have been calculated for each policy as the greater of:

- (i) the discounted value of:
 - (a) the guaranteed benefits at the maturity date or guarantee point allowing for future reversionary bonus rates in accordance with the table in paragraph 4 (7) (which is consistent with treating customers fairly); and
 - (b) assumed future expenses per paragraph 4 (6).
- (ii) the lower of:
 - (aa) the amount that would reasonably be expected to be paid if the policyholder exercised his option to take a cash sum on the

valuation date, having regard to the representations of the company; and

(bb)the amount in (aa) disregarding all discretionary adjustments.

Calculation Notes

Where annuity benefits are payable to any spouse that may exist at the date of death of the annuitant, we assume that 90% are married with the female 3 years younger than the male life.

(2) Valuation Interest Rates

The valuation interest rates used are as follows:

Product Group	Current	Previous	
	Valuation	Valuation	
Valuation Interest Rates – Life			
With-Profits – Endowments	4.11%	3.40%	
With-Profits - Other	2.72%	3.10%	
With-Profits Bond	2.72%	3.10%	
With-Profits Deferred Annuity			
- Regular Premium	3.41%	3.90%	
- Single Premium/ Paid-Up Initial Rate	5.56%	4.30%	
- Single Premium/ Paid-Up Reinvestment Rate	3.41%	3.90%	
Non Profit – Endowments	4.48%	3.70%	
Non Profit – Other	2.61%	3.10%	
Non Profit Deferred Annuity	3.41%	3.90%	
Annuities in Payment (new GAF)	5.04%	4.20%	
Annuities in Payment (old GAF)	5.60%	4.60%	

Valuation Interest Rates – Pension		
With-Profits Deferred Annuity		
- Regular	3.41%	3.90%
- Single Premium/ Paid-Up Initial Rate	5.56%	4.30%
- Single Premium/ Paid-Up Reinvestment Rate	3.41%	3.90%
Profit Plus Fund - accumulating units	3.41%	3.90%
- initial units	3.16%	3.65%
With-Profits Group Endowments	3.41%	3.90%
Other Assurances	3.41%	3.90%
Annuities in Payment	5.60%	4.60%
Non Profit Assurances	3.41%	3.90%
Non Profit Deferred Annuities	3.41%	3.90%
RPI Linked Deferred Annuities	0.97%	0.70%
RPI Linked Annuities in Payment	0.97%	0.70%

(3) Risk Adjustments

The yields on assets other than equity shares and land were reduced for risk as follows:

Fixed Interest

(a) Approved Securities:

No reduction

(b) Other Securities

A deduction was applied to the yield on an individual stock by stock basis to allow for the risk of default. The individual stock risk margins were calculated as a long term average default rate plus an additional allowance for short-term factors and expected deviations from the historic average.

The long term average default rates (in basis points) are:

Rating	5yr	10yr	20yr
AAA	4.6	13.9	17.1
AA	19.7	35.5	49.4
Α	31.2	44.8	59.4
BAA	88	109.1	121
ВА	268.4	284.9	288.5
В	599.9	524.4	425.4
CAA	1,053.90	757.1	629.3

A number of different techniques are then employed to arrive at an additional haircut, namely:

- For bank subordinated debt, a higher risk was proposed to be recognised by imposing a nil recovery rates on the above default rates.
- Stocks were then 'notched' downwards stocks where the credit rating was considered to be inappropriate (after analysis of the current market spread and other factors).
- Finally, an additional haircut was applied to around 10% of stocks, which was based on a stock-by-stock analysis of abnormal default or coupon deferment risk. To avoid spurious precision, the addition applied was a doubling of the base haircut in most cases. In some cases, the adjustment was lower and in a few cases much higher, where a default had either effectively happened or was considered extremely likely.

An additional prudence has then been applied to all but the "already defaulted" stocks by increasing the risk margin deduction by 25%.

Variable Yield

Approved Securities:

No reduction

Loans secured by Mortgages

Reduction of 1.0% (r) of the yield

All other assets producing income

Reduction of 0.5% (r) of the yield

i.e. amended yield is y * (1 - r) where y is the unadjusted yield.

The yield on equity shares and land was reduced by 2.5% of that yield. Furthermore, the yields on any individual properties in excess of 8% p.a. were restricted to 8% p.a.

(4) Mortality Basis

The mortality bases used for the valuation were:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Endowment and Whole of Life	80.6% AM92	80.6% AM92
	83.8% AF92	83.8% AF92
Term Assurances - aggregate	71.5% TM92	71.5% TM92
	93.2% TF92	93.2% TF92
Term Assurances – non-smoker	66.0% TM92	66.0% TM92
	77.4% TF92	77.4% TF92
Term Assurances – smoker	132.0% TM92	132.0% TM92
	148.7% TF92	148.7% TF92
Pensions pre-vesting and pension	48.3% AM92	48.3% AM92
term assurances	54.5% AF92	54.5% AF92
Life Annuities currently in	Modified IM80 c2010	Modified IM80 c2010
Payment	Modified IF80 c2010	Modified IF80 c2010
Pension Deferred Annuities post	Modified PMA92 c2020	Modified PMA92 c2020
vesting	Modified PFA92 c2020	Modified PFA92 c2020
Pension Immediate Annuities	Modified PMA92 c2020	Modified PMA92 c2020
	Modified PFA92 c2020	Modified PFA92 c2020

Life annuities currently in payment

The expectation of life under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions for sample ages are:

	Curre	nt Year	Previous Year	
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
65	22.30	25.08	21.85	24.72
75	14.10	16.00	13.75	15.70

Pension annuities currently in payment

Specimen percentages of the base tables used for the current year (and previous year) valuation are:

	Current Year		Previous Year	
	Male	Female	Male	Female
At age 65	126.1%	120.8%	130.5%	124.2%
At age 75	75.5%	89.0%	78.0%	91.3%
At age 85	74.4%	91.6%	75.9%	92.9%
At age 95	76.8%	93.6%	77.7%	94.4%

Specimen annual improvement rates, dependent on calendar year, are:

Males	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
65	3.30%	2.36%	2.14%	2.00%	2.00%	1.90%
75	3.93%	3.19%	2.51%	2.06%	2.00%	1.90%
85	2.35%	2.35%	2.61%	2.17%	1.97%	1.90%
95	1.25%	1.43%	1.80%	1.88%	1.75%	1.75%

Females	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
65	2.65%	2.14%	1.86%	1.80%	1.74%	1.45%
75	2.93%	2.57%	2.06%	1.87%	1.74%	1.45%
85	1.63%	1.96%	2.17%	1.97%	1.82%	1.45%
95	0.93%	1.25%	1.60%	1.75%	1.70%	1.38%

The expectation of life under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions for sample ages are:

71	Curre	nt Year	Previous Year		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	
65	25.72	26.74	25.25	26.38	
75	15.69	16.73	15.33	16.45	

<u>Deferred pension contracts (post vesting) including guaranteed annuity options.</u>

Sample percentages of the base tables used for the current year (and previous year) valuation are:

	Current Year		Previo	us Year
	Male	Female	Male	Female
At age 55	474.5%	441.1%	486.5%	450.3%
At age 65	126.1%	120.8%	130.5%	124.2%
At age 75	75.5%	89.0%	78.0%	91.3%
At age 85	74.4%	91.6%	75.9%	92.9%
At age 95	76.8%	93.6%	77.7%	94.4%

Specimen annual improvement rates, dependent on calendar year, are:

Males	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
55	2.30%	1.89%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	1.90%
65	3.30%	2.36%	2.14%	2.00%	2.00%	1.90%
75	3.93%	3.19%	2.51%	2.06%	2.00%	1.90%
85	2.35%	2.35%	2.61%	2.17%	1.97%	1.90%
95	1.25%	1.43%	1.80%	1.88%	1.75%	1.75%

Females	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
55	2.15%	1.69%	1.80%	1.80%	1.74%	1.45%
65	2.65%	2.14%	1.86%	1.80%	1.74%	1.45%
75	2.93%	2.57%	2.06%	1.87%	1.74%	1.45%
85	1.63%	1.96%	2.17%	1.97%	1.82%	1.45%
95	0.93%	1.25%	1.60%	1.75%	1.70%	1.38%

The expectation of life at age 65 for current ages 45 and 55 under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions are:

Age	Curre	nt Year	Previous Year	
	Males	Females	Males	Females
45	30.02	30.45	29.63	30.15
55	28.01	28.70	27.60	28.37

(5) Morbidity Basis

Not applicable

(6) Expense Basis

The following table shows the gross attributable expenses per policy.

Product Group	Per Policy Expense		
	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation	
	£		
Annuity (400)	15.98	16.24	
All other policies	33.89	34.44	

The expenses on life business are netted down for tax at 20%.

There are no zillmer adjustments for the policies to which the above expenses apply.

(7) Unit Growth Rates And Expense Inflation

There are no unit growth rate assumptions as there is no linked business.

Future expenses are assumed to increase at 6.34% p.a.

(8) Future Bonus Rates

For conventional with-profits business there is no allowance for future bonuses.

For accumulating with-profits business the assumed reversionary bonus rates are:

Product	Current year	Current year +1	Current year +2
UWP Bond (pre August 2000)	0.50%	0.08%	0.00%
UWP Bond (post August 2000)	1.00%	0.17%	0.00%
Lifestyle Bond	1.00%	0.17%	0.00%
Profit Plus Fund	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%
UWP Pensions	1.00%	0.17%	0.00%

and for the UK With Profits Bonds (pre 1997) the rates are:

Tranche	Current	Current	Current
	year	year +1	year +2
	2.00%	0.50%	0.00%
2a	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2b	1.75%	0.00%	0.00%
3a	1.50%	0.00%	0.00%
3b	1.50%	0.00%	0.00%
4	2.00%	0.50%	0.00%
5	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%
6	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%
6a	0.50%	0.00%	0.00%
7	1.50%	0.00%	0.00%
8	1.50%	0.00%	0.00%
8a	2.00%	0.50%	0.00%
8b	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%
9	1.50%	0.50%	0.00%
10	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%

(9) Persistency Assumptions

It is assumed that there are no lapses or surrenders and no policies are made paidup after the valuation date.

(10) Other Material Assumptions

Not applicable

(11) Allowance for Derivatives

The fund holds a number of swaps in connection with its fixed interest assets. The effect of the swaps has been taken into account by adding the value of the fixed interest assets to the value of the swaps and adjusting the yield on the fixed interest assets to take account of the effect of the swaps. The effect of the swaps has been determined by assuming that the future yields are in accordance with the yields implied by the forward swap curve.

A provision has been established equal to the time value of the swaptions, which are held in connection with guaranteed annuity options.

(12) Effect on Reserves of Changes in INSPRU Valuation Rules

There have been no changes in valuation methodology arising from changes in INSPRU valuation rules effective from 31 December 2006.

5. OPTIONS AND GUARANTEES

(1) Guaranteed Annuity Rate Options

- (a) An additional reserve is calculated, where the value of the annuity is greater than the cash sum, using the assumptions set out in section 4 and, additionally, assuming:
 - All policyholders will exercise the option.
 - The percentage of the cash sum which will be used to purchase the annuity on guaranteed terms will be:

30% for Convent Scheme contracts 95% for Retirement Plans and Personal Retirement Policies (post 1978)

The expenses of payment are 1.9% of the value of the annuity.

For Personal Retirement Policies issued between 1971 and 1978, where the policy only provides for a proportion of the benefit to be taken on guaranteed terms, the assumed proportion is in accordance with the policy conditions.

The liability is then increased, if necessary, so that it is not less than the economic value of the options determined from swaption prices assuming the same demographic assumptions. At the valuation date no increase was required.

	(ii)					(XII)	(AIII)
Product Name	Basic	Spread of	Guarantee	Guaranteed	Increments	Form of annuity	Retirement
	Reserve	outstanding durations	Reserve	Annuity Rate (Male at 65)			Ages
	£m	Years	£m	:	:		
Personal Retirement	22.4	0 to 25	10.8	10.74%	No	Level – Single Life	60 to 75
Plan (Pre 1978)					· —	Twice annually in arrears	
Personal Betirement	171 9	0 to 39	α - Ο -	%UU 6	S N	I evel - Single I ife	60 to 75
Plan (Post 1978)	2) - - - -)	Annually in arrears	
						No guarantee period	:
Personal Retirement	65.1	0 to 45	37.3	%00.6	No	Level – Single Life	50 (*) to 75
Plan (Post 1988)						Annually in arrears	
						No guarantee period	
Personal Retirement	27.4	0 to 32	17.4	9.25%	No	Level – Single Life	50 to 75
Plan (Eire)				-		Monthly in advance	
	:	:			:	Five year guarantee period	
Retirement Plan	156.7	0 to 34	105.3	11.11%	No	Level – Single Life	50 (*) to 75
						Monthly in advance	
						Five year guarantee period	
Convent Schemes	11.5	0 to 23	2.1	10.04%	Yes (**)	Level – Single Life	60 to 70
		•				Monthly in advance	
-						No guarantee period	
Philips Scheme	7.7	0 to 33	0.3	10.00%	Yes (**)	Level – Single Life	60 to 65
						Monthly in advance	
						Five year guarantee period	

(**)There is no guaranteed annuity rate for increments. (*)From age 55 for retirements from 2010.

(2) Guaranteed Surrender and Unit-linked Maturity Values

Uniflex

(a) For Uniflex policies (endowment-type policies maturing at age 65, included in Endowment assurance in Form 51) the basis for calculating surrender values on the 10th or any subsequent anniversary of the commencement of the policy is guaranteed.

The guaranteed surrender value available at the 10th or any subsequent policy anniversary is

[Basic Sum Assured + Reversionary Bonus] x t/n

where t = duration at the policy anniversary (in years) n = original policy term (in years)

(b)

(i) Product Name	Uniflex Endowment
(ii) Basic Reserve	£21.9m
(iii) Spread of	0 to 30 years
Outstanding Durations	
(iv) Guarantee Reserve	£4.3m
(v) Guaranteed Amount	£26.2m
(vi) MVR Free Conditions	MVRs do not apply
(vii) In Force Premiums	£0.6m
(viii) Increments	No

UWP Bond

(a) Market value reductions are not applicable on encashment or partial surrender on the 10th policy anniversary for UWP Bonds commencing between September 1997 and July 2000. There is a "money back" guarantee on full surrender on the 10th policy anniversary for bonds commencing from August 2000 onwards.

The policy reserve is not less than the value of the benefits at the 10th policy anniversary calculated on the assumptions in Paragraph 4.

(b)

(i) Product Name	UWP Bond Version 2	UWP Bond Version 3
(ii) Basic Reserve	£41.6m	£391.2m
(iii) Spread of	0 years	0 to 2 years
Outstanding Durations	for guarantee	for guarantee
(iv) Guarantee Reserve	£0.0m	£71.3m
(v) Guaranteed Amount	£49.6m	£468.4m
(vi) MVR Free Conditions	MVRs are not applicable on full or partial surrender on the 10th policy anniversary, on death or on regular withdrawals within certain limits	MVRs are not applicable on full or partial surrender on the 10th policy anniversary, on death or on regular withdrawals within certain limits
(vii) In Force Premiums	N/A	N/A
(viii) Increments	No	No

(i) Product Name	UWP Bond Version 4	Lifestyle Bond
(ii) Basic Reserve	£96.7m	£68.0m
(iii) Spread of	2 to 4 years	3 to 4 years
Outstanding Durations	for guarantee	for guarantee
(iv) Guarantee Reserve	£4.0m	£0.2m
(v) Guaranteed Amount	£121.4m	£77.5m
(vi) MVR Free Conditions	On full surrender on the	On full surrender on the
1	10th policy anniversary	10th policy anniversary the
	the surrender value will be	surrender value will be at
	at least the original	least the original amount
	amount invested less any	invested less any partial or
	partial or regular	regular withdrawals. There
	withdrawals. There is no	is no MVR on death or on
	MVR on death or on	regular withdrawals within
	regular withdrawals within	certain limits
	certain limits	
(vii) In Force Premiums	N/A	N/A
(viii) Increments	Yes	Yes

Personal Retirement Policy / Personal Retirement Plan

(a) Policyholders may elect to retire at ages other than the retirement age selected at outset, on a guaranteed cash option basis, which varies with the actual retirement age. The ages that can be selected depends on the product.

(i) Product Name	Personal Retirement Policy (Pre 1978)	Personal Retirement Policy (Post 1978)	Personal Retirement Plan (Post 1988)
(ii) Basic Reserve (*)	£33.2m	£273.7m	£102.4m
(iii) Spread of Outstanding Durations	0 to 25 years	0 to 39 years	0 to 45 years
(iv) Guarantee Reserve (**)	£0.0m	£0.0m	£0.0m
(v) Guaranteed Amount	N/A	N/A	N/A
(vi) MVR Free Conditions	N/A	N/A	N/A
(vii) In Force Premiums	£0.1m	£1.7m	£1.2m
(viii) Increments	No	No	No

(*)The basic reserve above includes the guaranteed annuity reserve.

(**) The reserves established exceed the value of the liabilities if an alternative retirement date is selected.

Unitised With-Profits Pensions

The following products within the unitised with-profits pensions range have a unitised with-profits option:

- Executive Pension Plan
- Company Pension Scheme
- Company Additional Pension Scheme
- Individual Personal Pension Plan
- Group Personal Pension Plan
- Personal Additional Pension Plan
- (a) Provided certain conditions apply, the policyholder can elect to take early retirement before the selected retirement age without penalty.

(b)

(i) Product Name	UWP Pensions
(ii) Basic Reserve	£87.4m
(iii) Spread of	0 to 41 years
Outstanding Durations	
(iv) Guarantee Reserve	£0.5m
(v) Guaranteed Amount	£86.9m
(vi) MVR Free Conditions	MVRs do not apply on retirement within 3 years of the selected retirement date, provided there has been a regular investment in the UWP Fund for at least 5 years, on death and ill health early
(vii) In Force Premiums	£1.9m
(viii) Increments	Yes – existing policies and new members to existing plans in the case of group schemes

(3) Guaranteed Insurability Options

Various endowments purchased in connection with a mortgage include options to effect additional cover in certain circumstances without requiring additional evidence of health. Take-up of this option has been extremely low and no additional reserve is held.

Some of the term assurance policies include options to convert to other policies without requiring further evidence of heath. Take-up of this option has been extremely low and no additional reserve is held. The sum assured under the policies is less than £1bn.

(4) Other Guarantees and Options

None.

6. EXPENSE RESERVES

(1) Aggregate Expense Loadings

The aggregate amount of expense loadings, grossed up for taxation where appropriate, expected to arise during the 12 months following the valuation date from explicit and implicit reserves made in the valuation to meet expenses in fulfuilling contracts in force at the valuation date is £20.0m. This is composed of the following elements:

	£m
Explicit allowances for investment expenses	0
Explicit allowances for other maintenance expenses	13.8
Implicit allowances	6.2

(2) Implicit Allowances

The implicit allowance has been calculated by applying the rate of the investment manager's fees to the reserves. This is funded by the margin between the risk-adjusted yield on the assets and the valuation rate of interest.

(3) Form 43 Comparison

The table below shows the difference between the amount in paragraph 6 (1) and that reported on line 14 of Form 43.

	F43.14	table 6(1)	ratio
Homogeneous risk group	(a) £m	(b) £m	(a)/(b)
All products	21.0	20.0	105%
Total	21.0	20.0	105%

The amount reported on line 14 of Form 43 is £21.0m. This is not significantly different from the £20.0m quoted above. The valuation assumes that the yields on the assets are net of transaction costs so it is not necessary to reserve for them explicitly.

(4) New Business Expense Overrun

Since the company is closed to new business, except for contractual increments, it does not expect to incur any material strain in writing new business so no additional reserve is required.

(5) Maintenance Expense Overrun

Expense reserves in accordance with paragraph 6 (1) are considered to be sufficient to meet the expenses likely to be incurred in the future in fulfilling the existing contracts.

The expense assumptions allow for the standard fees payable under a management services agreement plus a prudent allowance for costs that are not covered by these fees.

An allowance has been made for redundancy costs in respect of redundancies following compensation review exercises. The company is not liable for redundancy costs in general due to its outsourcing arrangement with Pearl Group Management Services.

(6) Non-attributable Expense Reserves

Not applicable – all expenses are treated as attributable.

7. MISMATCHING RESERVES

(1) Analysis of Reserves by Currency

The mathematical reserves (other than liabilities for property linked benefits) after distribution of surplus comprise:

Currency	Mathematical Reserves	Backed by assets
	£m	£m
Sterling (£)	4,831.1	4,831.1
Other currencies	119.2	119.2
Total	4,950.3	4,950.3

(2) Other Currency Exposures

See table in paragraph 7 (1).

(3) Currency Mismatching Reserve

The liabilities in currencies other than sterling are matched by assets in the same currency. The currency mismatching reserve is therefore nil.

(4) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.16(R)

Not applicable

(5) Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.23(R)

Not applicable

(6) Resilience Capital Requirement

Not applicable

(7) Additional Reserves Arising From INSPRU 1.1.34(2)(R)

No further reserve is required for mismatching as investments are closely matched to the liabilities.

8. OTHER SPECIAL RESERVES

The special reserves exceeding the lesser of £10m and 0.1% of total mathematical reserves are:

Description	Reserve
	£m
Data contingency reserve	20.0
Litigation reserve	15.0
Claims Reserves for certain pensions policies	20.0

Details of the other special reserves are set out below.

Data contingency reserve

Data contingency reserves for additional liabilities which may arise in connection with data errors affecting the long-term business and is calculated having regard to past experience.

Litigation reserve

Reserves for future litigation settlements and other similar costs, which is calculated with regard to past experience.

Claims Reserves for certain pension policies

Reserves for the cost of making additional payments on certain pension policies where the claim amounts previously paid were incorrect.

9. REINSURANCE

(1) Facultative reinsurance

- (a) No premiums were payable on a facultative basis to a reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (b) No such premiums were payable to a connected company reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.

(2) Reinsurance Treaties

The required details of reinsurance treaties in force at the valuation date are set out below.

(d) Munich Re

- (e) Certain term assurances are 100% reinsured on original terms.
- (f) The premiums payable by the insurer during the year are £21.1m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations.
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £118.3m.
- (k) New business only arises from incremental policies or the exercising of options under existing contracts. Where such business is unit-linked then all of the business is reinsured and the Company's retention is nil.
- (I) The reinsurer is authorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (m) The reinsurer is not a connected company of the insurer.
- (n) No provision has been established for credit risk for any reassurances with other companies in the Pearl group. The company has provided £4.6m in respect of credit and legal risk under its other reinsurance treaties.
- (o) No provision has been made under any of the treaties for any liability of the company to refund any amount of reinsurance commission in the event of the lapse or surrender of the contracts. Where such a liability exists, then the refund of commission will be more than offset by the return of the premium from the reinsurer.
- (p) The treaty is not a financing arrangement.

10. REVERSIONARY (OR ANNUAL) BONUS

For policies entitled to participate, reversionary bonuses (except when otherwise specified) were allotted at the following rates.

(i) Conventional With-Profits Policies

The mathematical reserves and reversionary bonus rates are as follows:

Bonus Series	Math reserves	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Current Year	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Previous year	Total g'tee bonus for current year
	£m	%	%	%
UK Life (excluding Uniflex)	1,405.4	0.25 / 0.25	0.25 / 0.25	0.00
Uniflex Endowment Assurances	26.2	0.20 / 0.20	0.20 / 0.20	0.00
Convent Schemes	13.7	0.20 / 0.20	0.20 / 0.20	0.00
Eire Life	15.5	0.25 / 0.25	0.25 / 0.25	0.00
UK Pensions	605.8	0.20 / 0.20	0.20 / 0.20	0.00
Eire Pensions	48.7	0.20 / 0.20	0.20 / 0.20	0.00

^(*) The first rate applies to the sum assured and the second rate to the attaching bonus.

(ii) Accumulating With-Profits Policies (except With Profit Bonds)

The mathematical reserves and reversionary bonus rates are as follows:

Bonus Series	Math reserves	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Current Year	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Previous year	Total g'tee bonus for current year	
	£m	%	%	%	
UWP Pensions	87.1	1.00	1.00	0.00	
Profit Plus Fund	424.6	0.10	0.10	0.00	
PlusPlan	164.7	0.10	0.10	0.00	

(iii) UK With Profits Bond (pre 1997)

The mathematical reserves and reversionary bonus rates are as follows:

Bonus Series	Math	Reversionary	Reversionary	Total g'tee
	reserves	Bonus Rate	Bonus Rate	bonus for
*		for Current	for Previous	current year
		Year	year	
	£m	%	%	%
1	4.6	2.00	3.75	2.00
2a	3.3	4.00	6.00	4.00
2b	0.5	3.75	5.75	3.75
3a	14.1	3.00	4.75	3.00
3b	0.9	3.00	5.00	3.00
4	9.1	2.00	3.25	2.00
5	3.6	2.25	4.25	2.25
6	1.5	1.50	2.75	1.50
6a	3.0	2.00	3.00	2.00
7	1.3	2.75	4.75	2.75
8	4.3	3.00	5.00	3.00
8a	4.5	2.00	3.50	2.00
8b	1.6	1.50	3.25	1.50
9	10.1	1.50	2.50	1.50
10	18.5	1.50	2.50	1.50
TOTAL	80.9			

(iv) Unitised With-Profits Bonds

The mathematical reserves and reversionary bonus rates are as follows:

Bonus Series	Math reserves	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Current Year	Reversionary Bonus Rate for Previous year	Total g'tee bonus for current year
	£m			
2	42.5	0.50	0.50	0.50
3	462.6	0.50	0.50	0.50
4	101.0	1.00	1.00	1.00
Lifestyle	68.4	1.00	1.00	1.00
TOTAL	674.5			

For unitised with-profits life policies, the reversionary bonus is added daily in the form of growth of the unit price. Within a bonus series, bonus rates do not vary.

Non Profit Fund

3. DISCRETIONARY CHARGES AND BENEFITS

(1)Application of Market Value Reduction

Not applicable

(2) Premiums on Reviewable Protection Policies

The following table shows the changes to premiums on non-linked reviewable protection policies since the previous valuation date.

Previous	Product	No of	Annual	Range of	increase
Company		Policies	Premium (£000s)	From (%)	To (%)
BULA	Mortgage Cover Plan	833	305	-3%	0%
	Instant Health Cover	4	3	9%	12%

The following table shows the reviewable protection policies where there were no changes to premiums since the previous valuation.

Previous Company	Product	No of policies	Annual premium in force (£000s)
PLL	Progressive Protection Plan	8,154	22,507
.*	Flexible Mortgage Plan	2,258	638
Century	Ex-NAL Critical Illness Plan	11,464	2,868
BULA	Life Cover Plan	314	103
	Total Cover Plan	792	298
BA	Decreasing term assurance	721	201
	Level Term assurance with critical illness	524	94
	Critical illness	1,584	342
	Pensions term assurance	6,113	990

(3)Non-profit deposit administration contracts

Policies previously written in PAL

The Pension Plan for the Self-Employed (PPSE) is a deferred annuity contract approved under section 226 of the Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1970.

The Executive Pension Plan (EPP) is designed for treatment as an exempt approved scheme as defined in the Finance Act 1970.

The Voluntary Pension Plan (VPP) provides additional benefits at retirement for members of approved company pension schemes through voluntary contributions of the member.

Under these contracts, premiums for retirement benefits are paid into a Pension Account, interest being declared monthly in advance and compounded annually.

The interest rates applied during the valuation year were as follows:

Month	Interest Rate	
	%р.а.	
January	5.87%	
February	5.89%	
March	5.87%	
April	5.83%	
Мау	5.74%	
June	5.64%	
July	5.64%	
August	5.62%	
September	5.76%	
October	5.79%	
November	5.83%	
December	5.81%	

Policies previously written in SLUK

The rates of interest credited to accounts at the valuation date are given in the table below:

	Deposit Administration – Standard	Deposit Administrati	on – Dollar Policies
Account waar	Interest per £1,000	Interest new C1 000	[]
Account year	interest per £1,000	Interest per £1,000 Series 1	Interest per £1,000
1982	128.50	Series I	Series 2
1983	120.50	-	-
1984		-	, -
	120.50	-	—
1985	121.00	-	
1986	118.00	90.00	80.00
1987	113.50	90.00	80.00
1988	113.50	90.00	80.00
1989	114.00	90.00	80.00
1990	110.00	90.00	80.00
1991	110.00	90.00	80.00
1992	100.00	90.00	80.00
1993	70.00	90.00	80.00
1994	75.00	56.30	80.00
1995	70.00	52.50	80.00
1996	70.00	46.00	46.00
1997	65.00	46.00	46.00
1998	50.00	36.00	36.00
1999	45.00	38.00	38.00
2000	45.00	38.00	38.00
2001	45.00	36.00	36.00
2002	. 45.00	36.00	36.00
2003	40.00	32.00	32.00
2004	43.00	34.40	34.40
2005	38.00	30.40	30.40
2006	41.00	-32.80	32.80
2007	43.00	34.40	34.40
2008	40.00	32.00	32.00

Policies previously written in Alba

There are no deposit administration policies in force.

Policies previously written in Century

Non profit Deposit Administration

This is a group deposit administration policy approved under Chapter I, Part XIV of the Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1988.

For premium paying schemes the account accumulates with credited interest additions which are the greater of:

a) A guaranteed minimum rate of interest of 4% per annum throughout the term of the policy increased by 1.5%; and

b) A basic credited rate increased by 1.5%.

For paid-up policies, the credited rate is reduced by 2% and the addition and guaranteed minimum cease to apply.

The basic rates declared depend on the month in which the end of the policy year falls. The rates declared in the period covered by this return are as follows:

Month	Interest Rate	
	%р.а.	
January	3.38%	
February	3.50%	
March	3.63%	
April	3.63%	
May	3.63%	
June	3.75%	
July	3.75%	
August	3.75%	
September	3.75%	
October	3.63%	
November	3.63%	
December	3.50%	

The Pensions 2000 Range (First Series)

This range consists of four varieties of one underlying group contract capable of exempt approval under Chapter I, Part XIV of the Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1988.

For premium paying schemes, the interest additions made to the accounts are the greater of:

- (a) A guaranteed minimum rate of interest of 4% per annum throughout the term of the policy. The guaranteed rate is increased for schemes where the level of annual premium exceeds certain thresholds. These thresholds vary according to the year in which the policy was effected, and are increased from year to year in line with the increase in the Retail Price Index over the period between commencement and renewal dates; and
- (b) A credited rate. This rate is increased as described above.

For paid-up policies, the credited rate is reduced by 2% and the threshold increases and guaranteed minimum cease to apply.

The basic rates declared depend on the month in which the end of the policy year falls. The rates declared in the period covered by this return are as follows:

Month	Interest Rate
	%p.a.
lonuoni	0.000/
January	3.38%
February	3.50%
March	3.63%
April	3.63%
Мау	3.63%
June	3.75%
July	3.75%
August	3.75%
September	3.75%
October	3.63%
November	3.63%
December	3.50%

For pooled funded arrangements, the base thresholds (i.e. before increasing in line with the Retail Price Index) are generally as follows:

Annual premium	Additional Interest
£4,999 or less	Nil
£5,000 to £9,999	0.50%
£10,000 to £49,999	1.00%
£50,000 or over	1.50%

For the money purchase arrangements additional interest is credited at the following rates:

Annual premium	Additional Interest		
£9,999 or less	Nil		
£10,000 to £49,999	0.50%		
£50,000 or over	1.00%		

This scale of thresholds applies to each of the first five policy years of a scheme. In subsequent years, the threshold levels are indexed in line with the Retail Price Index.

Century Group Deposit Administration

These are group pension contracts designed to secure approval under Finance Act 1970 as exempt approved arrangements. Benefits are based on the accumulated premiums after provision for administration charges and with the addition of annual dividends, which are linked to the yield on the Unit Linked Century/OMLA Money Pension Fund. There are also guaranteed deferred and immediate annuity rates for the conversion of funds into annuities. Separate life assurance and widows reversionary annuities may be included on a non-profit basis.

Century Personal Pension Plans - pre April 1980

These are deferred annuity contracts approved under Section 226 of the Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1970. Benefits are based on the accumulated premiums after deduction of an annual administration charge and with the addition of annual

dividends, which are linked to the yield on the Unit Linked Century/OMLA Money Pension Fund. Separate life assurance may be included on a non-profit basis. There are also guaranteed immediate annuity rates for the conversion of funds into annuities at retirement.

Century Personal Pension Plans - post April 1980

These are deferred annuity contracts approved under Section 226 of the Income and Corporation Taxes Act 1970. Benefits are based on the accumulated premiums after deduction of an annual administration charge and with the addition of annual dividends, which are linked to the yield on the Unit Linked Century/OMLA Money Pension Fund. Regular premiums paid during the first two years of the contract ("Initial Premiums") receive dividends at a lower rate than other premiums. Separate life assurance may be included on a non-profit basis. Dividends are guaranteed to be not less than 4% per annum (1% per annum on Initial Premiums). There are also guaranteed immediate annuity rates for the conversion of funds into annuities at retirement.

Century Capital Pension Plans

These are individual pension contracts designed to secure approval under the Finance Act 1970 as exempt approved arrangements. Benefits are based on the accumulated premiums after deduction of an annual administration charge and with the addition of annual dividends, which are linked to the yield on the Unit Linked Century/OMLA Money Pension Fund. Regular premiums paid during the first year of the contract ("Initial Premiums") receive dividends at a lower rate than other premiums. Life Assurance and widows reversionary annuities may be included on a non-profit basis. There remains an absolute guarantee that bonuses will average at least 4.5% and 1.5% per annum. There are also guaranteed immediate annuity rates for the conversion of funds into annuities at retirement.

Century Executive Pension Plans

These are individual pension contracts designed to secure approval under the Finance Act 1970 as exempt approved arrangements. Benefits are based on the accumulated premiums after deduction of an annual administration charge and with the addition of annual dividends, which are linked to the yield on the Unit Linked Century/OMLA Money Pension Fund. Regular premiums paid during the first two years' of the contract ("Initial Premiums") receive dividends at a lower rate than other premiums. Life Assurance and widows reversionary annuities may be included on a non-profit basis. There are also guaranteed immediate annuity rates for the conversion of funds into annuities at retirement.

Policies previously written in BULA

There are no deposit administration policies in force.

Policies previously written in BRS

There are no deposit administration policies in force.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no deposit administration policies in force.

(4)Service Charges on Linked Policies

Policies previously written in PLL

The following PLL products changed their service charges:

Product	Existing Policy Charge	New Policy Charge from 1 April 2008	Percentage Increase	
	£	£		
Plus Plan for schemes commencing before 1 July 1988	20.50	21.50	4.88%	
Plus Plan for schemes commencing after 30 June 1988	50.00	52.00	4.00%	

Product	Existing Policy Charge	New Policy Charge from 1 January 2008	_
	£	£	
Living Pensions Personal Pensions	2.85	3.00	5.26%
Universal Protection Plan	3.15	3.30	4.76%

For Individual Personal Pension Plan, Group Personal Pension Plan, Company Pension Scheme, and Company Additional Pension Scheme, the monthly policy charges changed as follows:

Contribution Type	Existing Policy Charge	New Policy Charge from 1 January 2008	Percentage Increase
	£	£	
Regular premium and increments	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Paid-up policies and policies on premium holidays (other than for Group Personal Pension Plan)	1.80	1.90	5.56%
Paid-up policies and policies on premium holidays for Group Personal Pension Plan	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued before 16 October 1995	5.10	5.35	4.90%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued between 16 October 1995 and 20 September 1998	2.05	2.15	4.88%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued between 21 September 1998 and 9 April 2000	3.70	3.90	5.41%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued after 9 April 2000	3.25	3.40	4.62%

For Personal Additional Pension Plan, the monthly policy charges changed as follows:

Contribution Type	ibution Type Existing Policy Charge		Percentage Increase	
	£	£		
Regular premium policies issued before 29 January 1996	5.10	5.35	4.90%	
Regular premium policies issued between 29 January 1996 and 9 April 2000	4.85	5.10	5.15%	
Regular premium policies issued after 9 April 2000	3.25	3.40	4.62%	
Regular premium increments	0.00	0.00	0.00%	
Paid-up policies and policies on premium holidays	1.80	1.90	5.56%	
Single premium stand alone contracts issued before 16 October 1995	5.10	5.35	4.90%	
Single premium stand alone contracts issued between 16 October 1995 and 20 September 1998	2.05	2.15	4.88%	
Single premium stand alone contracts issued between 21 st September 1998 and 9 April 2000	3.70	3.90	5.41%	
Single premium stand alone contracts issued after 9 April 2000	3.25	3.40	4.62%	
Transfer Values	0.00	0.00	0.00%	

For Executive Pension Plan, the monthly policy charges changed as follows:

Contribution Type	Existing Policy Charge	New Policy Charge from 1 January 2008	Percentage Increase
Regular premium policies issued before	£ 5.10	£ 5.35	4.90%
29 January 1996	0.30	0.00	4.50%
Regular premium policies issued after 29 January 1996	4.85	5.10	5.15%
Regular premium increments	0.00	0.00	0.00%
Paid-up policies and policies on premium holidays	1.80	1.90	5.56%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued before 16 October 1995	5.10	5.35	4.90%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued between 16 October 1995 and 20 September 1998	2.05	2.15	4.88%
Single premium stand alone contracts issued after 20 September 1998	3.70	3.90	5.41%
Transfer Values	0.00	0.00	0.00%

The following contracts have a monthly policy fee that depends upon the 1974 based RPI. These charges have been increased in the year to the valuation date in accordance with increases in RPI. No other increases in charges have occurred.

Product	Monthly Policy Charge
RPI Index applied at the valuation date	216.0
Lifestyle Plan	£(1/12 x 3.333 x RPI)
Personal Capital Builder (Series I)	0.67p x RPI for annual premium policies
	1.00p x RPI for monthly premium policies
	0.125p x RPI for paid-up or single premium policies
Personal Capital Builder (Series III)	0.50p x RPI for annual premium policies
	0.75p x RPI for monthly premium policies
·	0.125p x RPI for paid-up or single premium
	policies
Executive Capital Builder (Series I and III)	2.50p x RPI for annual premium policies
*	4.17p x RPI for monthly premium policies
	0.33p x RPI for paid-up or single premium
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	policies
Additional Capital Builder (Series I)	2.00p x RPI for annual premium policies
	3.00p x RPI for monthly premium policies
	0.50p x RPI for paid-up or single premium
	policies
Additional Capital Builder (Series II)	3.50p x RPI for annual premium policies
	4.50p x RPI for monthly premium policies
*	2.00p x RPI for paid-up or single premium
Malifest I and a second	policies
Multiple Investment Plan (Version I)	0.05 x RPI (0.05 x RPI / 12) charge on
	annual (monthly) premiums below £2000
	(£200)

The following contracts have a monthly policy fee that depends upon the 1987 based RPI. These charges have been increased in the year to the valuation date in accordance with increases in RPI. No other increases in charges have occurred.

Product	Monthly Policy Charge
RPI Index applied at the valuation date	216.0
Executive Capital Builder (Series IV)	5.00p x RPI for annual premium policies
	6.00p x RPI for monthly premium policies
	1.00p x RPI for paid up policies
	0.80p x RPI single premium policies
Trustee Capital Builder (Series 1 and III)	1.45p x RPI
Personal Pension Builder	2.00p x RPI for annual premium policies
	2.00p x RPI for monthly premium policies
	1.00p x RPI for paid up policies
	0.50p x RPI single premium policies
Multiple Investment Plan (Version II, III and IV)	0.05 x RPI charge on annual premiums
issued before April 1989	below £2000
	0.05 x RPI/12 charge on monthly
	premiums below £200
Multiple Investment Plan (Version II, III and IV)	0.20 x RPI charge on annual premiums
issued after April 1989	below £2000
	0.20 x RPI/12 charge on monthly
	premiums below £200

Policies previously written in Alba

The following changes to service charges on Alba products were effected on 1 April 2008:

Product name	Existing policy fee	New policy fee from 1 April 2008	Percentage increase
	£	£	
Policies arising from BLA			
Mortgage Minder	1.75	1.82	4.00%
Universal Whole Life	3.89	4.06	4.37%
Unit Linked Personal Pensions	3.48	3.63	4.31%
Groups of Personal Pension			
Executive Pensions			
Policies arising from BLAS			
Whole Life – Flexible Life Plan	2.75	2.85	3.64%
Critical Illness Plan	3.85	4.00	3.90%
Homeplan Plus - first series	4.75	4.95	4.21%
Homeplan Plus - second series	3.85	4.00	3.90%
Income Replacement Plan	3.05	3.18	4.26%
Pensions Contracts – Individual & Group			
- original series	4.25	4.40	3.53%
- premiums < £30 (p.m.) £300 (p.a.)	6.40	6.65	3.91%
- rebate only	2.90	3.00	3.45%
- Generation Pensions	3.85	4.00	3.90%
Post 1995 Pension Contracts - Individual &			
Group			
- fewer than 10 members	3.48	3.63	4.31%
- 10 or more members	2.08	2.17	4.33%

Policies previously written in Century

The following table shows the changes to service charges for premium paying linked Century policies since the previous investigation.

Original	Product or Business	Previous	Current	%
Company		Year	Year	Increase
NBA	Pension Individual Accounts	£122.64	£127.32	3.82%
Sentinel	Level premiums	£28.92	£30.00	3.73%
Sentinel	Increasing premiums	£46.32	£48.00	3.63%
UK Life	Pensions	£46.32	£48.00	3.63%
UK Life	Life	£23.16	£24.00	3.63%
CCL	Life	£44.40	£46.20	4.05%
CCL	Individual Pension	£60.00	£62.40	4.00%
CCL	Group Pension	£72.60	£75.60	4.13%
Shield	Series 2	£37.20	£39.00	4.84%
Shield	Appropriate Personal Pension Scheme	£53.40	£55.80	4.49%
Shield	Other	£32.40	£33.60	3.70%
Prosperity	Flexible Protection Plan	£40.47	£41.88	3.49%
Prosperity	Serious Illness Plan	£40.47	£41.88	3.49%
Prosperity	Flexible Mortgage Plan	£40.47	£41.88	3.49%
Prosperity	Flexible Investment Plan	£32.88	£34.08	3.65%
Prosperity	Capital Investment Bond	£29.04	£30.12	3.72%
Prosperity	Capital Preservation Plan	£29.04	£30.12	3.72%
Prosperity	Regular Premium Pensions	£52.32	£54.24	3.67%
Prosperity	Pension Transfer Plan	£43.80	£45.48	3.84%
Hiscox	All	£26.32	£27.24	3.50%
OMLA	Total Investment Bonds	£57.83	£60.24	4.16%
OMLA	Family Portfolio	£36.00	£36.00	0.00%
OMLA	Pensions 88	£50.31	£52.44	4.24%
OMLA	Pensions 91	£63.14	£65.88	4.33%
OMLA	Ex Target Pension Plans	£45.44	£47.40	4.31%
OMLA	Ex Target Homeloan	£27.15	£28.32	4.32%
OMLA	Ex Target 5 Plus Plan	£17.85	£18.60	4.19%
OMLA	Independent Pension Plans	£117.29	£122.28	4.26%
OMLA	Post 94 Executive Life & Select Life	£47.80	£49.80	4.18%
OMLA	Pensions 93	£83.80	£87.36	4.25%
OMLA	Pensions 95	£39.83	£41.52	4.23%
NAL	Personal Pension Plan	£42.65	£43.68	2.42%
NAL	Additional Pension Plan	£42.65	£43.68	2.42%

The following table shows the changes to service charges for single premium or paid up linked Century policies since the previous investigation.

Original	Product or Business	Previous	Current	%
Company		Year	Year	Increase
NBA	Pension Individual Accounts	£61.32	£63.48	3.52%
Sentinel	Level premiums	£28.92	£30.00	3.73%
Sentinel	Increasing premiums	£46.32	£48.00	3.63%
UK Life	Pensions	£23.16	£24.00	3.63%
UK Life	Life	£11.58	£12.00	3.63%
CCL	Life	£44.40	£46.20	4.05%
CCL	Individual Pension	£60.00	£62.40	4.00%
CCL	Group Pension	£72.60	£75.60	4.13%
Shield	Series 2	£37.20	£39.00	4.84%
Shield	Appropriate Personal Pension Scheme	£53.40	£55.80	4.49%
Shield	Other	£32.40	£33.60	3.70%
Prosperity	Flexible Protection Plan	£19.46	£20.16	3.58%
Prosperity	Serious Illness Plan	£19.46	£20.16	3.58%
Prosperity	Flexible Mortgage Plan	£19.46	£20.16	3.58%
Prosperity	Flexible Investment Plan	£19.46	£20.16	3.58%
Prosperity	Capital Investment Bond	£29.10	£30.12	3.51%
Prosperity	Capital Preservation Plan	£29.10	£30.12	3.51%
Prosperity	Regular Premium Pensions	£52.32	£54.24	3.67%
Prosperity	Pension Transfer Plan	£43.80	£45.48	3.84%
Hiscox	All	£26.32	£27.34	3.88%
OMLA	Total Investment Bonds	£57.83	£60.24	4.16%
OMLA	Family Portfolio	£36.00	£36.00	0.00%
OMLA	Pensions 88	£50.31	£52.44	4.24%
OMLA	Pensions 91	£63.14	£65.88	4.33%
OMLA	Ex Target Pension Plans	£45.44	£47.40	4.31%
OMLA	Ex Target Homeloan	£27.15	£28.32	4.32%
OMLA	Ex Target 5 Plus Plan	£17.85	£18.60	4.19%
OMLA	Independent Pension Plans	£117.29	£122.28	4.26%
OMLA	Post 94 Executive Life & Select Life	. £47.80	£49.80	4.18%
OMLA	Pensions 93	£83.80	£87.36	4.25%
OMLA	Pensions 95	£39.83	£41.52	4.23%
NAL	Personal Pension Plan	£42.65	£43.68	
NAL	Additional Pension Plan	£42.65	£43.68	2.42%

Policies previously written in BULA

There are no linked policies with service charges.

Policies previously written in BRS

There are no linked policies with service charges.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no linked policies in force.

(5)Benefit Charges on Linked Policies

Policies previously written in RSALI

The following table shows minimum and maximum changes to mortality charges since the previous investigation along with size of reserve and total sum at risk for each product.

	Percentage change		Reserve	Sum at Risk
Product	From	То	£000	£000
FPP2&3	-15%	28%	18,335	459,022
Homestyle & Wealthstyle	-15%	6%	210,753	353,673
FPP1	-19%	12%	25,652	317,612
Universal Life Plan	-19%	8%	11,530	277,080
Living Plan	-51%	88%	674	20,413
Heritage	-32%	95%	55,679	5,994
UPP, RSP,MSP & CCA pensions	-16%	9%	5,496	466

There were no other changes to benefit charges on linked policies during the period.

(6)Accumulating With Profits Charges

Not applicable

(7)Unit Pricing of Internal Linked Funds

Policies previously written in RSALI and SLUK (First Annuity Fund)

(a) Method used for cancellation and creation of units

All units are allocated to policies at the offer price calculated at the first valuation subsequent to the decision to allocate units. For non-Group units the fund is credited with an amount equal to the number of units allocated multiplied by the creation price or cancellation price (depending on whether the assets of the fund are being valued on a creation basis or cancellation basis respectively).

For Group units the fund is credited with an amount equal to the number of units allocated multiplied by the offer price.

All units are de-allocated from policies at the bid price calculated at the first valuation subsequent to the decision to de-allocate units. For non-Group units the fund is debited with an amount equal to the number of units so de-allocated multiplied by the creation price or cancellation price (depending on whether the assets of the fund are being valued on a creation basis or cancellation basis respectively). For Group units the fund is debited with an amount equal to the number of units de-allocated multiplied by the bid price.

Method used to determine prices of non-Group units in internal linked funds (except units in the Old Building Society Fund)

Prices of non-Group units in internal linked funds are calculated periodically thus:

(i) The assets of the fund are valued at 8 a.m. on the valuation day using, where applicable, the latest available prices supplied by a recognised supplier. Income from the assets of the fund less any appropriate tax charge is credited to the fund. Allowance is made for accrued income less deductions for any tax charges, both actual and prospective, and any other appropriate deductions permitted by policy conditions which includes investment management expenses for certain products.

The assets of the fund are valued on a cancellation basis (i.e. the price at which assets would be sold) or a creation basis (i.e. the price at which the assets would be purchased) depending on the current and recent trend and magnitude of unit transactions in the fund.

- (ii) The value determined in (i) is adjusted by an allowance for dealing costs representing dealing costs incurred in purchasing an identical portfolio if valued on a creation basis or dealing costs incurred in realising the portfolio if valued on a cancellation basis.
- (iii) Except for the Lifestyle Bond fund series and the Pensions Solutions fund series (for the range of pension products launched on 6 April 2001), a fund management charge is deducted from the fund at the applicable rate. The fund value for charging purposes may or may not include dealing costs adjustments and certain tax adjustments depending on policy conditions.
- (iv) The value of the fund thus determined divided by the number of units in issue represents the creation price (if assets are valued on a creation basis) or cancellation price (if assets are valued on a cancellation basis).
- (v) The offer price is calculated as the creation price or cancellation price, if the assets of the fund are valued on a creation basis and cancellation basis respectively, multiplied by A/B and rounded. The bid price is calculated as B% of the offer price and rounded, where:
 - A = 101 for all ULA and ULPF fund series except Money and Shield fund series
 - = 100 for other fund series
 - B = varies between 94 and 100. 100 less B represents the bid/offer spread
- (vi) Prices in the Deposit and Money funds are guaranteed not to fall.

Method used to determine prices in the Old Building Society fund

The unit price of accumulation units on any occasion on which it is determined exceeds the previously determined unit price by at least such percentage as would, if applied successively to the unit price at time intervals equal to the time interval between the two aforementioned determinations, equate over a period of twelve months to the lowest rate of interest used on such occasions or most recently used by the Abbey PLC for new repayment mortgages granted on owner-occupied private domestic premises. In the event that no such rate or more than one rate is used, the Company may use a rate that is in the opinion of the Actuary fair and reasonable. In the case of capital units only, this rate is reduced by three and one-half percentage points (or such other number of percentage points as shall equate to the annual rate of management charge for the time being applicable).

Method used to determine prices of Group units in internal linked funds

Prices of Group units in internal linked funds are calculated periodically thus:

(i) The assets of the fund are valued on the last working day of the month at 8 a.m. using, where applicable, the latest available prices supplied by a recognised supplier. Income from the assets of the fund is credited to the fund. Allowance is made for accrued income, both actual and prospective, and any appropriate deductions permitted by policy conditions. Certain costs incurred are met by the fund, e.g. custodian's fees, stamp duty and other dealing costs. Investment management expenses are met by the Company.

The assets of the fund are valued on a cancellation basis (i.e. the price at which assets would be sold) or a creation basis (i.e. the price at which the assets would be purchased) depending on whether there is a net cancellation or creation of units at the valuation date.

- (ii) The value determined in (i) is adjusted by an allowance for dealing costs representing dealing costs incurred in purchasing an identical portfolio if valued on a creation basis or dealing costs incurred in realising the portfolio if valued on a cancellation basis.
- (iii) A fund management charge is deducted from the fund at the applicable rate.
- (iv) The value of the fund thus determined divided by the number of units in issue represents the creation price (if assets are valued on a creation basis) or cancellation price (if assets are valued on a cancellation basis).

There is no bid/offer spread. If the fund is valued on a cancellation basis the bid and offer prices are calculated as the rounded cancellation price. If the fund is valued on a creation basis the bid and offer prices are calculated as the rounded creation price.

Method used to determine prices of units in internal linked funds (SLUK, ex-OB Fund)

In pricing units in internal linked funds, investments are valued at the lowest available offer price or the highest available bid price with appropriate allowance for the expenses of purchase or sale. The decision to value on a bid or offer basis is based on whether the trend of the size of the fund is downwards or upwards. The valuation is used to determine the bid price, the offer price being determined by adding the bid/offer spread to the bid price. Units are created and cancelled at the bid price; they are allocated to policies at the offer price and redeemed at the bid price. The prices used are those in effect on the day following a decision to carry out a transaction, or on the day a transaction is effected. Pricing is normally carried out daily for those funds which are invested in equities. For other funds, pricing is normally carried out weekly, the prices applying to the following seven days.

(b) Different Pricing Bases

Other than the differences mentioned in (a), different pricing bases do not apply to different policies.

(c) Units in Collective Investment Schemes

Funds buy units in certain unit trusts managed by Ignis Asset Management at the creation price; the price used in the valuation is from midnight the day before the valuation date.

In addition, for fund series formerly in ULA and ULPF, Series 8, 9 and 10 units for funds formerly in SAPL and the Lifestyle Bond and Pensions Solutions fund series, any remaining unit trust or Open Ended Investment Company fund management charge net of any rebate is reimbursed by the Company to the internal linked fund.

Policies previously written in Alba

(a) Method used for cancellation and creation of units

For all contracts described in this section, unless otherwise stated, the following features apply:

- •All unit purchases are subject to a charge (representing the bid-offer spread) of typically 5%.
- •There is currently no charge for one switch between funds every year (though the company reserves the right to introduce such a charge at any time). The maximum charge for each subsequent switch is £25.
- •All funds are currently on a bid basis.
- (i) The price at which the company creates and liquidates units is on the bid price on the day in question.
- (ii) Assets are valued at market values where they exist. The following rules apply:

Asset	Bid price	Offer Price
Cash	Face value	Face value
Internal linked Fund	Bid	Bid
Fixed interest	Bid minus dealing	Offer plus dealing
Internal unit trust	Cancellation	Creation
External unit trust	Bid	Offer
Equity	Bid minus dealing	Offer plus dealing
Property	Bid – Unitised Property	Offer - Unitised Property
	Fund price	Fund price plus buying costs

Most of the "Main Funds", i.e. the Internally Managed BLAS Life and Pensions Funds, are invested directly in IAM Unit Trusts which are valued at Noon daily.

Calculating bid and offer values of the units

The asset values used in the calculation of unit prices include an allowance for dealing costs of buying or selling the assets.

The initial charge incorporated into the calculation of maximum offer prices is fixed at 5/95 of the value of the Fund.

(iii)Basis of valuation of assets

Policyholder net unit sales/repurchases Fundamental pricing basis

Net sales expected into the medium term - Offer basis Net repurchases expected into the medium term - Bid basis

Funds' cash flows over the rolling previous four months are reviewed on a monthly basis. When the flow looks as if it could be changing direction, the pricing basis is changed and the Unit Pricing Committee advised.

(iv)Timing of asset valuation

The values of the above Funds are generally based on previous day's closing values. Funds which are cross invested in other BLAS Funds are valued after pricing the principal Funds and therefore receive same day price.

The Externally Managed Funds are priced on previous day's closing values, the one Branded Fund being valued daily and the others routinely on the first business day of every month and as required by clients or for claims etc.

(b) Different Pricing Bases

There are no differences applying to different policies.

(c) Collective Investment Schemes

This is covered in (a) above.

Policies previously written in Century

(a) Method used for cancellation and creation of units

The valuation price of a unit is calculated by dividing the market value of the relevant assets, including accruals for income and charges and after adjustment for accrued liability for tax on income and on realised and unrealised capital gains and losses, by the number of units in existence for the fund / type.

The creation price of a unit is obtained in the same way as the valuation price, having regard to the offer value of the investments plus the costs of acquisition. The cancellation price is similarly obtained having regard to the bid value of the investments less the costs of disposal.

If a fund has a net cash inflow and this is expected to remain so then the creation price will be used to allocate units to and, within limits, to de-allocate units from funds. Where a fund has a net cash outflow and is expected to remain so then the cancellation price will be used to de-allocate units from and, within limits, to allocate units to funds.

The current method by which the basis of the valuation of assets is selected is as follows.

For each fund a reference level of units is determined, called the tidemark level. Following the creation or cancellation of units, the current number of units is compared to the tidemark. If the fund is on a bid basis and the current number of units is lower than the tidemark then the tidemark is lowered. If the fund is on an offer basis and the current number of units is higher than the tidemark it is raised. If the fund is on an offer basis and the current number of units is less than 95% of the tidemark level then the fund will be moved to a bid basis and the tidemark reset. If the fund is on a bid basis and the current number of units is greater than 105% of the tidemark level then the fund will be moved to an offer basis and the tidemark reset.

In order to avoid going too far past the 5% trigger, before carrying out any large (greater than 3% of the fund) creation / cancellation which is against the existing basis, the unit position against the tidemark is examined in order to determine whether the transaction would trigger a change of basis. If so, the change of basis may be made in advance of the creation / cancellation rather than after.

For funds on a bid basis, the published bid price is determined from the above and, where the policy conditions permit, it may be rounded down by up to 1%. However following a review of rounding in 2007, most prices are rounded to the nearest tenth of a penny. The offer price is then obtained by multiplying by 100/(100-bid offer spread %).

For funds on an offer basis, the published offer price is determined from the above multiplied by 100/(100-bid offer spread %) and, where the policy conditions permit, may be rounded up by up to 1%. However following a review of rounding in 2007, most prices are rounded to the nearest tenth of a penny. The published bid price is then (100-bid offer spread)% of the offer price.

Valuations are carried out daily based on the investments held as at 9am and using the previous night's closing market values of the investments. Unit movements are allocated using the price calculated on the same day as the movements are processed. If a policyholder request to deal is received by post, then it is processed using the price on the day of receipt of the notification. If the notification is received by fax, then the following day's price is used in order to avoid selection against the fund.

(b) Different Pricing Bases

Except in very rare situations where a policyholder unit reduction is so large as to attract a dilution levy, at any one time the same pricing bases apply to different policies investing in the same internal linked funds.

(c) Collective Investment Schemes

Where collective investments are held, either the published offer price or the published bid price of the underlying unit trust is used, as appropriate. The pricing methodology and timing of the asset valuation is the same as for units invested in direct assets. The last opportunity to deal at the price calculated will be close of

business on the previous day. The last opportunity to deal directly in the unit trust using consistent prices will also be close of business the previous day.

Policies previously written in BULA

(a) Method used for cancellation and creation of units

Ex-Unit Linked Fund: Other than Pensions Managed Fund business

Units are allocated to policies at the published offer price on the valuation date immediately following the date of receipt of the premium. On maturity, units are cancelled at the bid price on the valuation date immediately preceding the maturity date. On retirement, units are cancelled at the bid price on the last valuation date in the month of the selected retirement date. On death, critical illness, surrender, transfer, withdrawal, early retirement or other early termination, units are cancelled at the bid price on the valuation date following date of notification. Charges for mortality and terminal and critical illness cover, where applicable, are made by cancelling units at the bid price.

Units are only created in any linked fund if assets equivalent to such units are added simultaneously to the fund. Except for the purposes of meeting all expenses, charges and any tax liabilities or for reinvestment, assets are only withdrawn from any linked fund if units equivalent to such assets are simultaneously cancelled.

The fund is reduced by the weekly management charge based on the number of units multiplied by the published offer price.

Currently unit prices are determined by valuing the assets of the linked funds weekly, normally on a Wednesday, the valuation date. The day and frequency of such valuations may be amended after giving prior notice. The valuation takes account of all assets including uninvested cash. Stock exchange securities are valued using quoted prices. The value of land and buildings, if any, is based on valuations prepared by independent valuers with due allowance for variations since such valuations. The values of other assets are determined by the Head of Actuarial Function after taking any advice from independent experts or valuers where appropriate.

The offer value of a linked fund is the value of the investments at the prices at which they might be purchased on the valuation date, increased by amounts to cover acquisition costs of these investments and for accrued investment income and reduced by amounts to cover provisions for capital gains tax where applicable, other taxes and levies and by the management charge. The offer price of a unit is the offer value divided by the number of units, multiplied by 100/95 and the result rounded to the nearest tenth of a penny. The published offer price may vary below this offer price.

The bid value of the linked fund is the value of the investments at prices at which they might be sold on the valuation date, increased by amounts for accrued investment income and reduced by amounts to cover realisation costs, provisions for capital gains tax where applicable, other taxes and levies and by the management charge. The bid price of a unit is the bid value of the fund divided by the number of units, rounded to the nearest tenth of a penny. The published bid price may vary above this bid price.

When the published prices are based on an offer basis, then the published offer price is the rounded offer price and the published bid price is 95% of the published offer price. When the published prices are based on a bid basis, then the published bid price is the rounded bid price and the published offer price is 100/95 times the published bid price. The offer basis is used for periods of net creation of units and a bid basis is used for periods of net cancellation of units. All funds are currently on a bid basis.

Ex-Unit Linked Fund: Stakeholder Pension

These funds are priced daily, on an offer basis if there are net creations that day or on a bid basis if there are net cancellations that day. They are single priced and there is no bid/offer spread.

The offer basis price is the value of the assets of the fund, based on the prices at which the underlying assets could be bought, increased by amounts to cover dealing costs and stamp duty, where applicable, divided by the number of units, then reduced by the equivalent of one day's management charge and then rounded to two decimal places.

The bid basis price is the value of the assets of the fund, based on the prices at which the underlying assets could be sold, decreased by amounts to cover dealing costs, divided by the number of units, then reduced by the equivalent of one day's management charge and then rounded to two decimal places.

Ex-Unit Linked Fund: Pensions Managed Fund Business

Up to and including 30 November 2003 these funds were priced in a similar way as described above for Stakeholder Pension business.

From 1 December 2003, unit prices are calculated gross of management charges. Management charges are taken by unit encashment.

Ex-Non-Profit Fund: Other than the Capital and Super Plan

Unit prices are determined weekly. Unit prices may be determined more frequently at the company's discretion.

Policyholders buy units at the next available published offer price following the day that premiums are paid. Units are bought from policyholders at the next bid price following an instruction to switch units and at the current bid price at the time of maturity or death. Unit charges are deducted at the latest bid price at the time the charge is made. On surrender, units are valued at the latest bid price following receipt of all necessary documentation.

Units in the internal linked funds are created or cancelled at the unrounded offer price to match the number of units allocated or deallocated from policies. When there is expected to be a net purchase of underlying assets over the medium term, the internal linked funds are valued on an offer basis. A bid basis is used when net sales are expected.

When the funds are valued on an offer basis, unrounded offer prices are equal to the net asset value per internal unit for each unit fund. For a particular fund the asset value is:

- the lowest offer value of assets as at the close of the previous working day
- plus an estimate of the buying expenses incurred in purchasing an identical portfolio of assets
- plus investment income (net of tax for life funds)
- minus, for life funds, a provision for accrued unrealised capital gains tax
- minus an amount in respect of accrued annual management charge.

The latter item is deducted weekly.

When the funds are valued on a bid basis, unit allocations and deallocations again take place using an unrounded offer price. This again is equal to the net asset value per internal unit for each unit fund. In this case for a particular fund the asset value is

- the highest bid value of assets at the close of the previous working day
- less an estimate of the cost of realising the portfolio of assets
- plus investment income (net of tax for life funds)
- minus, for life funds, a provision for accrued unrealised capital gains tax
- minus an amount in respect of accrued annual management charge.

The latter is deducted weekly.

On both bases, the published offer price is the unrounded offer price rounded up to the nearest 0.5 pence. The published bid price is calculated as 95% of the published offer price rounded down to the nearer 0.5 pence.

Within each fund, all policyholder units are valued using the same basis. Where units are held in collective investment schemes, unit trust prices are taken as the lowest offer price at the close of the previous working day.

Ex-Non-Profit Fund: Capital and Super Plan

Unit prices are calculated on the 16th of each month based on closing prices on the 15th. Premiums are paid on the 15th of each month and policyholders purchase units at the offer price calculated on the 16th. On maturity or death, units are cancelled at the latest bid price. On surrender, units are cancelled at the latest bid price following receipt of all documentation. Super Plan units may be surrendered only on the 16th of each month. At the company's discretion Capital units could be surrendered at the next available bid price.

Bid/offer spreads and the pricing basis is laid down in the policy documents. The published Capital Offer price is the unrounded price multiplied by 1.0125 rounded to the nearest half pence. The published Capital Bid price is calculated as 0.9875 times the unrounded price rounded in the same way as the Offer price. The published Super Plan Offer price is the unrounded price multiplied by 1.0175 rounded in the same way as the Capital prices. The published Super Plan Bid price is calculated as 0.9825 times the unrounded price rounded in the same way as the Capital prices.

Unrounded prices are equal to the net asset value per internal unit for each unit fund. For each fund the asset value is the mid market value of assets as at the close on the 15th of the month minus a provision for accrued unrealised capital gains tax.

(b) Different Pricing Bases

The same pricing bases apply to different policies investing in the same internal linked funds.

(c) Collective Investment Schemes

Where units are held in collective investment schemes, unit trust prices are taken as the lowest offer price at the close of the previous working day. The pricing methodology and timing of the asset valuation is the same as for units invested in direct assets. The last opportunity to deal at the price calculated will be close of business on the previous day. The last opportunity to deal directly in the unit trust using consistent prices will also be close of business the previous day.

Policies previously written in BRS

(a) Method used for cancellation and creation of units

For the Equity Release Plan there is a single unit in each individual internal linked fund. For the purpose of determining unit prices, properties in the funds are held at vacant possession open market value. Properties are independently valued at acquisition and at least once every three years thereafter. The internal linked funds are valued at least once in each calendar year on the basis of the most recent valuation of the property adjusted using statistics for movements in house prices since the date of the last valuation.

(b) Different Pricing Bases

The same pricing basis is used for all policies at all times.

(c) Collective Investment Schemes

The units are not in collective investment schemes.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no internal linked funds.

(8) Tax Deductions From Internal Linked Funds

Policies previously written in PLL

(a)Tax on Realised Capital Gains

Applicable to life funds only.

The provision for tax on realised capital gains on chargeable assets within internal funds is calculated at each valuation by applying a tax rate to the realised capital

gain calculated as the excess of the proceeds on disposal of the asset less the book cost of the asset with appropriate allowance for indexation relief.

Any brought forward realised capital losses are applied to offset any realised capital gains within the same fund.

The tax rate applied to realised capital gains does not exceed that which would apply if the fund comprised the whole of the Company's life assurance fund with no allowance being made for expenses. For chargeable assets excluding gilts and bonds, the rate of tax applied during the report period has been 20%. Throughout the report period the rate of tax for gilts and bonds, where a tax rate has applied, was 20%. The provision for tax on realised capital gains is deducted from the fund annually at the end of each year.

For realised capital gains arising from Sections 212 to 214a of the Taxation of Chargeable Gains Act 1992, one seventh of the gain is provided for as above. The balance of the instalments is taxed at an appropriate rate (18%) and the provision is held within the fund. When the capital gains tax charge on a subsequent instalment falls due, the instalment is removed from this provision and treated as other realised tax charges described above.

Where policy benefits are linked to directly held assets, namely authorised unit trusts or other collective investment schemes, a deduction is made from the policy benefits when units are de-allocated representing tax on the realised capital gains as permitted by policy conditions. During the report period the rate of tax applied has been 20% throughout.

(b)Tax on Unrealised Capital Gains

Applicable to life funds only.

The provision for tax on unrealised capital gains on chargeable assets within internal funds is calculated at each valuation by applying a tax rate to the unrealised capital gain calculated on the excess of the market value of the asset less the book cost of the asset with appropriate allowance for indexation relief.

Any brought forward realised capital losses remaining after offsetting against the provision for realised capital gains are applied to offset any unrealised capital gains within the same fund.

During the report period the rates of tax applied have been all on the bid basis:

Asset within internal fund	Offer basis	Bid basis
Equities and other company securities	17%	20%
Unit trusts etc. *	17%	20%
Property	17%	20%
Gilts and bonds	20%	20%

^{*} i.e. assets within the scope of the deemed disposal provisions.

Policies previously written in Alba

Tax on income

Tax on income is calculated at the full policyholder tax rates on all investment income.

Tax on loan relationships

For loan relationships both income and capital gains are taxed under the provisions for income. Accordingly, tax is calculated on the full policyholder tax rates on both elements. Full credit is given for anticipated tax losses.

Capital Gains Tax (CGT) on other assets:

For ordinary disposals each taxable gain is charged at the rate in force at the time of disposal. Details of tax liabilities in respect of investment income and realised capital gains is incorporated into unit pricing from the investment ledger on a daily basis.

In the case of deemed disposals, taxable gains and reclaimable losses are charged at the rates in force at the end of the years to which each fraction is allocated, and discounted back to the present time at a rate to be set by the Unit Pricing Committee.

Since the fund is given full allowance for unrealised capital gains accrued to date the unit price should reflect the tax liability that has accrued alongside. Accordingly current liabilities are maintained which reflect the Fund's accrual of unrealised capital gains but discounted to the extent that tax on them can be deferred. Ultimate payment of this tax will depend on the extent to which the stock notionally backing policyholders' current claims can be passed on to incoming policyholders (i.e. the pattern of future policyholder net cash flow) and the degree of active Fund management. To the extent that deferment is anticipated, the Unit Pricing Committee will discount the tax for the period at an appropriate real rate of interest after tax, as set.

While a fund is valued on a bid basis in response to net outflow of policyholder money, the full rate of tax is applied to unrealised gains.

Where losses, realised or unrealised, are experienced the pace of future growth in market values is also a factor. There is a risk that the funds will suffer a substantial net outflow, before market values have recovered sufficiently, thereby reducing further the likelihood of the loss being completely absorbed. Tax is applied to each internal linked fund as if it were a separate fund for tax purposes. The current practice is detailed in the following table.

An appropriate allowance is made for indexation in the calculation of gains subject to taxation.

The above can be summarised as shown in the following table; all funds are on the bid basis:

	Bid basis		Offer basis	
	Gains	Losses	Gains	Losses
Investment income	Provided at full rate -20%	N/A	Provided at full rate -20%	N/A
Loan relationships	Provided at full rate – 20%	Provided at full rate – 20%	Provided at full rate – 20%	Provided at full rate – 20%
Realised gains and losses	Provided at full rate – 20%	Provided at nil	Provided at full rate – 20%	Provided at nil
Unrealised gains and losses				
Main Life Funds	Provided at 17% (18% from 31/12/2008)	Provided at nil	Provided at 17% (18% from 31/12/2008)	Provided at nil
Personal Bonds	Provided at 20%	Provided at nil	Provided at 20%	Provided at nil
Deemed disposals	Provided at discounted rate – 18%	Credit to the extent that prior deemed gains exist.	Provided at discounted rate – 18%	Credit to the extent that prior deemed gains exist.

Policies previously written in Century

Tax is applied to each internal linked fund as if it were a separate fund for tax purposes. Realised tax is removed from the funds on a quarterly basis.

In the case of unit trusts, a deemed disposal is carried out at the end of each financial year and the tax deducted from the funds. Where a gain occurs subsequent to previous losses, those losses are used to offset the gain. Where a loss occurs subsequent to previous gains an amount of tax is credited back to the fund. Tax expected to be payable in current and future years as a result of a deemed disposal is removed from the fund in full but at a discounted rate, during the deemed disposal process. The rate at 31 December 2008 was 18%

Realised and unrealised capital gains are calculated as the difference between the sale proceeds and indexed pooled book cost or, in the case of unit trusts, the indexed pooled market value at the date of the latest deemed disposal with adjustments for any subsequent transactions. The unrealised provision is calculated at a rate of 18%. Tax on realised gains is deducted at 20%.

Policies previously written in BULA

Monies are removed from the funds to pay for capital gains tax only when investments are realised, with deemed disposals of unit trusts being treated as realisations.

The rate of tax levied on realised indexed equity/unit trust gains and fixed interest gains was 20% during 2008.

Tax on indexed unrealised gains is provided for at 20%. (18% on Capital and Super Plan funds). Deemed disposals are treated in the same way as ex-Century funds using the same rates of tax.

Policies previously written in BRS

No deductions are made for capital gains tax in the Equity Release Plan internal linked funds.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no internal linked funds.

(9)Tax Provisions for Internal Linked Funds

Due to a mismatch between the capital gains position of individual life linked funds and the overall position of the company, an additional reserve is normally held. At the valuation date the reserve was £61.1m.

Policies previously written in PLL

For assets of internal linked funds, provision for tax on unrealised capital gains has been implicitly included in the unit liability, the latter being equal to the value of the corresponding linked funds which has been adjusted to allow for future tax on capital gains.

Allowance has been made in the valuation for taxation which whilst currently being shown as tax recoverable by the non-linked funds, will actually be used for the benefit of the unitholders in the linked funds.

Tax rates used in the determination of the provision are described above in 3(8)(b).

This method applies to all types of units.

Policies previously written in Alba

These are covered in paragraph 3 (8) above.

Policies previously written in Century

During the year, realised and unrealised gains and losses are notionally credited in the pricing process. Tax is deducted and credited at the current rate used to calculate the unrealised provision.

Realised and unrealised capital gains are calculated as the difference between the sale proceeds and indexed pooled book cost or in the case of unit trusts, the indexed pooled market value at the date of the latest deemed disposal with adjustments for any subsequent transactions. The unrealised provision was calculated at a rate of 18%. Tax on realised gains was deducted at 20%.

Income, realised gains and unrealised gains in respect of loan relationships were, at the valuation date, taxed at 20% having regard to the pooled market value at the date of the latest mark to market.

Policies previously written in BULA

In determining unit prices, realised and unrealised gains have been allowed for as follows:

The value of the assets of the linked funds is reduced by any tax due on realised gains (after taking account of unrelieved tax losses). For realised losses, no allowance has been made for any tax credit since July 2001.

For unrealised gains, (net of unrelieved tax losses) a provision for the full tax charge with no discounting has been made. For unrealised losses, no allowance has been made for any tax credit. Capital losses on each fund are treated individually.

Deemed disposals on unit trusts have been treated as realised gains. The tax rate throughout the year has been 20%.

For linked funds other than Property funds, during the valuation year the provision was equal to 20% of the unrealised indexed amounts. For Property funds the provision was 20% of the unrealised indexed amounts. The rate of tax levied on unrealised fixed interest gains was 20% of the unrealised amounts.

Policies previously written in BRS

A deferred tax provision is held to cover chargeable capital gains made up to the valuation date. The current tax rate applicable to capital gains is currently 20% and the provision covers 100% of this.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no internal linked funds.

(10)Discounts on Unit Purchases

Unit Trust Life and Unit Trust Pension Policies previously written in PLL

The internal linked funds purchase units in collective investment funds managed by certain companies with no connection with the Company where discounts are received on the Initial Charges.

These are detailed below:

Investment Management Company	Initial Charge Discount	Fund buys at:
Framlington	4.00%	-
Henderson	-	Creation price + 0.5%
Barings	4.50%	-
Gartmore	4.50%	-
Invesco	3.00%	
Perpetual	4.50%	-

Direct holdings of assets in PLL

The Company holds authorised unit trusts and investments in collective investment schemes which are direct holdings of assets matching liabilities in respect of property linked benefits.

The Company receives a discount of 5% on the Initial Charge when buying units in respect of unit trusts managed by the JP Morgan Fleming. The benefit of this discount is retained by the Company.

The Company receives a discount of 4.5% on the Initial Charge when buying units in respect of unit trusts managed by Gartmore Fund Managers. The benefit of this discount is retained by the Company.

External Fund links for the Lifestyle Bond previously written in PLL

Certain funds available to the Lifestyle Bond buy or sell units in funds operated by external companies with no connection with the Company at the creation or liquidation price.

The company receives a rebate of management charge in respect of holdings in such External funds as set out in the following table:

External Fund Link	Rebate p.a.
Framlington Health	0.55%
Framlington Absolute Growth	0.75%
Framlington UK Growth	0.65%
Gartmore American	0.75%
Gartmore Euro Select	0.75%
Gartmore UK & Irish Companies	0.75%
Aberdeen Technology	0.75%
Aberdeen Ethical	0.95%
Newton Life Managed	1.00%
Newton Life Balanced	1.00%
Newton Life Continental	1.00%

The rebates received are reimbursed (net of tax at 20%) by the Company to the internal linked fund.

External Fund links for Pensions Solutions Products previously written in PLL

Certain funds available to Pensions Solutions products buy or sell units in funds operated by external companies with no connection with the Company at the creation or liquidation price.

The company receives a rebate of management charge in respect of holdings in such funds External funds as set out in the following table:

External Fund Link	Rebate p.a.
Baille Gifford Managed	0.00%
Framlington Health	0.55%
Framlington Absolute Growth	0.75%
Framlington UK Growth	0.65%
Gartmore American	0.75%
Gartmore Euro Select	0.75%
Gartmore UK & Irish Companies	0.75%
Aberdeen Technology	0.75%
Aberdeen Ethical	0.95%
Newton Life Managed	1.00%
Newton Life Balanced	1.00%
Newton Life Continental	1.00%

The rebates received are reimbursed by the Company to the internal linked fund.

Policies previously written in Alba

No units are held that need to be reported.

Policies previously written in Century

The assets of the internal linked funds of the Company include unit trusts and other collective investment schemes, e.g. OEICS, ICVCs and UCITS, available from a range of fund managers.

In general, discounts are available to the Company on the purchase of units and these are passed on to the policyholder. The majority of the assets in what were formerly Century's unit linked funds are managed by Ignis Asset Management Limited and Invesco Fund Managers Limited. Both fully rebate their initial charge.

Both Ignis Asset Management Limited and Invesco Fund Managers Limited rebate to the Company a part of the annual management charge levied by them with the exception of Invesco assets held by the Perpetual Managed Funds. For the majority of the internal funds, the amount rebated to the Company is credited to the relevant fund, less tax where appropriate. Specifically:

(i) The following linked funds are rebated some or all of the amount received by Century Life in respect of those funds, subject to the deduction of tax in the case of life funds. This applies to:

Certain Ex-NBA Performance Bond fund links to IAM

Ex-NBA Multichoice life funds

Ex-NEL Pensions Multichoice funds

Ex-CCL funds

Ex-Crown funds

Ex-Prosperity funds

Ex-Hiscox funds

Ex-City of Edinburgh funds

Ex-UK Life funds

Ex-OMLA funds

(ii) Certain funds are rebated the whole of the unit trust annual management charge, subject to tax. This applies to:

Ex-NBA Performance Bond fund links to Invesco and certain links to IAM Ex-NBA Pensions
Ex-NEL Pensions NELEX fund links to Invesco and IAM except Invesco Exempt Trust fund
Ex-NAL Funds

(iii) Certain funds receive no rebate at all. The funds are:

Ex-NEL Pensions NELEX Invesco Exempt Trust fund Ex-Sentinel funds Broker funds*

*In respect of unit trust investments held within broker funds neither Ignis nor Invesco rebate their annual management charges to the Company.

Policies previously written in BULA

Discounts received on investments in collective investment schemes managed by Ignis Asset Management are reimbursed by the company to the linked fund in full.

Policies previously written in BRS

No units are held that need to be reported.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no internal linked funds.

4. VALUATION BASIS

(1) Valuation Methods

Policies previously written in PLL

A gross premium method has been used except for a small number of ex-SLUK non-profit policies which have been valued using the net premium method.

In respect of fixed immediate, reversionary and index linked annuities, the liability has been taken as the present value of all future annuity payments, valued according to the contractual mode of payment, together with the relevant expenses. Allowance has been made where annuity payments are guaranteed for a minimum number of years.

For guaranteed rate individual PHI policies the gross premium method was used in the valuation. Gross premiums were reduced by the greater of the amount of renewal commission and 2.5%. In addition there is an annual per policy loading for all contracts. Any waiver of premium benefits are brought into the calculation of the reserves as an addition to the sum assured. The reserves thus calculated were then increased to provide, inter alia, for:

- extra premium payable on account of health or occupation. Policies carrying an extra premium have an additional reserve of 2 years' extra premium (PAL only);
- (ii) an additional reserve making full provision for claims in payment on the valuation date; and
- (iii) the inclusion of additional reserves for claims incurred but not yet reported and claims notified but not yet accepted.

For group risk contracts the reserve is made up as follows:

- (i) a reserve in respect of the risk exposure relating to the period from the valuation date to the next premium due date,
- (ii) 10% of premiums in force on the valuation date.
- (iii) the discounted value of PHI claims in course of payment, and
- (iv) a reserve in respect of claims which have not yet been reported, whether as a result of the deferred period (for PHI claims) or for other reasons.

The liability in respect of Progressive Protection contracts is taken as one year's office premium in respect of life cover, and one and a half years' premium in respect of critical illness cover.

The liability for Flexible Mortgage Protection contracts is taken as three and a half years' office premium in respect of life cover, and four years' premium in respect of critical illness cover.

In respect of policies issued on sub-standard lives, where an extra premium was imposed, a reserve of not less than 100% of one year's extra premium was established.

Deposit administration contracts (PAL)

The liability for Pension Plans was calculated as:

- (i) the total Pension Accounts; plus
- (ii) an allowance for any death in service benefits provided under the Executive Pension Plan; plus
- (iii) an allowance to provide for investment guarantees; plus
- (iv) an annual per policy expense.

Deposit administration contracts (SLUK)

For Deposit Administration contracts and Deferred Annuities by Cash Accumulation, the reserve is the discounted value of expected future transfers from the accounts, including bonuses at the current rate. The discounted value of the payments due on surrender of the contract has been used where this produces a higher reserve.

Linked Contracts

In respect of all other unit linked contracts the liability is determined on the following basis:

Unit liability – for all contracts, the unit liabilities were taken as the number of units deemed allocated in accordance with the funding plan where applicable and allowing for future cancellation of cancellable units multiplied by the unit price in the relevant fund or unit trust/OEIC at the valuation date. For this purpose unit prices in the internal linked funds have been calculated using the bid value at the valuation date of the assets of the relevant fund.

Non-unit liability – for all contracts, except those listed below, the liability is calculated using cash flow projection methods. Allowance is made within the cash flow projection for mortality and expenses. For ex-RSALI business negative sterling reserves are allowable as long as total unit and sterling reserves are at least equal to surrender values

The total liability is the sum of the unit and non-unit liabilities.

For Protection Plan, a proportion of each premium, less a charge for life cover, is invested in units and placed in the "Reserve Account". On each policy anniversary the value of the units in the "Reserve Account" is compared with a guaranteed value (calculated using a net premium formula with interest at 2.5% and mortality assuming A49/52) and the number of units is reduced so that the value of units in the "Reserve Account" is equal to the guaranteed value. The balance of units (if any) is transferred to the "Bonus Account".

The claim value is the sum assured plus the value of units in the "Bonus Account". The surrender value, after two years' premiums have been paid, is the guaranteed value at each policy anniversary plus the value of units in the "Bonus Account".

The total liability is the sum of the unit and non-unit liabilities (including the value of units in the "Bonus Account"), subject to a minimum of the surrender value.

The approach adopted to calculate the non-unit liability for policies not valued using a discounted cash flow basis is set out below.

Isle of Man Substitute Plans (Series I and II)	5% of annual premium
Group Pension Scheme	1% of unit liability plus one month's premium
International Mortgage Plan	5% of annual premium
Flexibonds	5% of unit liability
Isle of Man Substitute Plans (Series III)	5% of unit liability
Universal Protection Plan	3 months' servicing expense charges
Vested Pensions Policy	Value of future expenses

Annuity in Payment	Value of future expenses

For Partners Pension Plan, Pension Fund Investment Policy, Pension Fund Trustee Investment Policy, Private Companies Pension Fund Investment Policy, Retirement Annuity Policy, Group Schemes, Shield, Lloyds Bank, Individual Bonds (inc. CTT Plan), no cash flow projections were made. This is because such ongoing expenses are currently less than the ongoing monthly management charge on the units and anticipated to be so in the future.

The liability in respect of benefits on contracts reassured from Phoenix and London Assurance Limited (PALAL) on a risk premium basis is taken as three months' risk premiums in respect of these benefits, as charged to policyholders by PALAL. (PALAL pays Phoenix Life Limited 87.5% of these risk premiums in respect of life cover reassurances, and 91% of these risk premiums in respect of reassurances of the Living Benefit and Medical Expenses Benefit on Universal Protection Plan).

For products where the valuation method does not allow for future lapses as outlined in paragraph 4 (9), negative reserves have been eliminated.

PAL Reassured Contracts

Business is accepted under reassurance treaties with companies overseas under which the Company receives a share of the whole business. The liabilities have been calculated on the valuation basis adopted by each ceding company. The liabilities are the amounts which have been deposited with each ceding company as security for the Company's obligation; each reassurance treaty contains a clause that the mathematical reserve must be deposited with the ceding company.

Policies previously written in Alba

- (1) The mathematical reserve for all unitised contracts linked to units in the unit linked fund has been calculated as the sum of (i) and (ii) below:
 - (i) The face value of units, which is based on the number of units at the valuation date.
 - (ii) A sterling reserve calculated by discounting projected future cashflows and allowing for future expenses. For regular premium paying policies, the reserves are based on the higher of:
 - (a) the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums continue to be paid at the current level and
 - (b) the reserve calculated assuming that premiums cease and the policy becomes paid up at the valuation date.

Exceptions:

- (a) No negative reserves have been included and no contract of insurance has been treated as an asset.
- (b) For property linked business an amount equal to the provision in the pricing of the internal linked funds at the valuation date has been reserved for the prospective liability to tax on unrealised capital gains.

(c) No specific reserve has been made for investment performance guarantees for property linked contracts.

For the Capital Investment Bond and Mortgage Minder arising from BLA, no specific reserve has been considered necessary for the Cash Fund guarantee because the backing assets are deposits or short term securities.

Policies Arising From BLAS

Mortality reserves in respect of guaranteed and other death benefits were set up as follows:

Pre 1982 series. Assuming a 25% fall in unit values, very few contracts would have a sum assured at risk. A nominal reserve is held.

Post 1981 series and pension business. With the exception specified below, the reserve is twice the monthly risk charge for the relevant benefits, adjusted for extra premiums.

The reserve set up for waiver of premium benefits under the Personal Pension Plan is the accumulation of premiums paid subject to a maximum of three years' premium, adjusted for extra premiums.

For permanent health insurance benefits the reserve set up is the accumulation of premiums paid subject to a maximum of three months' premiums, adjusted for extra premiums.

- (d)Other specific reserves have been set up for the following contracts:
 - (i)On Growth Property Bonds Series 1 and 2, Capital Investment Bonds and single premium pension plans, the unit liability was the value of units allocated plus loyalty bonus units accrued to the valuation date.
 - (ii)For Mortgage Minder and regular premium unit linked pension policies the unit reserve was equal to the value of the units allocated to date. A mortality reserve was held equal to one third of the current month's mortality charge.
 - (iii)Genesis pension contracts have been valued by a cash flow method for linked benefits.

(iv)LASPEN Managed Fund

The valuation net liability comprises the unit liabilities at the valuation date and the amount of premiums received by the valuation date to be allocated to the purchase of units at the next allocation date.

(v)Post 1 January 1995 Contracts

A reserve for future mortality and expenses was generated by calculating a present value of future charges, expenses and costs of risk benefits. The charges assumed are those actually deducted from the contract and the bases for expenses and mortality are as shown at the start of this section.

(vi)Segregated Pension Funds

Certain Segregated Pension funds have outstanding loans matched against property assets. No additional reserve was required regarding the recovery of these loans.

Policies previously written in Century

The total liability, net of reassurance, for all classes of business where a prospective method of valuation has not been used is not significant in comparison with the total mathematical reserves for the Company.

The valuation methods used in the valuation of the significant groups of business were as follows.

Non-linked Whole Life and Endowment Assurance

For all non-linked whole life and endowment assurance contracts, the reserve was calculated using a gross premium method of valuation.

Policies have been issued subject to a lien and under certain Endowment Assurance policies the sum payable on death may be less than the sum payable at maturity. In the valuation an amount equal to the sum payable on maturity has been assumed to be the sum payable at death.

The reserves calculated were tested against the guaranteed surrender value and if the latter was the greater then this amount was held as the valuation reserve. Any negative reserves arising were individually eliminated by reducing the value of the valuation premiums so as to make the mathematical reserves zero.

Policies which have been granted guaranteed bonus additions have been valued as non-profit policies with a sum assured increasing at the guaranteed rate. Additional reserves have been included for the guaranteed terminal bonus on ex-Hiscox ex-with profit policies.

For waiver of premium benefits the liability has been taken as 75% of the accumulated annualised premium plus a reserve for claims in payment at the valuation date.

Guaranteed Security Bonds were valued using a cashflow method.

Term Assurances

For all term assurance contracts a gross premium method of valuation was used.

For Ex-Prosperity Decreasing Term Assurances and Pension Term Assurances costed by recurrent single premiums, 100% of either the annual or single premium was reserved, as appropriate.

A distinction has been made for policyholders of certain ex-NEL Term Assurances and reducing Term Assurances between those who do and those who do not smoke.

For ex-CCL Convertible Term Assurances an additional reserve of 10% of premiums paid for policies issued up to 1979 and 15% of premiums paid for policies issued after 1979 was held. For all other Convertible Term Assurances an additional reserve of 10% of all premiums paid accumulated with compound interest at the valuation rate has been made.

For ex-FMI contingent assurances a reserve equal to the single premium was made.

A 13% Mortgage Repayment Table was assumed to apply to all ex-NEL and ex-Sentinel Mortgage Protection policies. A 6.75% Mortgage Repayment Table was assumed to apply to all ex-Consumers Mortgage Protection policies. A 12% Mortgage Repayment Table was assumed to apply to all policies originally issued by CCL and a 15% table to all policies originally issued by Shield. For ex-NAL Mortgage Protection Plans a mortgage rate of 8% was assumed in determining future sums assured.

For policies where the extended term non-forfeiture provision was in operation on the valuation date, a reserve was held to cover the liability during the remaining period of non-forfeiture and, for endowment assurances, any maturity payment at the end of the period.

For accidental death benefits, the reserve held was an amount equal to the unexpired portion of the premium plus two months' premiums plus a reserve for claims in payment on the valuation date. For ex-Prosperity Accident Income Plans the reserves were calculated as one half of the annual premiums in force. An additional reserve was held for claims in payment.

For lives accepted at non-standard rates, the additional reserve held was an amount equal to 150% of the annual office extra premium.

In the case of reassurances accepted or ceded by the Company on a risk premium basis, a reserve equal to 50% of the current premium has been set up where premiums are paid annually, and equal to one month's premium where premiums are paid monthly.

No credit has been taken for risk premium reinsurance ceded on ex-CFS policies as this is costed on a quarterly census method.

Ex-Prosperity Group life assurances were valued by reserving either 60.0% of the annual premium or the unearned proportion of the single premium, as appropriate. The liability in respect of other Group Life schemes has been calculated as (12-n)/12 multiplied by one year's office premium, where n is equivalent to the number of complete months of cover since the last renewal date. In the case of schemes paying premiums on a monthly basis one month's premium has been reserved.

For annual premium Group Death in Service contracts providing Spouses' Pensions benefits, the wives have been assumed to be 3 years younger than their husband. For ex-Crown policies, a reserve has been made for Group Death in Service Benefits by recurrent single premium of a proportion of the office premium corresponding to the unexpired period of risk calculated to the higher month.

The liability for Payment Protection Benefits on ex-NAL Pensions Life Assurance Plans was taken as one annual premium. The liability for Payment Protection claims in payment was taken as five times the annual premium for the main contract.

A reserve has been held for death claims which have not been reported equal to two months' expected death cost net of reassurance. A similar reserve has been held for critical illness claims equal to three months' expected claim cost net of reassurance.

For Creditor schemes, the liability was taken as the total amount of unearned premiums (net of commission) as at the valuation date assuming that all risks commenced at the end of the premium payment month. The single premiums are taken to be earned in proportion to the cost of risk assuming that loan repayments are uniform over the term of each loan and that the claims rate remains constant. The valuation methods make implicit allowance for claims incurred but not reported as at the valuation date. The liabilities were increased by the amount of any experience profit share accrued to the valuation date.

Any negative reserves arising were individually eliminated by reducing the value of the valuation premiums so as to make the mathematical reserves zero.

Non-linked Deferred Annuities

For all policies, the premium payment term is an integral number of years and the vesting date is usually the insured's birthday following the end of that period.

For all deferred annuity contracts the reserve was calculated using a gross premium method of valuation.

Personal Retirement Plans were valued using a cashflow method.

Any negative reserves arising were individually eliminated by reducing the value of the valuation premiums so as to make the mathematical reserves zero.

Ex-NELPEN Self Employed Pension Plans, Personal Pension Policies, EPPs, AVCs, Transfer Plan and Group Pension Schemes (including Pensions Management Contracts)

Under "Guaranteed Growth" contracts, for the "Second Account" or "Main Account" the full accumulated balance is taken and for "First Account" or "Initial Account" the accumulated balance is discounted with mortality and interest. On all "Guaranteed Growth" contracts the accumulated balance at the valuation date is increased by interest at the relevant rate at the valuation date to allow for interest accruing since the previous policy anniversary.

For Guaranteed Growth Plans, the valuation method was to take the accumulated balance of the "Second Account/Main Account" plus the discounted balance of the "First Account/Initial Account" plus a reserve for future expenses and mortality. The basic reserves allow for the guaranteed unit growth rates.

Immediate Annuities

The reserve held in respect of annuities in payment was the present value of future benefits together with an additional reserve of the present value of future expenses.

Non-linked Permanent Health Insurance

The reserves for non group PHI business are calculated as the sum of:

- (i) 1 x premium for future risk including IBNR;
- (ii) Reserve for all future expenses assuming no contribution from the premium; and
- (iii) a reserve for claims in payment based on annuity factors with a maximum of 7 or actual factors from reinsurers (where available). No expenses are included in this reserve.

The first and last of these are calculated on a gross and net basis with the difference used to calculate the reinsurance offset. There is no reinsurance offset for the expense component.

The reserves for Group PHI business are calculated as the sum of Unearned Premium and Incurred but not Reported Reserves and a reserve for claims in payment based on annuity factors with a maximum of 7 or actual factors from reinsurers (where available). No expenses are included in this reserve.

These are calculated on a gross and reinsured basis in order to determine the net liability.

For Ex-NAL Critical Illness Plans and Tailored Mortgage Protection contracts including an element of critical illness cover, it was assumed that the current premiums would remain unaltered for the full contract terms, even though it is likely that these will increase.

Deposit Administration Contracts

The base reserve for ex-Crown contracts has been taken as the aggregate of the surrender value for each scheme at the valuation date including an allowance for interest from the previous scheme anniversary date to the valuation date. The interest rate used varied by month of scheme renewal and was derived from the average rate of interest earned in the period to the valuation date, including an additional amount to allow for enhancements allowed for certain schemes.

For the guaranteed minimum rate of interest on ex-Crown Deposit Administration business the expected cost of the guarantee for the remaining term of the policy was calculated assuming that interest rates fell by 20% at the valuation date.

For ex-OMLA Personal Pension Plans, Capital Pension Plans and Executive Pension plans, the main fund was taken as the full accumulated balance and for the Initial Premium fund the accumulated balance was discounted with mortality and interest. For all contracts the accumulated balance at the valuation date was increased by interest at the relevant rate at the valuation date to allow for interest accruing since the previous policy anniversary. An additional sterling reserve was calculated using a cashflow method. The basic reserves allow for the guaranteed unit growth rates.

Linked Business

All unit-linked business with the exception of ex-Crown group business was valued on a sterling reserve basis. Ex-Crown group policies were allocated expenses equal to their margins.

Unit liabilities were calculated as the value of capital and accumulation units discounted, where applicable, using the following funding rates

Portfolio	Capital Units	Accumulation Units
Ex- Sentinel	6.00%	-
Ex-Crown	4.35%	
Ex-NELPEN	5% /6%	-
Ex-OMLA	3.5% /4.5%	0.75% /1.0%
	4.75% /5.25%	

For ex-NELPEN and ex-OMLA the rate used varies according to contract type. In addition, for ex-NBA life and pension policies and certain other ex-OMLA policies, surrender penalties are deducted from the unit values to calculate the unit reserve held.

The value of the units is based on bid prices at 31 December calculated in accordance with the asset valuation regulations. Where appropriate, provisions have been made within each of the funds to meet any potential liability to tax on unrealised capital gains including outstanding amounts payable in respect of unit trust deemed disposals.

In respect of all life business internal linked and broker funds the valuation bid price of units makes an allowance for a potential tax liability on unrealised gains after credit for any unrealised or realised losses.

A sterling reserve for mortality and expenses is calculated on a cash flow basis. For linked contracts, the reasonable expectations of policyholders are taken into account in establishing the sterling reserves. No allowance for increasing the annual management charge or other charges is made, other than inflationary increases in plan fees, even though in some circumstances the Company has the right to increase such charges.

The mortality charges used are an assumed rate based on the average mortality charges for the linked contracts. The morbidity rates are those used in practice.

For Ex-NAL Pension Policies, there is an option to increase the policy fees on these policies each year in line with NAE. Current practice is to increase the fees each year by 75% of the increase in NAE and the valuation assumption is in line with this practice.

Any negative unit reserves and any negative sterling reserves were individually eliminated by increasing the respective reserve to zero except as described below. Where there are unit-linked benefits in addition to conventional benefits, any negative values on the conventional part of the policy were eliminated without regard to any positive value on the unit-linked part.

An additional reserve has been established in respect of amounts yet to be allocated to units. Reserves in respect of the uninvested balance have been established equal to the full amount of the uninvested balance in respect of the AVSP (Whole Life), Pan Plan (Whole life), PIP (Whole Life) and WISP (Series 1 and Series 2) contracts. For WISP Series 3 the reserve is that for an endowment assurance (sum assured equal to the uninvested balance) maturing at age 60 and under which no further premiums are payable.

For WISP policies there is a further reserve of 0.2% of the guaranteed sum assured in respect of the Waiver of Premium benefit. For Super WISP 25 policies there is a further reserve of 2% of one annualised office premium in respect of the Waiver of Premium benefit.

For A-plan policies additional reserves were held as follows:

- (i) A reserve in respect of the maturity guarantee.
- (ii) An amount equal to 2% of the office annual premium for the Waiver of Premium Benefit.
- (iii) An amount equal to 0.1% of the sum at risk in respect of the Accidental Death Benefit cover.
- (iv) An amount equal to 3% of the sum assured discounted to the maturity date at 4.5% in respect of the guaranteed insurability option.

For Unit Trust Whole Life and Endowment policies the valuation liability was taken as the market value of attaching units together with the value of the endowment or whole life benefit valued in accordance with the general principles detailed for nonlinked policies in this section.

For policies linked to unit trusts which were ex-dividend at the valuation date an adjustment to the mathematical reserves was made, being the respective anticipated total net distribution receivable by the policyholders.

For the Pension Investment Plan and ex-NELPEN Pensions Management contracts a reserve of 2% of the unit liability was made to provide for future expenses.

For policyholders deemed invested in the Income Fund who have elected to receive distributed income in the form of cash rather than units, an additional reserve has been set up being the cash accrued and awaiting distribution. Where a Plan comprises a cluster of policies taken out simultaneously the per plan expenses are divided equally between each policy.

For ex-NBA Life policies, where an extra premium has been charged for the provision of premium waiver during incapacity a reserve of 75% of the total annualised extra premiums paid has been set up.

For ex-NBA Pension policies, where the policy carries a provision for waiver of premium, dependent on the deferred period (either 13 weeks or 26 weeks), the mathematical reserve was taken as being equivalent to either four months' or seven months' current cost charge based on the age nearest birthday at the valuation date, allowing for extra morbidity if applicable.

The liability for Payment Protection Benefits on ex-NAL Pension Plans was taken as one annual premium. The liability for Payment Protection claims in payment was taken as five times the annual premium for the main contract.

For ex-Crown Pensions 2000 Phase 2 final salary arrangements the discount period for initial units is the outstanding period to 20 years for each block of Initial Units and the discount is based on interest only. For money purchase arrangements the discount period for Initial Units is the outstanding term to the Normal Retirement Date or, in the case of AVCs, the Normal Retirement Date less 5 years.

For ex-Crown Retirement Savings Plan & Contracted Out Money Purchase policies the discount period for Initial Units is the outstanding term to the Normal Retirement

Date and for Accumulation Units is the outstanding term to 11 years from the commencement date of the individual account.

A reserve has been held for claims which have not been reported equal to two months' expected mortality cost for death claims, three months' expected critical illness cost for critical illness claims and seven months' PHI cost, all net of reassurance.

Policies have been issued subject to a lien, but no modification has been made to the valuation method.

In the Non Profit Fund additional reserves have been established in Form 53 in respect of ex-OMLA, ex-CCL and ex-Prosperity personal pension policies for the expected liability in respect of policies which were "mis-sold".

Policies previously written in BULA

For non-linked non profit insurance, the gross premium method of valuation has been adopted. For products where the valuation method does not allow for future lapses as outlined in paragraph 4 (9), negative reserves have been eliminated.

The mathematical reserve for linked contracts is the value of the units allocated together with reserves in respect of expenses and any additional life cover.

The unit liability is the number of units allocated up to the valuation date, multiplied by the valuation unit price without any discounting. The valuation unit prices are determined from the value of each internal linked fund, calculated in accordance with the note to Form 44, without any adjustments for dealing costs or tax on unrealised capital gains or losses, by dividing by the number of units in issue.

The expense reserves are determined by use of projected cashflows and were set such that no policy would produce a future valuation strain.

For regular premium paying business formerly in the BULA Unit Linked Fund, the aggregate expense reserves were based on the sum of:

- 50% of the higher of the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums continued to be paid at the current level and the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums increased automatically in line with the policy conditions
- plus 50% of the reserve calculated assuming that regular premiums ceased and the policy became paid up at the valuation date.

In respect of contracts which consist of two or more separate types of insurance, each type has for valuation purposes been treated separately. In certain cases where two or more contracts have been issued contemporaneously in respect of the same lives, whether in connection with assurances or annuities, such contracts have been treated as a single contract.

Whole life assurances involving more than one life with sums assured payable on the first death have been valued using independent mortality rates. Joint whole life assurances with sums assured payable on the second death have been valued so as to have regard to the likelihood, on the valuation basis, that one or other life has died, or that neither life has died.

An additional reserve has been made of 50% of the amount of all yearly extra premiums payable. This is included in the reserves shown on Forms 51 to 54.

For the following categories of non-linked contracts the net liability has been determined in the manner indicated.

Group Life Assurances & Group Permanent Health

The liability has been taken as the amount of unearned premiums plus a reserve for unpaid amounts relating to profit sharing arrangements, plus a reserve for claims which were incurred but not reported by the valuation date plus a claims in payment reserve.

Where premiums are payable monthly the unearned premium has been taken as one month's premium. For single premiums the unearned premium has been taken as the same proportion of the premium (after allowance for expenses, incurred immediately on payment of the premium) as the unexpired term bears to the original term of the assurance.

The incurred but not reported reserve was calculated as an estimated one and a half months' claims costs.

The reserve for claims in payment paid as an income stream is set up using a chain ladder approach to estimate the ultimate cost of claims from the pattern of past experience.

The reserve for unpaid amounts relating to profit sharing arrangements was calculated as the profit share proportion of the underwriting profit on each contract less any payments already made under the arrangement. The underwriting profit is calculated as premiums earned less claims incurred; this includes the deduction of the company's fee from premiums earned and estimates of outstanding and incurred but not reported claims.

Policies previously written in BRS

A prospective method of valuation has been used for all mathematical reserves other than for those special reserves described below.

The significant classes of business are pension annuities in payment shown in forms 51 and 54. These liabilities are calculated as the present value of the future annuity payments plus the present value of future expenses. The values of both expenses and index linked annuity payments allow for increases in the level of RPI in the future.

Policies previously written in BA

A prospective valuation method is used to value all policies.

Traditional life contracts are valued using a net premium valuation method, the reserve generally being subject to a minimum of one year's office premium. The net premium is restricted to 90% of the office premium and an explicit expense reserve established where the value of the margin between the net premium and the office premium is insufficient to cover future expected expenses.

Policies issued subject to an extra premium have been valued at true ages and an additional reserve of one year's extra premium has been established.

For certain decreasing term assurance with critical illness business which is reinsured, the mathematical reserves net of reinsurance allow for the level and incidence of reinsurance premium payments.

The mathematical reserves for annuities in payment are calculated as the present value of the future annuity payments plus the present value of future expenses. The value of expenses allows for increases in the level of RPI in the future.

(2) Valuation Interest Rates

Policies previously written in PLL but not in PAL or SLUK

The interest rates used for each product group are shown in the following table:

Product Group	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Linked Life (unrestricted)	2.80%	3.45%
Linked Life (restricted)	2.60%	3.05%
Seniorplan	2.60%	3.05%
Non-linked Whole Life	2.60%	3.05%
Non-linked Life Term Assurance	2.60%	3.05%
Life Annuities in Payment	5.65%	4.80%
Linked Pensions	3.55%	4.30%
Pension Annuities in Payment	5.65%	4.80%
Annuities in Deferment	3.30%	3.80%
Pension Term Assurance	3.30%	3.80%

Policies previously written in PAL

The interest rates used for business that was previously written in PAL were as follows:

Product Group	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Term Assurances	2.60%	3.05%
Other Assurances	2.60%	3.05%
Life Annuities In Payment Non Index Linked	3.95%	3.90%
Permanent Health Insurance - Claims In	4.95%	4.90%
Payment		
Permanent Health Insurance - Other than Claims	3.30%	3.80%
In Payment		
Pension Term Assurances	3.30%	3.80%
Pension Annuities In Payment Non Index Linked	5.65%	4.80%
Pension Annuities In Payment Index Linked	1.19%	1.20%
Pension Deferred Annuities	3.30%	3.80%

Policies previously written in SLUK

The interest rates used for business that was originally written in Swiss Life (UK) Plc were as follows:

Product Group	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Assurances (Life)	2.60%	3.05%
Assurances (Pensions)	3.30%	3.80%
Critical Illness	3.30%	3.05%
Pension Annuities In Payment	5.65%	4.80%
Index-linked Annuities in Payment	1.19%	1.20%
Index-linked Assurances (Life)	1.00%	0.80%
Life Deferred Annuities	2.60%	3.05%
Pension Deferred Annuities	3.30%	3.80%
PHI	3.30%	3.80%
PHI Claims in Payment	4.95%	4.90%

Policies previously written in Alba

The interest rates used for business that was previously written in Alba were as follows:

Product Group	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Life Business	2.60%	3.05%
Pensions Business	3.30%	3.80%

Policies previously written in Century

The interest rates used in the valuation of the significant groups of business were as follows:

Product Group	Current	Previous	
	Valuation	Valuation	
Non Linked Whole Life and Endowment	2.60%	3.05%	
Assurance			
Term Assurance		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Life Business	2.60%	3.05%	
Pension Business	3.30%	3.80%	
Non Linked Deferred Annuity	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ex With Profit Fund (pre/post vesting)	3.30%/3.30%	3.80% / 4.80%	
Ex Non Profit Fund (pre/post vesting)	3.30%/3.30%	4.80% / 4.80%	
Ex-NELPEN policies			
First Series	3.30%	3.80%	
2 nd and 3 rd Series	3.30%	3.80%	
Immediate annuities			
Ex With Profit Fund	5.65%	4.80%	
Ex Non Profit Fund	5.65%	4.80%	
Non Linked PHI	3.30%	3.80%	
Deposit Administration	3.30%	3.80%	
Linked business Sterling Reserves			
Life	2.60%	3.05%	
Pension	3.30%	3.80%	

Policies previously written in BULA

The interest rates used in the valuation of the significant groups of business were as follows:

Product Group	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Business formerly in the Unit Linked Fund	The state of the s	
Life	2.60%	3.05%
Pension	3.30%	3.80%
Business formerly in the Non Profit Fund		·
Life Business		
Guaranteed Bonus Bonds	2.60%	3.05%
Life Non Profit	2.60%	3.05%
Annuities in Payment	3.40%	3.90%
Deferred Annuities	2.60%	3.05%
Pension Business		
Pensions Term	3.30%	3.80%
Pensions in Payment	5.65%	4.80%
Pensions in Payment (I/L)	1.19%	1.20%
Deferred Pensions	3.30%	3.80%

Policies previously written in BRS

The valuation interest rates for the significant classes of business are:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Non-linked annuity non profit (CPA)	5.65%	4.80%
Non-linked annuity non profit (CPA impaired lives)	5.65%	4.80%
Index linked annuity	1.19%	1.20%

Policies previously written in BA

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
BLAGAB		
Decreasing term assurances with critical illness	2.60%	3.05%
cover		
Pensions Business		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pension annuities in payment	5.65%	4.80%

Note: the valuation interest rates are shown net of tax for with-profits life business and non-profit life term assurance business but gross of tax for all other business.

(3)Risk Adjustments

The yields on approved securities were not reduced. The yields on non-approved assets were reduced in accordance with INSPRU 3.1.41(R) by making a deduction from the yield dependent on the credit rating of the security.

For corporate bonds, a deduction is applied to the yield on an individual stock by stock basis. The individual stock risk margins are calculated as a long term average default rate plus an additional allowance for short-term factors and expected deviations from the historic average. The individual stock risk margins are calculated by Axial / Ignis in conjunction with the Asset Liability Management team.

The long term average default rates (in basis points) are:

Hating	j 5yr	10yr	20yr
AAA	4.6	13.9	17.1
AA	19.7	35.5	49.4
A	31.2	44.8	59.4
BAA	88	109.1	121
ВА	268.4	284.9	288.5
В	599.9	524.4	425.4
CAA	1,053.90	757.1	629.3

A number of different techniques are then employed to arrive at an additional deduction, namely.

- For bank subordinated debt, a higher risk is proposed to be recognised by imposing a nil recovery rates on the above default rates
- Axial / Ignis has then 'notched' downwards stocks where they consider the credit rating to be inappropriate (after analysis of the current market spread and other factors).

 Finally, Axial / Ignis apply an additional deduction to around 10% of stocks on a stock-by-stock analysis of abnormal default or coupon deferment risk. To avoid spurious precision, the addition applied was a doubling of the base deduction in most cases. In some cases, the adjustment was lower and in a few cases much higher, where a default had either effectively happened or was considered extremely likely.

For the Peak 1 basis, additional prudence margin is applied to all but the "already defaulted" stocks by increasing the risk margin deduction by 25%.

For Callable bonds, at December 2007, it was assumed that the issuers would redeem the bond at the first call date. The current economic conditions suggest that it would be in the interests of the issuer to defer the redemption to at least the next call date as replacement funding is more expensive. The experience in the market is mixed, with some callable bonds being called at the first call date. For December 2008 it is assumed that callable bonds will be treated as perpetual bonds if there is no pre-determined last call date or called at the last call date. This affects only the assets backing the sterling immediate annuity liabilities.

The adjustments described in this section are in addition to the 2.5% required by the regulations.

(4)Mortality Basis

Longevity improvement factors

For immediate annuities in payment and deferred annuities, post vesting where appropriate, a common set of improvement factors has been adopted for all annuities except those originating from BRS. The improvement factors are:

Males	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
40	1.55%				- , 	
50	1.91%	1.80%				
60	2.80%	2.04%	2.00%			
70	3.65%	2.78%	2.36%	2.00%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
80	3.27%	2.93%	2.61%	2.16%	2.00%	
90	1.67%	1.85%	2.29%	2.12%	1.92%	1.90%
100	1.00%	1.05%	1.30%	1.50%	1.50%	1.50%

Females	2009	2019	2029	2039	2049	2059
40	1.34%					
50	1.87%	1.56%			; ,,	
60	2.40%	1.91%	1.80%			
70	2.81%	2.36%	1.96%	1.80%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
80	2.33%	2.38%	2.16%	1.97%	1.74%	
90	1.18%	1.56%	2.00%	1.92%	1.86%	1.45%
100	0.80%	1.00%	1.10%	1.50%	1.45%	1.25%

Improvement rates for a cohort are read down the diagonal, i.e. a 60 year old male will experience an improvement rate of 2.80% in 2009 and 2.78% in 2019, when he would be 70.

In the tables that follow all mortality tables are ultimate.

Policies previously written in PLL but not previously written in PAL or SLUK

The mortality tables used for each product group are shown in the following table:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	M/F bases	M/F bases
Linked Life - aggregate	81% AM92	81% AM92
	105% AF92	105% AF92
Linked Life - non-smoker	73% AM92	73% AM92
	80% AF92	80% AF92
Linked Life - smoker	145% AM92	145% AM92
	162% AF92	162% AF92
Seniorplan	138% AM80	138% AM80
	138% AF80	138% AF80
Non linked Whole Life	75% AM92	75% AM92
	81% AF92	81% AF92
Non linked TA - aggregate	80% TM92	80% TM92
	84% TF92	84% TF92
Non linked TA - non-smoker	66% TM92	66% TM92
	66% TF92	66% TF92
Non linked TA - smoker	156% TM92	156% TM92
	182% TF92	182% TF92
Life Fund Annuities in Payment	76.4% IM80 C=2010	82.5% IM80 C2010
	improving 1.5% pa	improving 1.50% p.a.
	77.3% IF80 C=2010	83.0% IF80 C2010
	improving 1.25% pa	improving 1.25% p.a.
Pension Annuities in Payment	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92 C2020
	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92 C2020
Linked Pensions	50% AM92	50% AM92
	57% AF92	57% AF92
Annuities in Deferment	50% AM92	50% AM92
	57% AF92	57% AF92
Pension TA - aggregate	80% TM92	80% TM92
	84% TF92	84% TF92
Pension TA - non-smoker	66% TM92	66% TM92
	66% TF92	66% TF92
Pension TA - smoker	156% TM92	156% TM92
	182% TF92	182% TF92

No allowance has been made for any possible detrimental impact of significant changes in the incidence of disease or developments in medical science on the mortality or morbidity experience of the Company.

For life annuities in payment the expectations of life under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions for sample ages are:

*	Current	Current Valuation		s Valuation
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
65	22.15	24.96	21.38	24.29
75	13.98	15.90	13.37	15.33

For pension annuities in payment, the expectations of life under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions for sample ages are shown in the table below. For pension deferred annuities, the expectations of life at age 65 for current ages 45

and 55 under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions are shown in the table below:

	Current	Expectation of life from	Current Valuation		Previous	Valuation
	Age	Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
Immediate	65	65	24.88	26.37	25.54	26.61
annuities	75	75	15.07	16.46	15.57	16.64
Deferred	45	65	28.98	29.97	29.85	30.33
annuities	55	65	27.06	28.27	27.84	28.57

Policies previously written in PAL

The mortality tables used for each product group are shown in the following table:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	M/F bases	M/F bases
Term Assurances - Aggregate	105.6% TMC00	107.3% TMC00
	108.9% TFC00	110.6% TFC00
Term Assurances - Non smoker	105.6% TMN00	107.3% TMN00
	108.9% TFN00	110.6% TFN00
Term Assurances - Smoker	105.6% TMS00	107.3% TMS00
	108.9% TFS00	110.6% TFS00
Whole Life & Endowment	86% AM92	86% AM92
	112% AF92	112% AF92
Pensions pre-vesting	50% AM92	50% AM92
	57% AF92	57% AF92
Pensions post vesting (including	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92 C2020
GAOs)	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92 C2020
Pension Annuities currently in	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92 C2020
payment	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92 C2020
Life Fund Annuities in Payment	76.4% IM80 C=2010	81.9% IM80 C2010
	improving 1.5% pa	improving 1.75% p.a.
	77.3% IF80 C=2010	82.5% IF80 C2010
	improving 1.25% pa	improving 1.50% p.a.
Life Annuities in deferment	50% AM92	50% AM92
	57% AF92	57% AF92
Permanent Health Insurance	76% TM92	76% TM92
	76% TF92	76% TF92
Linked Life (aggregate)	81% AM92	81% AM92
	105% AF92	105% AF92
Linked Life (non-smoker)	73% AM92	73% AM92
•	80% AF92	80% AF92
Linked Life (smoker)	145% AM92	145% AM92
	162% AF92	162% AF92

For life annuities in payment the expectations of life under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions for sample ages are:

	Current	Expectation of life from	Current Valuation		Previous	Valuation
	Age	Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
Immediate	65	65	22.15	24.96	22.64	26.12
annuities	75	75	13.98	15.90	14.15	16.45
Deferred	45	65	25.32	27.42	26.96	30.31
annuities	55	65	23.70	26.17	24.75	28.18

For pension annuities in payment, the basis is the same as for policies previously written in PLL.

Policies previously written in SLUK

The mortality tables used for each product group are shown in the following table:

Product Group	Current Valuation M/F bases	Previous Valuation M/F bases	
Group Spouses' Annuities	Modified PCMA00	N/A	
	Modified PCFA00	95.5% WA92U	
Life Annuities in payment	92.6% IMA92 C=2010	95.5% IMA92U	
	improving 1.5% pa	00.070 11171020	
	93.1% IFA92 C=2010	95.5% IFA92U	
•	improving 1.25% pa	33.575 11 71323	
Pension Annuities in payment	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92	
	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92	
Life Deferred Annuities	92.6% IMA92 C=2010	95.5% IMA92U	
	improving 1.5% pa		
	93.1% IFA92 C=2010	95.5% IFA92U	
	improving 1.25% pa		
Pension Deferred Annuities	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92	
	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92	
Whole Life and Endowment	72% AM92	72% AM92	
	72% AM92 -3 yrs	72% AM92 -3 yrs	
RICTA	98% TMC00	98% TMC00	
	98% TMC00 -3 yrs	98% TMC00 -3 yrs	
Group Term Assurance	88.6% TMC00	90.0% TMC00	
	92.9% TFC00	94.3% TFC00	
Individual Life & Pension Term	88.6% TMC00	90% TMC00	
(aggregate)	92.9% TFC00	94.3% TFC00	
Individual Life & Pension Term	84.3% TMN00	85.6% TMN00	
(non-smoker)	90.7% TFN00	92.1% TFN00	
Individual Life & Pension Term	86.5% TMS00	87.8% TMS00	
(smoker)	93.9% TFS00	95.4% TFS00	
Life Unit Linked policies	94% AM92	94% AM92	
	94% AM92 -3 yrs	94% AM92 -3 yrs	
Pensions Unit Linked policies	83% AM92	83% AM92	
	83% AM92 -3 yrs	83% AM92 -3 yrs	
Accelerated TPD	See below	See below	
·	See below	See below	
TPD	See below	See below	
	See below	See below	
Income Protection	45% TM80	45% TM80	
(pre-claim)	45% AF80	45% AF80	
Income Protection	81% TM80	81% TM80	
(post-claim)	81% AF80	81% AF80	

The mortality/morbidity tables used to value individual policies which include total & permanent disability benefits have not been published. Specimen rates from the tables applicable to non-smokers are given below for the current valuation, per 1000 lives:

	TPD		Term	& TPD
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
25	0.237500	0.237500	0.460000	0.315000
35	0.292500	0.292500	0.543750	0.436250
45	0.900000	0.900000	1.571250	1.256250
55	3.361250	3.361250	5.026250	4.047500

Rates for the previous valuation were:

	TPD		Term	& TPD
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
25	0.237500	0.237500	0.460000	0.315000
35	0.292500	0.292500	0.543750	0.436250
45	0.900000	0.900000	1.571250	1.256250
55	3.361250	3.361250	5.026250	4.047500

All the above rates are increased by 2% p.a. after 5 years from the valuation date.

Annuitant mortality - Expectation of life at the valuation date

Age	Male Modified PCMA00	Female Modified PCFA00	Male Modified IMA92	Female Modified IFA92
65	27.03	28.71	23.81	26.03
75	16.09	18.95	14.62	16.10

Annuitant mortality - Expectation of life at the previous valuation date

Age	Male Modified PMA92	Female Modified PFA92	Male Modified IMA92	Female Modified IFA92
65	26.58	26.79	24.88	26.73
75	16.51	16.67	14,93	16.23

Policies previously written in Alba

Percentage of mortality table together with the age adjustments are shown in the table below:

Product Type	Current V	aluation	Previous Valuation	
Table	A67/70	Female	A67/70	Female
	%	Age Adj	%	Age Adj
LAS Homeplan Series 1	70%	-4	70%	-4
LAS Homeplan Series 2/3	61%	-4	61%	-4
LAS Investment Plan	83%	-4	83%	-4
LAS Savings Plan	83%	-4	83%	-4
LAS Five Plus Account	83%	-4	83%	-4
LAS SP Bonds	83%	-4	83%	-4
LAS Blueprint	70%	-4	70%	-4
LAS EPP/FPA	77%	-4	77%	-4
LAS Healthcheque	60%	-4	75%	-4
LAS Vitality	100%	n/a	100%	n/a
BL Genesis RP Pensions	88%	none	88%	none
CAPSIL Bonds	94%	-4	94%	-4
CAPSIL Whole of Life	94%	-4	94%	-4
CAPSIL Mortgage Minder	94%	-4	94%	-4
CAPSIL RP Pensions	88%	-4	88%	-4
CAPSIL SP Pensions	94%	-4	94%	-4
COMPASS Bulk Buyouts	99%	none	99%	none
COMPASS GPS	99%	none	99%	none
COMPASS UCGF Bulk Buyouts	99%	none	99%	none
COMPASS UCGF GPS	99%	none	99%	none

Ex-BLAS Contracts

For Blueprint for Security and Vitality contracts, only the terms to the first review dates were taken into account, with the current sums at risk remaining constant over such terms. For level term assurance rider benefits the current sums at risk were taken as constant to expiry. For other annual premium contracts an estimate was made of the terms over which the sums at risk would reduce to nil and it was assumed that the current sums at risk would reduce over such terms in line with the sums at risk for non-profit endowment assurances. For waiver of premium benefits and permanent health benefits the additional AIDS provision was taken as 1% of the annual benefit.

Policies previously written in Century

The mortality bases used in the valuation of the significant groups of business were as follows:

Product Group	Current Valuation M/F bases	Previous Valuation M/F bases
Ex With Profit Fund Non Profit		
Whole Life and Endowment	77% A67/70 ¹	77% A67/70 ¹
Assurances		,
Ex Non Profit Fund Non Profit		
Whole Life and Endowment	77% A67/70 ¹	77% A67/70 ¹
Assurances		
Term Assurance Ex-WP Fund	040/ 407/70 1	0.40/ 4.07/10.1
(excluding Ex-NAL)	61% A67/70 ¹	61% A67/70 ¹
Term Assurance Ex-NP Fund		
(excluding Ex-NAL)		
Aggregate	61% A67/70 ¹	61% A67/70 ¹
Non Smoker	51% A67/70 ¹	51% A67/70 ¹
Smoker	90% A67/70 ¹	90% A67/70 ¹
Term Assurance (Ex-NAL) - Life Ass	surance, Mortgage Protec	
Gross Liabilites		
Non Smoker	46% AM80 ²	46% AM80 ²
	51% AF80 ³	51% AF80 ³
Smoker	81% AM80 ²	81% AM80 ²
	89% AF80 ³	89% AF80 ³
Net liabilities (pre 30/9/97 busines	ss)	
Non Smoker	55% AM80 ²	55% AM80 ²
	58% AF80 ³	58% AF80 ³
Smoker	93% AM80 ²	93% AM80 ²
	100% AF80 ³	100% AF80 ³
Net liabilities (1/10/97 to 30/6/00 b	ousiness)	
Non Smoker	55% AM80 ²	55% AM80 ²
	58% AF80 ³	58% AF80 ³
Smoker	93% AM80 ²	93% AM80 ²
	100% AF80 ³	100% AF80 ³
Net liabilities (post 1/7/00 business	•	
Non Smoker	51% AM80 ²	51% AM80 ²
	56% AF80 ³	56% AF80 ³
Smoker	93% AM80 ²	93% AM80 ²
	102% AF80 ³	102% AF80 ³

¹ AIDS 36.3% R6A (peak) Female age deduction 3 years ² AIDS 27.5% R6A (peak) ³ AIDS 9.17% R6A (peak)

Product Group	Current Valuation M/F bases	Previous Valuation M/F bases
Term Assurance (Ex-NAL) - Tailored		Cover only
Gross liabilities	Table 1 ^{2,3}	Table 1 ^{2,3}
Net liabilities (pre 19/3/01		Table 2 ^{2,3}
business)	Table 2 ^{2,3}	
Net liabilities (post 20/3/01		Table 3 ^{2,3}
business)	Table 3 ^{2,3}	
Non Linked Deferred Annuity – in de	ferment	
Ex With Profit Fund business	45% A67/70 ⁴	45% A67/70 ⁴
Ex Non Profit Fund business	50% A67/70 ⁴	50% A67/70 ⁴
Ex-NELPEN policies	61% A67/70 ¹	61% A67/70 ¹
	plus 100% funding of	plus 100% funding of
	units	units
Immediate annuities (& deferred	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92
annuities - in payment)	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92

¹ AIDS 36.3% R6A (peak) Female age deduction 3 years

⁴ Female age reduction 3 years

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Non Linked PHI	61% A67/70 ¹	61% A67/70 ¹
Linked business		
Sterling Reserves with mortality	deductions	
Ex-OMLA	94% A67/70 ⁵	94% A67/70 ⁵
Ex-Sentinel & Ex-UK Life -		61% A67/70 ⁴
Non Smokers	61% A67/70 ⁴	
Ex-Sentinel & Ex-UK Life -		91% A67/70 ⁴
Smokers	91% A67/70 ⁴	01701107779
Others	70% A67/70 ⁴	70% A67/70 ⁴
Sterling Reserves without morta	ality deductions	•
	61% A67/70 ¹	61% A67/70 ¹

¹ AIDS 36.3% R6A (peak) Female age deduction 3 years

The AIDS projection basis R6A is as reported by the Institute of Actuaries AIDS Working Party. No credit was taken for the margins in the mortality bases used in the scheduled valuation against the levels currently being experienced. No additional AIDS reserve was deemed necessary for Group Death in Service benefits by recurrent single premium in view of the limited periods for which the premium rates are guaranteed. No specific provision was made for the minor risk associated with ex-NBA linked 'Bond' business. Ex-NBA pensions death in service benefits are all provided for by monthly current cost deduction from an associated linked fund; as the Company is freely able to review the premium rates charged, no provision for AIDS is considered necessary.

No other reserves for possible detrimental changes in mortality or morbidity rates have been made.

² AIDS 27.5% R6A (peak)

³ AIDS 9.17% R6A (peak)

⁴ Female age reduction 3 years

⁵ Female age reduction 4 years

For annuity bases shown above, the expectations of life at age 65 and 75 are shown in the following table:

: ""	Current Age	Current Exp		Current	Valuation	Previous Valuation		
		Age	Males	Females	Males	Females		
Immediate	65	65	23.42	26.11	23.21	25.89		
annuities	75	75	13.89	16.22	13.73	16.05		
Deferred	45	65	27.46	29.93	27.30	29.76		
annuities	55	65	25.57	28.12	25.40	27.93		

For assurances listed above where 'modified table' has been used, sample mortality rates per 1000 lives are shown in the tables below

Table 1 current valuation

Age	Male smoker	Male Non- smoker	Female smoker	Female Non- smoker	
25	0.64	0.38	0.28	0.16	
35	0.68	0.38	0.52	0.30	
45	1.75	0.77	1.56	0.77	
55	5.64	2.41	4.20	2.06	

Table 1 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker	Male smoker Male Non- smoker		Female Non- smoker	
25	0.64	0.38	0.28	0.16	
35	0.68	0.38	0.52	0.30	
45	1.75	0.77	1.56	0.77	
55	5.64	2.41	4.20	2.06	

Table 2 current valuation

Age	Male smoker	Male Non- smoker	Female smoker	Female Non- smoker	
25	0.68	0.41	0.29	0.17	
35	0.73	0.42	0.54	0.32	
45	1.86	0.85	1.63	0.83	
55	5.98	2.67	4.37	2.23	

Table 2 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker	Male Non- smoker	Female smoker	Female Non- smoker	
25	0.68	0.41	0.29	0.17	
35	0.73	0.42	0.54	0.32	
45	1.86	0.85	1.63	0.83	
55	5.98	2.67	4.37	2.23	

Table 3 current valuation

Age	Male smoker	Male Non- smoker	Female smoker	Female Non- smoker	
25	0.66	0.38	0.26	0.17	
35	0.71	0.38	0.49	0.32	
45	1.82	0.77	1.47	0.83	
55	5.84	2.44	3.95	2.22	

Table 3 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker	Male Non- smoker	Female smoker	Female Non- smoker	
25	0.66	0.38	0.26	0.17	
35	0.71	0.38	0.49	0.32	
45	1.82	0.77	1.47	0.83	
55	5.84	2.44	3.95	2.22	

The morbidity bases (combined morbidity and mortality rates where both benefits are covered) used in the valuation of the significant groups of business were as follows:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	Modified Table	Modified Table
Term Assurance (Ex-NAL) – Tailo	red Mortgage Protection, Co	mbined Life & Critical
Illness Cover		
Gross liabilities	Table 4 ^{2,3}	Table 4 ^{2,3}
Net liabilities (pre 19/3/01	Table 5 ^{2,3}	Table 5 ^{2,3}
business)		7,550,0
Net liabilities (post 20/3/01	Table 6 ^{2,3}	Table 6 2,3
business)		,
Non Linked PHI (Ex-NAL) - Critica	al Illness	
Gross liabilities	Table 7	Table 7
Net liabilities	Table 8	Table 8
Non Linked PHI (Ex-NAL)-Tailored	Mortgage Protection, Critic	al Illness Cover only
Gross liabilities	Table 7	Table 7
Net liabilities (pre 19/3/01	Table 9	Table 9
business)	,	
Net liabilities (post 20/3/01	Table 10	Table 10
business)		

² AIDS 27.5% R6A (peak) ³ AIDS 9.17% R6A (peak)

For products listed above where 'modified table' has been used, sample rates (combined mortality and morbidity) per 1000 lives are shown in the tables below:

Table 4 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	1.24	1.30	0.74	0.79	1.12	1.13	0.70	0.71
35	2.48	2.53	1.33	1.37	3.11	3.14	1.73	1.75
45	7.80	8.05	3.53	3.73	8.19	8.32	3.68	3.79
55	20.12	21.13	8.79	9.66	17.09	17.64	7.17	7.64

Table 4 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without	With	Without	With	Without	With	Without	With TPD
	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	
25	1.24	1.30	0.74	0.79	1.12	1.13	0.70	0.71
35	2.48	2.53	1.33	1.37	3.11	3.14	1.73	1.75
45	7.80	8.05	3.53	3.73	8.19	8.32	3.68	3.79
55	20.12	21.13	8.79	9.66	17.09	17.64	7.17	7.64

Table 5 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without	With TPD	Without	With TPD
25	1.22	1.28	0.74	0.78	1.04	1.05	0.66	0.66
35	2.35	2.40	1.28	1.31	2.87	2.89	1.61	1.62
45	7.31	7.53	3.34	3.52	7.60	7.72	3.45	3.55
55	19.02	19.92	8.41	9.20	15.97	16.47	6.83	7.25

Table 5 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	1.22	1.28	0.74	0.78	1.04	1.05	0.66	0.66
35	2.35	2.40	1.28	1.31	2.87	2.89	1.61	1.62
45	7.31	7.53	3.34	3.52	7.60	7.72	3.45	3.55
55	19.02	19.92	8.41	9.20	15.97	16.47	6.83	7.25

Table 6 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without	With TPD
25	1.00	1.04	0.59	0.61	0.74	0.74	0.48	0.48
35	1.73	1.76	0.92	0.94	1.96	1.97	1.13	1.14
45	5.26	5.39	2.34	2.45	5.23	5.31	2.48	2.54
55	14.06	14.63	6.06	6.56	11.27	11.58	5.12	5.39

Table 6 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without	With TPD
25	1.00	1.04	0.59	0.61	0.74	0.74	0.48	0.48
35	1.73	1.76	0.92	0.94	1.96	1.97	1.13	1.14
45	5.26	5.39	2.34	2.45	5.23	5.31	2.48	2.54
55	14.06	14.63	6.06	6.56	11.27	11.58	5.12	5.39

Table 7 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	0.56	0.64	0.33	0.39	0.83	0.85	0.52	0.53
35	1.70	1.81	0.89	0.96	2.54	2.66	1.39	1.46
45	5.84	6.25	2.64	2.90	6.34	6.68	2.79	2.98
55	15.01	16.36	6.53	7.49	13.09	13.99	5.21	5.79

Table 7 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	0.56	0.64	0.33	0.39	0.83	0.85	0.52	0.53
35	1.70	1.81	0.89	0.96	2.54	2.66	1.39	1.46
45	5.84	6.25	2.64	2.90	6.34	6.68	2.79	2.98
55	15.01	16.36	6.53	7.49	13.09	13.99	5.21	5.79

Table 8 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	0.51	0.58	0.30	0.35	074	0.76	0.47	0.47
35	1.55	1.65	0.81	0.87	2.27	2.37	1.24	1.30
45	5.31	5.69	2.40	2.64	5.66	5.96	2.49	2.66
55	13.67	14.90	5.95	6.82	11.68	12.48	4.65	5.17

Table 8 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	
25	0.51	0.58	0.30	0.35	0.74	0.76	0.47	0.47
35	1.55	1.65	0.81	0.87	2.27	2.37	1.24	1.30
45	5.31	5.69	2.40	2.64	5.66	5.96	2.49	2.66
55	13.67	14.90	5.95	6.82	11.68	12.48	4.65	5.17

Table 9 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	0.50	0.57	0.30	0.35	0.74	0.77	0.47	0.48
35	1.53	1.63	0.80	0,86	2.29	2.40	1.25	1.31
45	5.25	5.63	2.37	2.61	5.71	6.02	2.51	2.68
55	13.51	14.73	5.88	6.74	11.78	12.60	4.69	5.21

Table 9 previous valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	0.50	0.57	0.30	0.35	0.74	0.77	0.47	0.48
35	1.53	1.63	0.80	0.86	2.29	2.40	1.25	1.31
45	5.25	5.63	2.37	2.61	5.71	6.02	2.51	2.68
55	13.51	14.73	5.88	6.74	11.78	12.60	4.69	5.21

Table 10 current valuation

Age	Male smoker		Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD	Without TPD	With TPD
25	0.38	0.44	0.23	0.27	0.57	0.58	0.36	0.36
35	1.17	1.24	0.61	0.65	1.74	1.82	0.95	1.00
45	3.99	4.28	1.80	1.99	4.34	4.57	1.91	2.04
55	10.27	11.20	4.47	5.13	8.96	9.58	3.57	3.96

Table 10 previous rates

Age	Male smoker		Male Non	Male Non-smoker		Female smoker		Female Non-smoker	
	Without	With	Without	With	Without	With	Without	With TPD	
	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD	TPD		
25	0.38	0.44	0.23	0.27	0.57	0.58	0.36	0.36	
35	1.17	1.24	0.61	0.65	1.74	1.82	0.95	1.00	
45	3.99	4.28	1.80	1.99	4.34	4.57	1.91	2.04	
55	10.27	11.20	4.47	5.13	8.96	9.58	3.57	3.96	

Policies previously written in BULA

The mortality bases used in the valuation of the significant groups of business were as follows:

Product Group	Current Valuation M/F bases	Previous Valuation M/F bases
Business formerly in the Unit Linked I	Fund	
Unit-linked Business (excluding the	110% AMC00	110% AMC00
below)	110% AFC00	110% AFC00
Unit-linked endowments with critical	125% Gerling SI	125% Gerling SI
illness	morbidity and:	morbidity and:
	110% AMC00	110% AMC00
·	110% AFC00	110% AFC00
Unit-linked deferred annuity (pre-	58% AMC00	58% AMC00
vesting)	58% AFC00	58% AFC00
Unit-linked Personal pension,	165% AMC00	110% AMC00
FSAVCs and Stakeholder pension	154% AFC00	110% AFC00
Business formerly in the Non-Profit Fu		
Pension Annuities in Payment	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92
	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92
Life Fund Annuities in Payment	76.4% IM80 C=2010	82.5% IM80 C2010
	improving 1.5% pa	improving 1.50% p.a.
	77.3% IF80 C=2010	83.0% IF80 C2010
	improving 1.25% pa	improving 1.25% p.a.
Unit Linked Business with aggregate		
	88% AM92	88% AM92
	88% AF92	88% AF92
Unit Linked Business with smoker sp		
Aggregate	88% AM92	88% AM92
	88% AF92	88% AF92
Non Smoker	77% AM92	77% AM92
	66% AF92	66% AF92
Smoker	176% AM92	176% AM92
	132% AF92	132% AF92
Aggregate Term Assurance	128.1% TMC00	130% TMC00
Town Accuracy (and a CITAL & CITE	119.5% TFC00	121.3% TFC00
Term Assurance (codes GITN & GITF	*	054.00/ 3744400
Non Smoker	349.0% TMN00	354.3% TMN00
Smoker	186.8% TFN00	189.6% TFN00
Smoker	224.2% TMS00	227.6% TMS00
Other Term Assurances	189.9% TFS00	192.8% TFS00
Non Smoker	140 00/ TAINOO	450 00/ TMM00
	148.3% TMN00	150.6% TMN00
Smoker	141.9% TFN00	144.1% TFN00
OHIONEI	130.2% TMS00 121.7% TFS00	132.2% TMS00
Whole of Life (with medical	105% AM92	123.5% TFS00
selection)	119% AF92	105% AM92 119% AF92
Senior Security Plan	Modified AM92/AF92	Modified AM92/AF92
Other Permanent Assurances	99% AM92	99% AM92
2 Simanone Modulations	121% AF92	121% AF92
AIDS loading where relevant	Nil	Nil
To roughly miloro followall	1.44	INII

For pension annuities in payment, the expectations of life at age 65 and 75 are shown in the following table:

	Current	Valuation	Previous Valuation	
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
65	25.76	26.80	25.54	26.61
75	15.74	16.79	15.57	16.64

For life annuities in payment, the expectations of life at age 65 and 75 are shown in the following table:

	Current Valuation		Previous Valuation		
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females	
65	22.15	24.96	21.94	24.81	
75	13.98	15.90	13.83	15.77	

For the Senior Security Plan where a 'modified table' has been used, sample percentages of the mortality table are shown in the table below for the current valuation:

Age	Male non-TV sales	Male TV sales	Female non-TV sales	Female TV sales
25	393.113%	407.114%	339.142%	538.955%
35	393.113%	407.114%	339.142%	538.955%
45	362.148%	381.491%	316.905%	492.604%
55	233.985%	270.147%	225.644%	307.988%

For the Senior Security Plan where a 'modified table' has been used, sample percentages of the mortality table are shown in the table below for the previous valuation:

Age	Male non-TV sales	Male TV sales	Female non-TV sales	Female TV sales
25	397.285%	411.434%	342.257%	543.905%
35	397.285%	411.434%	342.257%	543.905%
45	366.341%	385.908%	320.090%	497.555%
55	237.845%	274.604%	228.775%	312.260%

Policies previously written in BRS

The mortality tables used are modified PMA92/PFA92 mortality factors plus longevity improvement factors. For males, the annual rates of improvement follow the CMI R17 basis for calendar years to 2003 and thereafter follow the 'medium cohort' series of improvement factors proposed by the CMI Bureau in October 2002. The CMI R17 factors are used for females for all years.

The table below shows the expectation of life for each class of impaired life for the current valuation:

Representative description of underwriting category	Standard	Light smoker	Diabetic	Smoker	Medium impairment	High impairment	Seriously ill
Male aged 65	24.46	23.75	22.71	21.13	17.69	15.27	11.85
Male aged 75	15.79	15.13	14.11	13.07	10.51	8.68	6.14
Female aged 65	26.85	25.97	24.50	23.73	21.01	18.62	14.77
Female aged 75	17.90	17.05	15.58	15.30	13.46	11.68	8.77

The table below shows the expectation of life for each class of impaired life for the previous valuation:

Representative description of underwriting category	Standard	Light smoker	Diabetic	Smoker	Medium impairment	High impairment	Seriously ill
Male aged 65	24.41	22.75	22.94	20.27	18.19	16.64	18.61
Male aged 75	15.38	14.10	14.19	12.28	10.72	10.69	12.57
Female aged 65	25.71	24.42	24.40	22.60	20.81	20.62	22.36
Female aged 75	16.43	15.46	15.35	14.23	12.93	13.78	14.29

Policies previously written in BA

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
	M/F bases	M/F bases
Decreasing term assurance with	125% Gerling Re	125% Gerling Re
critical illness cover	morbidity and:	morbidity and:
	105% TMC00	105% TMC00
***	110% TFC00	110% TFC00
Non linked immediate annuity	Modified PCMA00	Modified PMA92
	Modified PCFA00	Modified PFA92
Aggregate Term Assurance	105% TMC00	105% TMC00
	110% TFC00	110% TFC00
Term Assurance (codes T1 & T2)		
Aggregate	166% TMC00	166% TMC00
	163% TFC00	163% TFC00
Non Smoker	106% TMC00	106% TMC00
	105% TFC00	105% TFC00
Smoker	226% TMC00	226% TMC00
	222% TFC00	222% TFC00
Term Assurance with serious	125% Gerling SI	125% Gerling SI
illness (codes ST1 & ST2)	morbidity and:	morbidity and:
Aggregate	199% TMC00	199% TMC00
	139% TFC00	139% TFC00
Non Smoker	119% TMC00	119% TMC00
	88% TFC00	88% TFC00
Smoker	278% TMC00	278% TMC00
	189% TFC00	189% TFC00
Endowment and Whole of Life	110% AMC00	110% AMC00
	110% AFC00	110% AFC00
Pension deferred annuities (pre-	90% AMC00	90% AMC00
vesting)	90% AFC00	90% AFC00

Note that the mortality basis for the assurances is combined with the allowance for morbidity described in section 4(5).

For pension annuities in payment the expectations of life under the current (and previous year) valuation assumptions for sample ages are:

	Current	Current Valuation		s Valuation
Age	Males	Females	Males	Females
65	24.47	24.95	23.79	29.34
75	15.39	15.20	14.37	19.20

(5) Morbidity Basis

Policies previously written in PLL but not in PAL or SLUK

For PHI policies previously written in PLL but not in PAL or SLUK, the reserve has been calculated as a proportion of the annual premium in force. No morbidity tables are used for this business.

Policies previously written in PAL

For PHI benefits previously written in PAL, the assumed inception & recovery rates are expressed as varying percentages of CMIR12. Sample inception & recovery rates for occupational class 1 lives, based on a 3 month deferred period, are as follows:

Inception rates expressed as a percentage of CMIR12

		Current \	/aluation	Previous Valuation	
Age	Age Table	Male	Female	Male	Female
25	CMIR12	104.00%	182.00%	104.00%	182.00%
35	CMIR12	104.00%	182.00%	104.00%	182.00%
45	CMIR12	104.00%	182.00%	104.00%	182.00%
55	CMIR12	92.00%	161.00%	92.00%	161.00%

Recovery rates expressed as a percentage of CMIR12 all durations

Age		Current '	Valuation	Previous Valuation	
	Table	Male	Female	Male	Female
25	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%
35	CMIR12 `	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%
45	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%
55	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%

		Current '	Valuation	Previous Valuation	
Age	Table	Male	Female	Male	Female
25	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%
35	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%
45	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%
55	CMIR12	52.00%	52.00%	51.80%	51.80%

For policies previously written in SLUK

For PHI and critical illness policies previously written in SLUK, the following morbidity assumptions are used for non-smoker lives, occupational class 1:

Inception rates expressed as a percentage of the table:

		Current \	/aluation	Previous Valuation		
Age	Table	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Individual/Gro	up PHI (Direct w	ritten)				
25	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
35	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
45	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
55	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
Individual/Gro	up PHI (Reassu	rance accepted	d)			
25	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
35	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
45	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
55	CMIR12	86.00%	150.50%	86.00%	150.50%	
Critical Illnes	s (non-smoker)					
25	CIBT93M	45.00%	63.00%	45.00%	63.00%	
35	CIBT93M	45.00%	63.00%	45.00%	63.00%	
45	CIBT93M	45.00%	63.00%	45.00%	63.00%	
55	CIBT93M	45.00%	63.00%	45.00%	63.00%	
Critical Illnes:	s (smoker)					
25	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
35	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
45	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
55	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
Critical Illnes:	s (aggregate)					
25	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
35	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
45	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
55	CIBT93M	95.00%	100.00%	95.00%	100.00%	
	Critical Illness (n		erm assurance	mortality plus:		
25	CIBT93M	46.00%	64.00%	46.00%	64.00%	
35	CIBT93M	46.00%	64.00%	46.00%	64.00%	
45	CIBT93M	46.00%	64.00%	46.00%	64.00%	
55	CIBT93M	46.00%	64.00%	46.00%	64.00%	
Accelerated (Critical IIIness (s			tality plus:		
25	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
35	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
45	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
55	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
			m assurance m	ortality plus:		
25	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
35	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
45	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	
55	CIBT93M	98.00%	103.00%	98.00%	103.00%	

Recovery rates expressed as a percentage of CMIR12 all durations

		Current '	Current Valuation		Valuation
Age	Age Table		Female	Male	Female
Individual PH	l claims		•		
25	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
35	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
45	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
55	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
Group PHI cl	aims				<u></u>
25	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
35	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
45	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
55	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%

		Current \	Current Valuation		Valuation
Age	Table	Male	Female	Male	Female
Individual PH	l claims				
25	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
35	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
45	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
55	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
Group PHI cl	aims				
25	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
35	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
45	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%
55	CMIR12	63.00%	63.00%	62.90%	62.90%

Policies previously written in Alba

The reserves for products covering morbidity risk do not exceed the materiality limits.

Policies previously written in BRS

No products cover morbidity risk.

Policies previously written in BA

The morbidity rates are based on those charged by the reinsurer and reflect the fact that the business relates to the United Kingdom.

Tables for aggregate (i.e. combined smoker/non smoker) rates are shown below:

Male Aggregate	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Age		
25	0.051%	0.051%
35	0.085%	0.085%
45	0.260%	0.260%
55	0.654%	0.654%
Female Aggregate	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Age		
25	0.070%	0.070%
35	0.156%	0.156%
45	0.325%	0.325%
55	0.640%	0.640%

Tables for non smoker rates:

Male Non Smoker	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Age		
25	0.045%	0.045%
35	0.062%	0.062%
45	0.166%	0.166%
55	0.436%	0.436%
Female Non Smoker	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
Age		
25	0.050%	0.050%
35	0.109%	0.109%
45	0.227%	0.227%
55	0.456%	0.456%

Tables for smoker rates:

Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
0.056%	0.056%
0.108%	0.108%
0.355%	0.355%
0.872%	0.872%
Current	Previous
Valuation	Valuation
	700, 22 3 3
0.089%	0.089%
0.204%	0.204%
0.423%	0.423%
0.12070	U. TEU /U
	0.056% 0.108% 0.355% 0.872% Current Valuation 0.089% 0.204%

(6)Expenses

The following table shows the gross attributable expenses per policy (excluding renewal commission).

Product Group	Per Policy	y Expense
	Current	Previous
	Valuation	Valuation
	£	3
Term assurance (325 / 330)	14.46	13.07
Critical illness (340 / 345 / 350 / 355)	59.14	59.01
Income protection (360 / 365)	32.71	59.01
Income protection claims in payment (385)	0.00	0.00
Annuity (400)	24.80	21.74
UWP bond (500)	N/A	N/A
UWP savings endowment (510)	N/A	N/A
UWP regular premium pension (525)	N/A	N/A
UWP single premium pension (525)	N/A	N/A
UWP group regular premium pension (535)	N/A	N/A
UWP group single premium pension (535)	N/A	N/A
UL bond (700)	29.53	37.24
UL savings endowment (715)	N/A*	37.24
UL target cash endowment (720)	N/A*	37.24
UL regular premium pension (725)	N/A*	37.24
UL single premium pension (725)	N/A*	37.24
UL group regulár premium pension (735)	N/A*	37.24
UL group single premium pension (735)	N/A*	37.24

Note that for 360/365 the major product group has changed from that reported in 2007. The 2007 comparative was 30.05.

For BULA, in addition to the per policy expenses, the per premium cost assumptions for the calculation of unit linked sterling reserves were:

Product Group	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation	
	%	%	
Ex-Unit Linked Fund	1.50%	1.50%	

The expenses on life business are netted down for tax at 20%.

There are no zillmer adjustments for the policies to which the above expenses apply.

^{*}For UL products there are no longer expenses attributable to individual policies. There is a total annual amount as described in 6(6).

(7)Unit Growth Rates

Previous Company	Product Group	Unit growth rate before management charge	Expense inflation rate assumed	Policy charge increase rate assumed
		(% p.a.)	(% p.a.)	(% p.a.)
PLL but not	Life business	3.70%	6.34%	3.54%
SLUK	except for Home			
	Ownership Plan			
	Home Ownership Plan	2.53%	6.34%	2.54%
	Pensions business	3.90%	6.34%	3.54%
SLUK	Life business	3.70%	3.94%	0.00%
	Pensions business	3.90%	3.94%	0.00%
Alba	Life business	3.70%	3.54%	0.00%
	Pensions business	3.90%	3.54%	0.00%
Century	Life Funds	3.55%	3.54%	3.54%
	Pension Funds	3.75%	3,54%	3.54%
BULA				*
Former UL Fund	Life business	3.70%	2.54%	2.54%
business	Pensions business	3.90%	2.54%	2.54%
Former Non-Profit	Life business	3.70%	3.54%	2.54%
Fund business	Pensions business	3.90%	3.54%	2.54%
BRS	Pension Annuities	7.00%	2.54%	n/a
BA	Pension Annuities	n/a	3.54%	n/a

In the case of linked contracts previously written in Alba, where there is discretion in the level at which charges are set, provision has been made for policy fees to increase according to the increases in either the Retail Price Index or the National Average Earnings Index according to the terms of the policy. No other increases to policy charges have been assumed.

(8) Future Bonus Rates

Not applicable

(9)Persistency Assumptions

For products where the valuation method has not been changed following the changes to the INSPRU valuation rules at 31 December 2006, no credit has been taken for future lapses.

Policies previously written in PLL

Product	Average lapse / surrender / paid-up rate for the policy years				
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
Level term	Lapse (if reserve positive)	8.40%	8.16%	3.60%	3.60%
Level term	Lapse (if reserve negative)	5.60%	5.44%	2.40%	2.40%
Decreasing term	Lapse (if reserve positive)	12.00%	12.48%	8.40%	8.40%
Decreasing term	Lapse (if reserve negative)	8.00%	8.32%	5.60%	5.60%
Accelerated critical illness	Lapse (if reserve positive)	10.80%	10.80%	10.80%	10.80%
Accelerated critical illness	Lapse (if reserve negative)	7.20%	7.20%	7.20%	7.20%

The reserve for an individual policy is equal to the most onerous calculation from assuming:

- A positive margin at all durations
- A negative margin at all durations
- A positive margin when the policy is an asset and a negative margin when the policy is a liability.

Policies previously written in Alba

The valuation makes no allowance for lapses.

Policies previously written in BULA

Product		Average lapse / surrender / paid-up rate for the policy years			
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
Level term	Lapse (if reserve positive)	11.00%	9.60%	9.60%	9.60%
Level term	Lapse (if reserve negative)	7.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%
Decreasing term	Lapse (if reserve positive)	21.60%	21.40%	21.40%	21.40%
Decreasing term	Lapse (if reserve negative)	14.40%	14.20%	14.20%	14.20%

Product		Average lapse / surrender / paid-urate for the policy years			
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
Level term	Lapse (if reserve positive)	11.00%	9.60%	9.60%	9.60%
Level term	Lapse (if reserve negative)	7.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%
Decreasing term	Lapse (if reserve positive)	21.60%	21.40%	21.40%	21.40%
Decreasing term	Lapse (if reserve negative)	14.40%	14.20%	14.20%	14.20%

he reserve for an individual policy is equal to the most onerous calculation from assuming:

- A positive margin at all durations
- A negative margin at all durations
- A positive margin when the policy is an asset and a negative margin when the policy is a liability.

Policies previously written in BRS

The valuation makes no allowance for lapses as all of the policies are immediate annuities.

Policies previously written in BA

No allowance for lapses is made in the valuation.

(10)Other Material Assumptions

Not applicable.

(11)Allowance for Derivatives

The fund holds a number of swap contracts. The swap contracts (both assets and liabilities) are incorporated within the fixed interest portfolio for the purposes of determining a valuation rate of interest. Specifically for interest rate swaps we:

- (i) Calculate the cashflows that the swaps will produce if future interest rates are in accordance with the LIBOR forward yield curve at the valuation date.
- (ii) Calculate the cashflows arising from the fixed interest portfolio (excluding swaps) if held to redemption.
- (iii) Find the overall yield on the fixed interest portfolio (excluding swaps) by equating the cashflows in (ii) to the market value of the fixed interest assets (excluding swaps).
- (iv) Find the overall yield on the combined fixed interest and swap portfolio by equating the cashflows in (i) and (ii) to the market value of the swaps plus the fixed interest assets.
- (v) The difference between the yields in (iii) and (iv) shows the impact on yield of folding the swaps in with the fixed interest portfolio.

In addition to the swaps, the assets described in form 13 contain derivative contracts. These derivative contracts are to manage asset exposure and reduce risk and are appropriately matched. The derivatives do not directly impact the long term insurance liabilities.

(12)Effect of Basis Changes

Not applicable. The changes in INSPRU valuation rules effective from 31 December 2006 were implemented at that time.

5. OPTIONS AND GUARANTEES

(1) Guaranteed Annuity Rate Options

Policies previously written in PLL

(a) Methods

A reserve to cover possible liabilities under the guaranteed annuity option is calculated using scenarios from the Barrie & Hibbert investment model to estimate the additional liability at the normal retirement date, assuming that 5% of the maturity value will be taken as cash. The following mortality basis is used:

Mortality	*
Before vesting	53.4% AM92
	60.1% AF92
	61.5% PMA80
After vesting	C=2010
	75.2% PFA80
	C=2010
Allowance for future improvements	3
Before vesting	1.5% p.a. males
	1.5% p.a. females
After vesting	1.5% p.a. males
	1.5% p.a. females

(b)

Product Name	Personal Pension Investment Plan contracts issued in 1982 and 1983, Directors' and Executives Pension Plan	Personal Pension Plan and Retirement Annuity Policy		
Basic reserve	£11.5m	£3.6m		
Spread of outstanding	As a percentage of guarantee 65.4% < 5 years	As a percentage of guarantee 69.8% < 5 years		
durations	23.8% between 5 and 10 years 10.5% between 10 and 20 years 0.3% over 20 years	27.1% between 5 and 10 years 3.1% between 10 and 20 years Nil over 20 years		
Guarantee reserve	£2.4m	£1.8m		
	£109.30 p.a. for £1000 cash sum for Personal Pension Investment Plan £111.37 p.a. for £1000 cash sum for Directors' and Executives Pension Plan (PGP&A)	sum.		
Increments	Increments are not allowed	Increments are not allowed		
Frequency	Annually in arrears, no guarantee period	Annually in arrears, no guarantee period		
Retirement ages	Between 60 and 75	Between 60 and 75		

Policies previously written in SLUK

(a) Methods

An additional reserve is calculated for options on the FT30 index-linked life policies. The following basis is used:

Age	Surrender rate		
	p.a.		
Prior to age 50	0%		
At exact age 50	10%		
55	20%		
60	40%		
65	100%		

It is assumed that 80% of policyholders exercise the option to purchase an annuity, valued on the basis of 95% IMA92/IFA92, 4.06% p.a. interest with 2% expenses.

The uncertainty of future interest rates has been allowed for by valuing the annuity using the alternative assumptions that interest rates will be 30% lower or 30% higher than those underlying the central rate. The reserve is taken as the average of the three results.

(b)

Product Name	Protection Plan	Escalator Plan		
Basic reserve	£12.7m	£33.7m		
Spread of	0-25 years	0-25 years		
outstanding				
Guarantee reserve	£2.0m	£3.7m		
Guarantee annuity	£102.88 p.a. for £1000 cash sum	£102.88 p.a. for £1000 cash		
rate (age 65 male)	for policies commencing before	sum for policies commencing		
	1979	before 1979		
	£79.88 p.a. for £1000 cash sum	£79.88 p.a. for £1000 cash sum		
	for policies commencing in 1979	for policies commencing in		
	or 1980	1979 or 1980		
Increments	Increments are not allowed	Increments are not allowed		
Frequency	Half-yearly in arrears, 5 years	Half-yearly in arrears, 5 years		
	guarantee period	guarantee period		
Retirement ages	Available on surrender at 50, 55,	Available on surrender at 50,		
	60 or 65	55, 60 or 65		

Policies previously written in Alba

Not applicable

Policies previously written in Century

(a) Methods

The liabilities for Guaranteed Annuity rate Options (GAOs) were calculated at policy level using a deterministic valuation interest rate. All the policies were significantly in the money at the valuation date and are likely to remain so in the future unless interest rates increase substantially. The value of the GAOs is therefore virtually all intrinsic value, and if a stochastic method had been used, the reserves thus calculated would not be materially different from the values reported. Other than for the unit linked ex-NEL business, these options are fully reassured

The main assumptions used to value GAOs were:

Valuation interest rate p.a.	Pre-vesting	3.30% 3.30%	
valuation interest rate p.a.	Post-vesting		
GAO take-up rate*		95%	
Mortality		As in 4 (4)	
Payment expense allowance		4%	

^{*} The GAO take-up rate is calculated using the assumption that 20% of policies take 25% of their fund as cash at retirement for all outstanding durations.

Product	Basic Reserve £m	O/S Durn Spread years	Gtee Reserve £m	GAO Rate	Incrs Yes/No	Ann. Form	Ret. Ages
Ex-NEL Gteed Growth	19.7	0-26	16.1	11.11%	Yes	*	60-75
Ex-NEL Linked	10.1	0-22	7.6	11.11%	Yes	*	60-75
Ex-Crown Dep Admin	2.5	0-23	0.1	10.25%	Yes	*	60-65
Ex-OMLA Ex-WP	7.7	0-18	3.7	8.90%	Yes	*	50-75

In general, where policyholders may make increments to the policy, the GAO does not apply to the regular premium increases or additional single premiums.

Policies previously written by BULA

There are no guaranteed annuity rate options.

Policies previously written by BRS

There are no guaranteed annuity rate options.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no guaranteed annuity rate options.

(2) Guaranteed Surrender and Unit-linked Maturity Values

Policies previously written in PLL

a) Methods

Surrender Guarantees

Multiple Growth Bonds: Some policies have a special minimum value on surrender (only payable in certain extreme circumstances) of 100% of premiums paid to date. It was not considered necessary to incorporate an additional reserve.

Property Growth Plan and Executive Property Growth Plan: From the fifteenth policy anniversary onwards there is the guarantee that the surrender value is not less than the sum of premiums paid. The current value of units of each policy is such that it is not considered necessary to keep any reserve in respect of this guarantee.

Flexible Savings Plan: From the tenth policy anniversary onwards there is the guarantee that the surrender value is not less than five-sixths of premiums paid. The current value of units of each policy is such that it is not considered necessary to keep any reserve in respect of this guarantee.

Protection Plan: This contract provides a guaranteed surrender value and contains an in-built contingency margin as the value of the units in the reserve account at the previous policy anniversary will usually exceed this surrender value. A further contingency reserve of £10,000 is set up in respect of the guarantee. This reserve has not been included in the table below.

All-Weather Bond: From the fifteenth policy anniversary onwards there is the guarantee of a cash value of at least 150% of the single premium paid. The current value of units of each policy is such that it is not considered necessary to keep any reserve in respect of this guarantee.

For non-linked single premium contracts to which guaranteed surrender values currently apply, the reserve was, if necessary, increased so that it is not less than the current guaranteed surrender value.

Maturity Guarantees

The reserving bases for investment performance guarantees are summarised below.

Lloyds Bank contracts issued between 1968 and 1973: These have a minimum amount guaranteed on maturity. Some of these contracts have been endorsed at maturity to continue for a further period of ten years but the original guarantee only has been retained and not increased despite the payment of a further ten years' premiums. It is considered that no reserve is necessary to provide against these guarantees because of the current size of the unit liabilities compared with the guarantees given.

Fairshare Endowment Plans (Series I), Endowment Plans (Property and Managed Fund units): A reserve to cover possible liabilities under the maturity guarantee is calculated using the Barrie & Hibbert stochastic investment model to assess the market value of the guarantee.

Endowment Plans (Fixed Interest Fund units): A stochastic investment model was considered unnecessary and a reserve of £10,000 is included for the maturity guarantee reserve on this small group of policies. This reserve has not been included in the table below.

Home Ownership Plan (including Low Start variant): Any projected shortfall at maturity has been allowed for in the cash flow projections and no further reserve is necessary. The deterministic cash flow reserve exceeds the market value of the guarantee as estimated using a Barrie & Hibbert market consistent stochastic asset model.

Acorn Plan, Flexible Savings Plan, Endowment Policy and Whole Life Policy: No reserve is considered necessary.

b)

Product Name	Fairshare Endowment Plans (Series I)	Endowment Plans (Property and Managed Fund units):
Basic reserve	£4.2m	£0.5m
Spread of	As a percentage of unit fund:	As a percentage of unit fund:
outstanding	26.0% < 5 years	80.0% < 5 years
durations	31.3% between 5 and 10 years	19.3% between 5 and 10 years
	24.9% between 10 and 15 years	0.7% between 10 and 15 years
	9.4% between 15 and 20 years	nil between 15 and 20 years
	8.3% over 20 years	nil over 20 years
Guarantee reserve	£0.2m	£0.0m
Guaranteed	Guaranteed sum assured at	Guaranteed sum assured at
amount	maturity specified at outset of	maturity specified at outset of
	the policy	the policy
MVA free	No MVAs are allowed	No MVAs are allowed
conditions		
In force premiums	£0.1m	£0.0m
Increments	Increments are not allowed	Increments are not allowed

Product Name	Wealth Assured Endowments			
Basic reserve	£26.8m			
Spread of outstanding	Up to 41 years outstanding duration.			
Guarantee reserve	£0.8m (aggregate reserve for all Wealth Assured Contracts)			
Guaranteed amount	For contracts issued before April 1979 there is a guarantee that at the end of ten years and throughout the eleventh year the sum payable will not be less than 100% of the total premiums paid (excluding the policy fee). This proportion will increase by 1% at each policy anniversary until final maturity.			
	For later contracts the minimum sum assured payable at the end of ten years for each £10 per month premium (excluding policy fee) is £1000 and this amount increases by £125 for males and £140 for females at the end of each complete year thereafter until final maturity.			
MVA free	No MVAs are allowed			
conditions				
In force premiums	£0.4m			
Increments	Increments are not allowed			

Product Name	Wealth Assured Ten + Ten contracts
Basic reserve	£0.2m
Spread of outstanding	Outstanding durations until the next guarantee date range from 2 years to 39 years
Guarantee reserve	£0.8m (aggregate reserve for all Wealth Assured Contracts)
Guaranteed amount	Minimum sum assured payable at end of ten years is the total premiums paid
MVA free conditions	No MVAs are allowed
In force premiums	£0.0m
Increments	Increments are not allowed

Product Name	Wealth Assured Bonds			
Basic reserve	£6.0m			
Spread of outstanding	Whole Life contract. The youngest current age is 34.			
Guarantee reserve	£0.8m (aggregate reserve for all Wealth Assured Contracts)			
Guaranteed amount	On surrender the cash value of the bond is the value of the units allocated at the last published bid price, subject to a provision that if the bond had been in force for ten years and no part of it had been cashed or withdrawn, the cash value is guaranteed to be not less than 125% of the original single premium; this guarantee increases to 200% after 20 years and 300% after 30 years. Reduced guarantees apply if part of the bond has been cashed.			
MVA free	No MVAs are allowed			
conditions				
In force premiums	N/A			
Increments	Increments are not allowed			

Policies previously written in Alba

There are no guaranteed surrender and unit-linked maturity values.

Policies previously written in Century

The total basic reserve for guaranteed surrender and unit-linked maturity values, where an additional reserve is considered necessary, is below the lesser of £10m and 0.1% of total mathematical reserves.

Policies previously written in BULA

The Flexible Investment Plan (first series) contains a maturity guarantee. The contract is an endowment assurance maturing on the anniversary of the date of the contract preceding the sixty-fifth birthday of the life assured. The contract is closed to new business.

The amount payable on maturity of the contract or on earlier death of the life assured is the greater of the value of the relevant shares at the current bid price and the premiums payable over the entire term of the contract. There is an option on maturity for the contract to be continued for an indefinite period without the continued payment of premium. The amount payable at the end of the continuation is the value of the relevant shares at the current bid price. The amount payable on death during the continuation is the greater of the value of the relevant shares at the current bid price and the premiums payable over the entire term of the contract.

The unit reserves are calculated as described in section 4(1) above.

Expense reserves are determined by use of projected cashflows which allow for the guarantee and the reserves were set such that no policy would produce a future valuation strain.

b)
In respect of the guarantees described in 5(2) (a)

Product	Basic Reserve £m	O/S Durn Spread years	Gtee Reserve £m	Gtee Amount £m	MVA Free conditions	In Force Premiums £m	Incrs Yes/No
Flexible Investment Plan (first series)	14.3	0-22	0.0	7.0	N/A	0.2	No

Policies previously written in BRS

There are no guaranteed surrender or unit-linked maturity values.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no guaranteed surrender or unit-linked maturity values.

(3) Guaranteed Insurability Options

Policies previously written in PAL

Some term assurance policies include options to extend the policy term or convert to other policies without requiring further evidence of health. Where there are options to convert or extend, an additional reserve is calculated as the larger of 10% of the normal term assurance reserve and 20% of the office premium except for Renewable Convertible Term Assurance. For Renewable Convertible Term Assurance this reserve is the larger of 20% of the normal reserve and 30% of the office premium. The total sum assured under the policies is less than £1bn.

The Progressive Protection Plan and Flexible Mortgage Plan include a Special Events option which allows the planholder to increase the sum assured without further underwriting on certain events such as marriage of the life assured or birth of each of the life assured's children. The cost of the options is implicitly allowed for in the normal reserve.

Policies previously written in SLUK

Some term assurance and critical illness policies contain conversion and renewal options. Some policies also contain guaranteed insurability options where a term assurance may be taken out at standard rates if the life survives for 12 months following a critical illness claim. Loadings are applied in the calculation of the reserve, usually as a percentage of premiums paid, to allow for the cost of these options. The total sum assured under these policies is less than £1bn.

Policies previously written in Alba

The reserves for guaranteed insurability options do not exceed the materiality limits.

Policies previously written in Century

Guaranteed insurability, continuation and conversion options are available on a number of conventional and linked products.

For Century Level and Increasing Term Assurances which carry the right to renew the policy on the expiry of the term, provision was made for an additional reserve at the end of the term equal to £3.00 for each £1,000 of sum assured then in force.

A reserve has been set up in respect of the provision included in ex-NEL Convertible Term Assurance to effect replacement contracts without further evidence of health. This reserve is included in the net premium reserve for the contract. An additional reserve of £40,000 has been made to cover options to effect new contracts without evidence of health under other policies. A further revival reserve of £40,000 has been made with respect to ex-NEL policies.

The provision for the options under Convertible Term Assurances and Mortgage Protection - New Series contracts was determined by accumulating the proportion of the office premium reserved for options at the appropriate valuation rates of interest.

For ex-CCL convertible term assurances, an additional reserve was held equal to the proportion of the total office premiums in respect of the conversion option paid since the inception of the contract. The premium rates for convertible term assurances are equal to those for ordinary term assurances with a 15% loading for the conversion option (10% for policies issued before March 1979).

For ex-CCL Versatile Investment Plan policies, provision has been made for the guaranteed insurability option of 0.1% of the total office premiums paid since inception.

For A-plan policies additional reserves were held equal to 3% of the sum assured discounted from the maturity date at 4.5% in respect of the guaranteed insurability option.

No provision was deemed necessary in respect of the options under the Flexible Protection Plans, Serious Illness Plans and Flexible Mortgage Plans, on the grounds that (i) there are already margins in the existing rates of monthly mortality deductions, and (ii) these, and the rates of morbidity deductions, can be increased at the Company's discretion.

No specific provision has been made in the reserves for the option under the ex-NBA Mortgage Protection contract as it is not expected, under current conditions, that any option effected will result in a loss to the Company.

No explicit provision has been made for the option under the ex-NAL Mortgage Protection Plans or Tailored Mortgage Protection to increase the sum assured. The margins in the mortality assumptions are assumed to cover any cost of the option.

In respect of certain Retirement Annuities, where the pension date and the benefits payable may be altered within the limits imposed by statute, and in respect of cash options under certain deferred annuity bonds, no specific provision has been made for the options available. Deferred annuity bonds with cash options have been valued by discounting the amounts of the cash options. No significant liability would arise if the policyholders elected to exercise the annuity options.

b)

The total sum assured for policies with guaranteed insurability, continuation and conversion options is less than £1bn.

Policies previously written in BULA

A number of term assurance products have a renewability option on expiry. A reserve is held equal to 13% of the total office premium payable over the whole term of the policy.

There are no products with conversion or renewal options where the total sum assured exceeds £1bn.

Policies previously written in BRS

There are no guaranteed insurability options.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no guaranteed insurability options.

(4)Other Guarantees and Options

Policies previously written in PLL

Investment Performance Guarantees

Price Guarantees

The prices of units in a number of deposit funds are guaranteed not to fall, for some of the products investing in those funds.

The assets backing these funds and the nature of the institutions with whom the investments are placed (mainly building societies and banks) are such that no reserve is considered necessary for these guarantees.

Units in the Old Building Society Linked Pension Fund are guaranteed to increase in value on a year to year basis in line with the lending rate of interest used by Abbey plc on residential mortgages. An additional provision of £1.9m has been made within the long-term insurance business liabilities in respect of this arrangement. This is calculated as 15% of the value of the fund, taking into account the outstanding term of the business and the expected difference between the rate guaranteed and the rate earned on the underlying assets.

Investment Guarantees on Deposit Administration Pension Contracts (PAL)

The Deposit Administration Pension contracts previously written by PAL have investment guarantees. The additional provision in respect of the guarantee is £3.9m. This is calculated as 15% of the base reserves for these contracts, taking into

account the outstanding term of the business and the expected difference between the rate guaranteed and the rate earned on the underlying assets.

Policies previously written in Alba

There are no other significant guarantees or options.

Policies previously written in Century

Investment guarantees operate on ex-NELPEN Guaranteed Growth plans, ex-Crown plans investing in the Deposit Administration fund, and certain ex-OMLA and ex-Hiscox ex-With Profit plans. These are explicitly valued and form part of the basic reserves.

In view of the nature of the investments of the ex-NELPEN Nelex Deposit Fund, ex-NBA Building Society Fund (Life Assurance Business), the Crown Money Fund and the ex-Prosperity Deposit and Pension Deposit Funds, no provision has been made for the guarantee that unit prices will not fall.

On ex-Prosperity Accident Income plans, WISP and Super WISP 25 policies, there is an option to change the beneficiary at any time – no reserve is currently held for this option.

Policies previously written in BULA

Some term assurance products include an option to increase the sum assured on marriage or birth. This option is allowed for by holding a reserve equal to 10% of the office premiums which have become due by the valuation date.

Policies previously written in BRS

There are no other guarantees or options.

Policies previously written in BA

There are no other guarantees or options.

6. EXPENSE RESERVES

(1) Aggregate Expense Loadings

The aggregate amount of expense loadings, grossed up for taxation where appropriate, expected to arise during the twelve months after the valuation date from implicit and explicit reserves made in the valuation to meet expenses in fulfilling contracts in force at the valuation date is shown in the following table

Homogeneous risk group	Implicit allowances	Explicit allowances (investment)		Non- attributable expenses	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
All Products	1.6	11.4	25.8	20.3	59.0
All expenses attributable	1.6	11.4	25.8	n/a	38.7
Total	1.6	11.4	25.8	20.3	59.0

(2)Implicit Allowances

Implicit allowances for expenses include the margin between the office premium and the net premium for prospectively valued contracts where the net premium method has been employed.

(3)Form 43 Comparison

The total amount of maintenance expenses shown in 6 (1) is different from the total shown in line 14 of Form 43:

	F43.14	table 6(1)	Difference
Homogeneous risk group	(a) £m	(b) £m	(b) - (a)
All Products	68.4	59.0	(9.4)
Total	68.4	59.0	(9.4)

Differences arise from the expected decrease in investment expenses in 2009 due to the fall in asset values compared to 2008. This is offset by the increase in direct costs for the on-going Treating Customers Fairly project and business retention activity, which are entered in Form 43.15 in the year they are incurred.

The 2009 expense loadings also include an additional year's inflation compared to form 43 but based on a smaller book of business due to the run off of the closed fund.

(4)New Business Expense Overrun

The company is closed to new business except for contractual increments which includes immediate annuities arising from vesting deferred pension policies. The agreement with the management services company specifies the expenses to be incurred and premium rates allow for the expenses to be charged. The company does not therefore expect to incur any material strain in writing new business so no additional reserve is required.

(5)Maintenance Expense Overrun

Expense reserves in accordance with 6(1) together with expense provisions described below are considered to be sufficient to meet the expenses likely to be incurred in the future. The agreement also includes a prudent allowance for costs that are not covered by standard fees payable under the agreement.

Costs falling outside the MSAs Provision - £17.5m

This provision covers expected direct costs that fall outside the management service agreements. The provision has been set equal to 110% of the expected 2009 expense capitalised over the average future remaining policy term.

UPAC Provision - £14.3m

This provision covers the expected expense associated with the unit pricing and control team for the ex-RSALI business. It is calculated as the expected 2009 expense capitalised over the average future remaining lifetime of this business.

Policies previously written in PLL

Other than a reserve of £0.2m, no allowance has been made for redundancy costs (as these will be met by the service provider), or for any costs of terminating the management services agreement (as the service provider does not have the option to terminate the contract).

(6)Non-attributable expenses

The non profit fund pays a fixed expense fee to PGMS in respect of all unit linked business other than (1) that originally written in the ex-BULA unit linked fund and (2) SAPL and SALI business written in PLL. The run-off of fees is projected as at 1 January 2009 and is based on the current per policy fees agreed to be paid to PGMS allowing for future inflation. The present value of all future fees remains the same on a best estimate basis. The total fee is assumed to inflate at RPIX+1% per annum and from 1 January 2010 the amount paid each year will be adjusted for the movement in RPIX. If for any year the percentage change in RPIX+1% is negative, the fee will not be inflated.

The sterling reserve for the business covered by this agreement is calculated in the following manner:

- Calculate the present value of the per policy expense under the original management service agreements (A).
- Calculate the fee expressed as a percentage of unit funds under management.
- The percentage is such that the present value of the fees on this basis is equal to (A) on a best estimate basis and is 0.5%.
- The individual policy sterling reserve allows for the percentage unit fund expense and negative sterling reserves are allowed. The total sterling reserve is the sum of these individual policy reserves.
- The total reserve held in respect of unit linked business is increased if necessary to ensure it is at least equal to the total current surrender value of the policies. No increase is made for 31 December 2008 reporting.

The total sterling reserve for this business is £132.9m. This also covers mortality and morbidity risk. The present value of the future expense payments to PGMS is £156.8m.

Other non-attributable expense reserves are for TCF (£4.6m), other costs falling outside MSAs (£12.8m), other direct costs and business retention activity (£3.7m). These reserves are equal to the present value of the expected expenses.

7. MISMATCHING RESERVES

(1) Analysis of Reserves by Currency

Currency	Mathematical Reserves	Backed by assets
*	m	m
Sterling (£)	699.2	699.2
Other currencies	5.8	5.8
Total	705.0	705.0

(2)Other Currency Exposures

The proportion of the liabilities in "other currencies" which is matched by assets in the same currency is 28%.

(3) Currency Mismatching Reserve

Almost all of the liabilities and the majority of the assets are denominated in sterling and are backed mainly by fixed interest assets and cash. This combined holding results in minimal currency risk and so no additional currency mismatching reserve is required.

(4)Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.16(R)

Not applicable.

(5)Most Onerous Scenario Under INSPRU 3.1.23(R)

Not applicable.

(6)Resilience Capital Requirement

Not applicable

(7)Additional Reserves Arising From INSPRU 1.1.34(2)(R)

No further reserve is required in respect of INSPRU 1.1.34(2)(R).

The size, currency and term of assets in respect of the non profit fund are reviewed regularly. The liabilities are backed mainly by fixed interest assets and cash and projections are carried out on appropriate, realistic assumptions. The Investment Managers are given rules to control the duration of such assets.

In view of this, no additional reserves for cashflow mismatching are regarded as appropriate.

8. OTHER SPECIAL RESERVES

The special reserves exceeding the lesser of £10m or 0.1% of the total mathematical reserves are as follows:

Description	Gross Reserve	Reassurance	Net Reserve
	£m	£m	£m
Future Projects and Issues Provision	63.9	15.0	49.0
Counterparty Risk Provision	12.8	0.0	12.8
Endowment Compensation Provision	10.8	0.0	10.8

Future Projects and Issues Provision

This provision is held to cover additional expenses which may arise in connection with data errors affecting the long-term business; future litigation settlements and similar costs and unanticipated projects with no or little financial benefit and is calculated having regard to past experience.

Counterparty Risk Provision

A counterparty risk provision is held in respect of the Company's policy administration and investment management outsourcing arrangements.

Endowment Compensation Provision

Some policyholders may have been given non-compliant advice to take out an endowment policy to repay a mortgage.

A provision has been provided to cover the cost of providing compensation to them. This has been assessed from the number of complaints expected to be received, the proportion anticipated to be valid and the expected amount of compensation per case payable, account being taken of the FSA guidelines on determination of compensation. Provision has also been made for the cost of handling complaints received.

The amount is included in the mathematical reserve for the relevant endowment products.

VAT Provision

This provision covers the risk that VAT is applied to future charges made by external outsourcers. The provision is calculated as the present value of these potential future amounts..

9. REINSURANCE

(1) Facultative reinsurance

- (a) No premiums were payable on a facultative basis to a reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (b) No such premiums were payable to a connected company reinsurer that was unauthorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.

(2)Reinsurance Treaties

The required details of the reinsurance treaties in force at the valuation date are set out below.

For Policies previously written in PLL

(d)	Swiss L	ife Insurance	and Pension	Company.
-----	---------	---------------	-------------	----------

- (e) A block of single premium compulsory purchase annuity contracts are reinsured on original terms.
- (f) No premiums were payable by the company under the treaty during the year.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £16.3m.
- (k) As (e)

(d) UNUM Provident.

- (e) Claims resulting from Group PHI contracts are 100% reinsured
- (f) No premiums were payable by the company under the treaty during the year.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is open to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £135.4m.
- (k) As (e)

(d) Swiss Re

- (e) Group PHI, excluding schemes written under multinational pooling, is reinsured on a 50% quota share basis with a maximum retention on any one life of £75,000 p.a. All individual claim benefits greater than the maximum retention are 100% reinsured with Swiss Re.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £0.6m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £39.3m.
- (k) As (e)

(d) Swiss Re

- (e) PHI policies are reinsured on a 50% quota share basis with a maximum retention of £25,000p.a.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £6.3m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 1
- (k) As (e)

- (d) Swiss Re.
- (e) Term, Term & TPD and waiver of premium policies are reinsured on a 90% quota share basis with a maximum retention of £50,000 / £300 p.a. (or \$75,000 / \$450 p.a.). Advance commission is also provided.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £5.7m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 2
- (k) As (e)

(d) GE Frankona.

- (e) Certain Critical illness, TPD and Term & CI policies are reinsured on an 85% quota share basis with a maximum retention of £50,000. The business covered is the same as the treaty withGen Re and Kolnische Ruck described below. Certain other policies of the same types are reinsured on a 90% quota share basis with a maximum retention of £50,000, and for these policies. Advance commission is also provided.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £0.0m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 2
- (k) As (e)

(d) GE Frankona.

- (e) The treaty covers PHI reinsurance business accepted by the company. Where the P.H.I. reinsurance exceeds £25,000p.a. the excess is reinsured.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £0.0m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 1
- (k) As (e)

(d) Munich Re

- (e) Term and Term & TPD policies are reinsured on a 90% quota share basis with a maximum retention of £50,000 (or \$75,000). Advance commission is also provided.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £11.5m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 2
- (k) As (e)

(d) Gen Re. And Kolnische Ruck

- (e) Critical Illness, TPD and Term CI policies are reinsured on a 75% quota share basis (90% prior to 7 July 2003) with a maximum retention of £100,000 (£50,000) prior to 7 July 2003). Advance commission was also provided until 26th January 2003. The treaty is a co-reinsurance arrangement, 5% of the reinsured business being underwritten by Gen Re and 95% by Kolnische Ruck.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £12.8m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 2
- (k) As (e)

(d) GE Frankona

- (e) PHI policies are reinsured on an 85% quota share basis with a maximum retention of £25,000pa. With effect from 1 January 2003, reinsurance is on a risk premium basis.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £0.1m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements.
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) See Note 1
- (k) As (e)

(d) Legal and General

- (e) A 50% quota share of Fair Share Whole Life business written between 1.9.74 and 30.9.80.
- (f) No premiums were payable by the company under the treaty during the year.
- (g) £ nil
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) £ nil
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £8.0m.
- (k) The treaty is a 50% quota share arrangement.

- (I) All reinsurers included are authorised to carry on insurance business in the UK.
- (m) None of the above reinsurers is a connected company of the insurer.
- (n) There are no material contingencies, such as credit risk or legal risk to which the treaties are subject.
- Under each treaty consideration has been given to the overall position in the event of contracts lapsing. Where the commission refund due to the reinsurer is proportionate to the commission refund due to the company on the original contract, then taking into account the reserves released on the retained benefits, and the refunds of commission expected to be received by the company in respect of the original contracts, it has not been considered necessary to hold any additional reserve. Where the commission refund due to the reinsurer is more than an amount proportionate to the commission refund due to the company on the original contract, then a reserve has been set up to cover the expected shortfall.
- (p) There are no financing reassurance treaties.
- Note 1 The total reserves ceded in respect of treaties covering individual PHI business previously written by SLUK are £22.0m.
- Note 2 The total reserves ceded in respect of treaties covering TA, CI and TPD business previously written by SLUK are £29.3m.

For Policies previously written in Alba

Not applicable

For Policies previously written in Century

- (d) XL Re
- (e) 100% of the benefits under the company's ex-OMLA non linked immediate annuity business that was in force at the end of 16 December 1999.
- (f) No premiums were payable by the company under the treaty during the year.
- (g) There is no deposit back arrangement
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £322.8m.
- (k) As (e)
- (I) XL Re is not authorised to carry on insurance business in the United Kingdom
- (m) The reinsurer is not a connected company of the insurer.
- (n) The assets backing the reinsured liabilities are held in a custodian account with appropriate security arrangements in place.
- (o) No provision has been made for any liability of the insurer to refund any amounts of reinsurance commission in the event of lapses or surrender of the contract.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty

For policies previously written in BULA

Not applicable.

For policies previously written in BA

(d) SCOR Global Life Reinsurance UK Limited

- (e) The treaty covers mortality and critical illness benefits on a quota share basis.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £1.8m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements
- (h) The treaty is closed to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £0.7m.
- (k) The insurer retains 10% of the risk.
- (I) SCOR Global Life Reinsurance UK Limited is authorised to carry on insurance business in the United Kingdom
- (m) The reinsurer is not a connected company of the insurer.
- (n) There are no material contingencies, such as credit risk or legal risk, to which the treaty is subject.
- (o) There is no reinsurance commission payable under the contract.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty

Non-profit immediate annuities, non-profit conventional deferred annuities and non-profit deposit administration deferred annuities not reinsured externally.

(d) Phoenix Pensions Limited

- (e) The treaty covers non profit immediate annuities, non-profit conventional deferred annuities and non-profit deposit administration deferred annuities. The liabilities are 100% reinsured.
- (f) The premiums payable by the company under the treaty during the year were £163.8m.
- (g) There are no deposit back arrangements
- (h) The treaty is open to new business.
- (i) There are no undischarged obligations
- (j) The amount of mathematical reserves ceded under the treaty at the valuation date was £3988.5m.
- (k) As (e)
- (I) Phoenix Pensions Limited is authorised to carry on insurance business in the United Kingdom
- (m) The reinsurer is a connected company of the insurer.
- (n) There are no material contingencies, such as credit risk or legal risk, to which the treaty is subject.
- (o) There is no reinsurance commission payable under the contract.
- (p) This is not a financing reassurance treaty

10. REVERSIONARY (OR ANNUAL) BONUS

Not applicable.

APPENDIX 9.4A

PHOENIX LIFE LIMITED

Abstract of Valuation Report for Realistic Valuation

1. INTRODUCTION

(1) Valuation Date

The valuation date is 31 December 2008.

(2) Previous Valuation

The previous valuation date was 31 December 2007.

(3) Interim Valuations

No interim valuations have been carried out.

Alba With-Profits Fund

2. ASSETS

(1) Economic Assumptions For Valuing Non-Profit Business

The economic assumptions used to calculate the value of future profits on non-profit business are as follows:

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Fixed Interest Investment return	3.84%	4.65%
Risk discount rate	3.84%	4.65%
RPI Inflation	2.54%	3.50%
Expense inflation	3.54%	4.50%

The inflation assumption for individual business is 8.5% until 2011 to reflect the terms of the management services agreement.

Allowance has been made under INSPRU 1.3.39G for the illiquid nature of a proportion of the assets (namely the corporate bonds) backing the immediate non-profit annuities within the Fund. A margin of 10% has been added to cover the risk of unexpected mismatch between the assets and liabilities.

(2) Amount Determined Under INSPRU 1.3.33(2)(R)

Not applicable

(3) Valuation Of Contracts Written Outside The Fund

Not applicable

(4) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

(5) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable – the assumptions in (1) relate to all non-profit business within the With-Profits Fund.

3. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE LIABILITIES

(1) Calculation Of With-Profits Benefits Reserve

Product Type	Method	With-profits benefits reserve £m	Future policy related liabilities £m
Unitised With-Profits	On an individual policy basis the face value of units has been multiplied by a	46.2	0.7
0% guarantee Unitised With-Profits 4% guarantee	factor representing the ratio of units to asset shares calculated	18.2	0.3
Deposit Administration	retrospectively for representative	116.7	14.4
Unitised Capital Guarantee Fund	policies of similar duration and premium paying type (i.e. single or	19.1	0.3
With Profits Performance Fund	recurring).	4.6	0.5
Capsil Series H		1.1	0.1
Paid up policies without guaranteed annuity options for which premium history is insufficient to calculate retrospective asset shares.	The present value of future benefits less expenses. The mathematical reserve was calculated using the published statutory basis, with the exception of the valuation interest rates which are as set out in paragraph 5 (1) below.	66.4	1.8
As above but with guaranteed annuity options.		8.6	1.8
Other policies without guaranteed annuity options	Individual asset shares calculated using actual premiums received, fund performance and expenses incurred in accordance with the PPFM.	553.9	117.6
Other policies with guaranteed annuity options.		120.3	118.8
Adjustments			23.5
Total		955.1	279.7

(2) Correspondence With Form 19

The above totals reconcile to lines 31 and 49 of Form 19.

The adjustments consist of a £23.5m provision to repay part of the contingent loan (see paragraph 7).

(3) With-Profits Benefits Reserves Below De Minimis Limit

Not applicable as all products have been disclosed.

(4) Types Of Products

The main class of guarantees is minimum annuity rate options and these have been separately identified in the table above. The only significant bonus guarantees are on unitised with-profits 4% guarantee policies.

4. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE – RETROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Retrospective Methods

- (a) All contracts have been calculated on an individual policy basis.
- (b) No contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.
- (c) Not applicable as no contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(2) Significant Changes To Valuation Method

- (a) There have been no significant changes in the method of calculating the withprofits benefits reserve.
- (b) No policies were valued using approaches more approximate than used for the previous valuation.

(3) Expense Allocation

- (a) The previous expense investigation was carried out in respect of the current financial year.
- (b) Expense investigations are carried out annually.
- (c) A specific investigation was carried out for this valuation.
 - (i) Being closed to new business, all expenses were identified as maintenance expenses.
 - (ii) Maintenance expenses for the with-profits business for the year to the valuation date were:

	£m
Life - individual	4.9
Pensions - individual	1.3
Pensions - corporate	2.8
Total	9.0

(iii) Expenses incurred in the year are allocated to specific classes of business, e.g. life / pensions and individual / corporate. The individual / corporate pensions split represents the business administered by Pearl Group Management Services and Capita respectively. These are then apportioned using the number of policies per category. (iv) The following expenses were charged to non-profit business for the year to the valuation date:

	£m
Life - individual	1.2
Pensions - individual	2.7
Pensions - corporate	3.3
Total	7.2

(4) Significant Charges

The PPFM sets out the rules for allocating charges to asset shares. This takes into account the requirement to treat policyholders fairly. Overall a 0.3% charge was applied to asset shares in the valuation year. This consists of 2.9% in respect of guaranteed annuity option costs and -2.7% in respect of non-guaranteed annuity option costs. This compares to an overall charge of 1.7% made to asset shares in the previous year.

(5) Charges For Non-Insurance Risk

Not applicable

(6) Ratio Of Claims To Reserves

Average ratio of total claims to asset shares:

Year	Ratio of claims to asset
	shares
Previous year -1	106.1%
Previous year	102.2%
Current year	102.3%

(7) Allocated Return

Unsmoothed yields for the full year (gross of tax), applied to the with-profits benefits reserve:

Life policies (gross)	2.79%
Pensions policies (Low guarantee)	2.79%
Pensions policies (High guarantee)	8.70%

The asset allocation for life policies and pensions low guarantee was 25% property and 75% fixed interest. For pensions high guarantee it was 100% fixed interest.

5. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE - PROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Key Assumptions

(a) As described in paragraph 3 (1), the prospective method uses the mathematical reserves calculated using the published statutory basis, with the exception of valuation interest rates which are changed from the rates detailed in Appendix 9.4 paragraph 4 (2) to those set out below. These comply with the regulatory rules and hence differ from the risk free rates required by paragraph 6 (4) (a) (iii):

Life Assurance Fund	3
With-Profits	3.05%
Non Profit	3.45%
General Annuity Fund	
With-profits Deferred Annuities	4.05%
Non profit Deferred Annuities	4.75%
Immediate Annuities	4.80%
Pension Business Fund	
New With-Profits AP Deferred Annuities	3.60%
New With-Profits SP Deferred Annuities	3.60%
Old With-Profits AP Deferred Annuities	3.80%
Old With-Profits SP Deferred Annuities	3.90%
Non Profit AP Deferred Annuities	4.50%
Non Profit SP Deferred Annuities	5.05%
Immediate Annuities	4.55%
Laserplan	3.90%
Group Pension Plan	3.70%
PHI Fund	
Non-claims	4.00%
Claims in Payment	4.80%

- (b) No assumptions about investment returns or risk adjustments were used in this prospective method;
- (c) Expense inflation of 3.5% was used, except for the term to 2011 for the business administered by Pearl Group Management Services when the inflation assumption was 8.5% to reflect the terms of the administration agreement;
- (d) No future reversionary or terminal bonuses were assumed;
- (e) The following expenses were used:

Product Type	£
Individual	
Annuities	44.14
RP WP & Unitised WP Life	73.57
RP WP & Unitised WP Pensions	122.61
SP/PUP WP & Unitised WP	36.78
Corporate	
Buyouts	38.61
Group money purchase & Group personal plans	77.20
Group deferred annuity & Executive pension plan	115.80

(f) No lapses were assumed in calculating the prospective reserves except that the expense assumptions do make an implicit allowance for the effect of expected future lapses.

(2) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

6. COSTS OF GUARANTEES, OPTIONS AND SMOOTHING

(1) De Minimis Limit

The cost of smoothing is £0m as all benefits are based on unsmoothed asset shares.

(2) Valuation Method For Guarantees etc.

	Cost of Guarantees & Options	Extent of Grouping	No of Individual policies	No of model points
All Business	Stochastic model	All business	148,624	10,195

(a) Cost of Guarantees & Options

The costs of guarantees are determined using a stochastic model, with the asset returns being generated by a proprietary model. The following items were calculated stochastically:

- (i) Guaranteed annuity option reserves;
- (ii) The reserves required in addition to asset share to meet guaranteed benefits.

The calculations were carried out using a risk neutral approach.

- (b) (i) In the stochastic model, no projections are carried out on individual policy data.
 - (ii) The model uses grouped policy data. However, the values for the withprofits benefits reserve are calculated on an individual basis and added to the data file before the data is grouped.
 - (iii) Policies are grouped according to product type, premium status, year of maturity, year of entry, individual / corporate business and expense group (as per the management service agreement). For certain endowment assurance classes, policies are also grouped by premium size (in bands of <£500, £500-1000, >£1000).

For some product types, policies are grouped according to maturity date more frequently than yearly (e.g. quarterly for first 10 years and yearly thereafter). The year of entry grouping is carried out in 5 year bands.

Within each group, simple averages are taken. Gender is assumed to be that of the majority within any particular group.

Grouping Validations

It is impractical to attempt to validate, using the stochastic model, projections that use grouped data against projections that use individual data. Instead, comparisons are carried out using deterministic projections.

Comparison is made of the present value of key variables as well as progression of these variables over a period of up to 40 years. The comparison includes items such as asset shares, mathematical reserves, claims outgo and premium income, split by product type as necessary. Where material discrepancies arise, these may result in grouping being revisited.

(c) No significant approximation methods were used for any residual types of products or classes.

(3) Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes since the previous valuation.

(4) Further Information On Stochastic Approach

- (a) (i) The stochastic model is used to value the following guarantees and options:
 - No negative terminal bonus guarantees at maturity and death within conventional with-profits contracts;
 - Market value reduction-free spot maturity guarantees within unitised withprofits and deposit administration contracts;
 - · Guaranteed annuity options on conventional with-profits contracts;
 - Surrender guarantees on flexible endowments.

Of these, the guarantees and options which are strongly "in the money" at the valuation date are the guaranteed annuity options and maturity guarantees on conventional with-profits pensions policies.

An indication of the extent of these guarantees is given in (vi) below.

(ii) The asset returns in the stochastic model were generated by a proprietary model purchased from Barrie & Hibbert. The asset classes modelled are UK equities, overseas equities, UK property, UK corporate bonds and UK gilts.

Interest Rate

UK gilt returns are modelled using gilts + 10bps calibration in an Annual LIBOR Market Model. The Government Nominal Bond yield curve is a direct input into the model.

The calibration at the valuation date was as follows:

Term	Govt. + 10bp	Model	Difference (Model - Market bp)
1	0.64%	1.22%	57
2	1.68%	1.87%	20
3	2.37%	2.31%	(6)
4	2.65%	2.63%	(2)
5	2.84%	2.87%	3
7	3.35%	3.22%	(13)
10	3.58%	3.58%	0
15	4.12%	4.13%	1
20	. 4.33%	4.34%	1
25	4.11%	4.08%	(4)
30	3.79%	3.91%	13
35	3.82%	3.83%	1
40	3.82%	3.75%	(7)
45	3.64%	3.73%	9

The volatility within the model is calibrated to the market implied volatility for at the money swaptions (for 20 year swaps). The calibration at the valuation date is as follows:

Term	Market Implied Volatility	Model	Difference (Model - Market bp)
1	27.20%	17.46%	(974)
2	21.00%	17.77%	(323)
3	18.50%	17.90%	(60)
4	17.20%	17.94%	74
5	16.00%	17.94%	194
7	15.00%	17.88%	288
10	14.60%	17.68%	308
15	16.40%	16.48%	8
20	16.10%	14.71%	(139)
25	14.30%	14.42%	12
30	12.40%	14.29%	189

Equities

Not applicable since the Alba With-Profits Fund has zero equity exposure.

Property

Excess returns over risk free on property are modelled using a separate (but correlated) lognormal model.

There are no tests against market traded instruments for properties since there are no such instruments. A best estimate has therefore been used of 15% constant volatility.

Corporate bond

Corporate bond returns are modelled using the extended Jarrow-Lando-Turnbull model. This describes bond prices in terms of a real-world transition matrix, which gives the probability of a transition to each credit rating over one year. Risk neutral transition probabilities are assumed to vary stochastically. The transition matrix is consistent with best estimates based on historic data of long term transition probabilities and spread volatilities and corporate bond prices. The model was fitted to a sample of predominantly investment grade sterling corporate bonds.

The following are examples of observed correlations of year 10 returns from the scenarios used (ZCB = zero coupon bond):

		Č	Output Co	orrelation	ns @ Ye	ar 10		
	Cash	Property	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr
		·	Govt	Govt	Corp	Corp	Index	Index
			ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	Linked	Linked
							ZCB	ZCB
Cash	1.00	0.28	(0.08)	(0.60)	(0.12)	(0.57)	0.81	0.41
Property		1.00	0.00	(0.14)	0.02	(0.12)	0.27	0.20
5yr Govt ZCB		•	1.00	0.65	0.77	0.59	(0.06)	(0.02)
15yr Govt ZCB			•	1.00	0.53	0.93	(0.48)	(0.18)
5yr Corp ZCB				•	1.00	0.70	(0.06)	0.02
15yr Corp ZCB					•	1.00	(0.44)	(0.15)
5yr Index Linked ZCB							1.00	0.80
15yr Index Linked ZCB							1	1.00

Alba With-Profits Fund

(iii) The table below is based on 1,000 scenarios:

	Asset type (all UK assets)	K=0.75				K=1				K=1.5		
	u	5	15	25	35	5	15	25	35	Г	15	25
	r Annualised compound equivalent of the risk	risk 2.86%	4.16%	4.08%	3.83% x	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
	free rate assumed for the period. (to two	tw o										
-	Bisk-free zero comon bond	868 460	542 305	368 057	268 436	×	*	×	×	×	×	×
N	FTSE All Share Index (p=1)	159,242		374,338		288,555	455,368	557,454	644,229	638,992	822,841	959,957
က	FTSE All Share Index (p=0.8)	149,764	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	283,264	318,906	272,981	379,889	425,034	462,268	606,254	691,310	739,299
4	Property (p=1)	29,901	115,234	197,152	265,825	131,041	250,203	357,467	441,916	524,809	623,386	743,716
Ŋ	Property (p=0.8)	25,385	79,589	125,946	162,134	115,834	184,498	239,712	280,117	486,878	485,325	528,209
9	15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=1)	1) 22,612	28,816	17,628	27,138	95,867	96,944	83,330	132,182	499,507	501,185	503,663
7	15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=0.8)	0.8) 19,484	17,659	8,782	9,101	83,658	58,067	26,444	31,670	458,275	333,465	253,722
80	15 year corporate bonds (p=1)	26,453	40,469	35,968	58,015	108,204	127,133	121,022	164,990	499,165	499,570	502,568
6	15 year corporate bonds (p=0.8)	22,921	24,236	16,263	20,575	95,259	80,546	52,359	64,458	459,575	346,090	270,600
10	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	35% 85,200	190,078	262,988	331,537	203,055	335,074	427,558	511,381	561,942	698,553	817,593
	property (p=1)											
-	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	35% 77,608	148,551	186,608	221,746	188,111	265,211	307,371	346,393	527,019	567,129	601,887
	property (p=0.8)											
12	Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15	15 year 83,321	181,262	236,754	296,178	198,848	318,129	391,731	463,389	556,778	666,472	765,463
	risk free zero coupon bonds (p=1)	•										
13	Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	year 75,910	140,113	166,257	196,154	184,004	253,238	278,130	310,006	521,842	538,919	556,973
	risk free zero coupon bonds (p=0.8)		:									
14	Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	erty, 37,277	97,504	140,683	193,148	138,054	218,755	277,293	342,857	518,001	573,122	643,549
	22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds	spuc										
	and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds (p=1)	=1)										
15	Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	erty, 32,069	67,451	83,957	109,593	123,908	157,940	176,303	205,064	480,418	439,095	434,669
	22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds	spuc										
	and 22.5% 15 year corporate both	spuod										
	(b=0.8)											
				L=15			L=20	0			L=25	2
16	Receiver sw aptions	13.74%	8.47%	6.04%	4.41%	16.81%	10.34%	7.38%	5.37%	19.44%	11.96%	8.53%

Notes:

- 1. The above option prices were produced by the economic scenario generator used to calibrate the Alba With-Profits Fund stochastic model. As the Alba With-Profits Fund has no exposure to equities, rows 2 and 3 are not relevant. The prices in rows 10 15 show the impact of correlations between different asset classes note that this is based on the defined asset allocations which differ from those of Alba With-Profits Fund which in particular has zero equity exposure.
- 2. For the purposes of this table, all bonds are zero coupon and property income is reinvested.
 - (iv) UK initial property rental yield: 4.30%
 - (v) The asset model is not calibrated to any risk-free rates other than those derived from UK assets. There is no calibration to risk-free rates from overseas territories.
 - (vi) The table below shows the outstanding durations of significant guarantees and options within material types of product and classes of with-profits contracts. The table shows the proportion of the total present value of cost of guarantees and options split by term to maturity.

Term to maturity (years)	WP endowments	WP mortgage endowments	WP pensions funding for cash (no GAO)	WP pensions funding for annuity	WP funding for cash (with GAO)
1-5	0.31%	0.80%	1.35%	14.60%	8.36%
6-10	0.24%	0.36%	1.44%	12.93%	14.80%
11-15	0.08%	0.10%	1.01%	6.83%	15.61%
16-20	0.05%	0.00%	0.72%	3.10%	9.06%
21-25	0.06%	0.00%	0.43%	1.19%	3.86%
26-30	0.04%	0.00%	0.15%	0.35%	1.23%

Calibration of the asset model to market data is shown, where available, in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) above.

(vii) Comprehensive tests are carried out on the output produced by Barrie & Hibbert asset model as follows:

For UK property, the ratio of the average (over the simulated scenarios) of the discounted present values of projected asset values (with income reinvested) to the original asset value has been verified to be acceptably close to unity – the martingale property.

The same test has been undertaken for gilts and bonds with terms of 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 years. Departures from unity in the average discounted present values have not been significant.

Zero coupon bond yields calculated from the model cash output have been verified to match yields calculated from input Government spot rates and initial spot rates output from the model at time zero within an acceptable error margin. Verification has also been made, within acceptable limits, that implied volatility calculated from the simulation model output reproduces the market volatility term structure for 20 year at the money swaptions.

(viii) The stochastic model is run on 1,000 investment scenarios generated by the asset model.

The scenario generation process incorporates variance reduction techniques (antithetic variables) to ensure that the scenarios selected pass the tests described in (vii) to a close tolerance.

Reasonable convergence of the model result was validated by analysing the valuation result in 50 scenario batches in order to determine the maximum sampling error.

- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable

(5) Management Actions

- (a) No management actions were assumed in calculating the working capital.
- (b) No exposure to equities is assumed in the future and non guaranteed reversionary bonus rates are assumed to be zero throughout.

(6) Persistency Assumptions

The surrender and paid-up assumptions are:

Product		Average surrender / paid-up rate for the policy years			te for the
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
CWP savings endowment	Surrender	4%	4%	4%	4%
CWP target cash endowment	Surrender	4%	4%	4%	4%
UWP savings endowment	Surrender	8%	8%	8%	8%
CWP pension regular premium	PUP	0%	0%	0%	0%
CWP pension regular premium	Surrender	2%	2%	2%	2%
CWP pension single premium	Surrender	2%	2%	2%	2%
UWP individual pension regular premium	PUP	0%	0%	0%	0%
UWP individual pension regular premium	Surrender	2%	2%	2%	2%
UWP individual pension single premium	Surrender	8%	8%	8%	8%

A take up rate of 75% for guaranteed annuity options is assumed. This is consistent with the terms of the agreement with the Britannic With-Profits Fund where any deviation from this assumption is met by that fund.

(7) Policyholders' Actions

No such assumptions were made.

7. FINANCING COSTS

A contingent loan has been provided by the Non Profit Fund investment reserve to Alba With-Profits Fund (the borrower). The purpose is to maintain a regulatory surplus pursuant to both INSPRU 1.1.27(R) and INSPRU 1.1.28(R). The loan is

subordinate to policyholders' interests insofar as repayment will not take place if treating policyholders fairly cannot be maintained.

The face value outstanding as at the valuation date was £85.6m. Interest payable is the interest received by the borrower on the Memorandum Account. Fees are payable by the borrower.

Any amount not required to maintain a surplus for the purposes of INSPRU 1.1.27(R) and INSPRU 1.1.28(R) can be repaid.

Following the conditions of the agreement, a provision for repayment of £23.5m of the contingent loan has been included in the realistic balance sheet as this is not required to maintain realistic solvency and would therefore ultimately be repaid.

8. OTHER LONG-TERM INSURANCE LIABILITIES

None

9. REALISTIC CURRENT LIABILITIES

The realistic current liabilities of £55.4m consist of regulatory current liabilities consistent with Form 14 Line 49.

10. RISK CAPITAL MARGIN

- (a) The risk capital margin amounted to £5.8m.
 - (i) No equities are held in the fund hence no equity stress was required. A fall in properties of 12.5% was assumed. A property fall was the more onerous.
 - (ii) A yield fall of 17.5% of the annualised 15 year gilt yield (of 3.74%), i.e. 0.66% was assumed for UK fixed interest stocks. For foreign stocks the yield fall was calculated as 17.5% of the yield on 10 year government bonds of the relevant country. On average, this was 0.66%. (The foreign investments were all European apart from a small holding, £4.6m, of US Treasury bonds.) The interest rate fall was the more onerous.
 - (iii) The average change in spread for bonds (weighted by value) was 0.34%, and the total change in asset value for bonds was 2.20%. Items (b) debts, (d) analogous non-reinsurance financing agreements and (e) other assets do not apply. Furthermore (c) does not apply as all of the reassurance arrangements have preferential access to the reassurer's assets in the event of default.
 - (iv) The impact of the persistency risk scenario is that the realistic value of liabilities increases by £18.4m or 1.9% of basic asset shares prior to any management action being taken.
 - (v) These were assumed to be materially independent.

- (b) The effects of management actions are as follows.
 - (i) The provision to repay £23.5m of the contingent loan already provided for in line 45 of Form 19 is excluded.

An assumption is made that the future projects and issues contingency reserve will be increased from £12.5m to £17.5m.

- (ii) Two management actions have been assumed under the stress scenarios. The first is that there is no repayment of the contingent loan of £85.6m. The second action is that asset share payouts are reduced from 90% to 75% which reduces future policy related liabilities by £42.4m.
- (iii) No exposure to equities is assumed in the future and non guaranteed bonus rates are assumed to be zero throughout.
- (iv) The requirements of INSPRU 1.3.188(R) would be met if the management action described in (i) had in fact taken place.

(c)

- (i) The assets covering the risk capital margin are held in the Alba With-Profits Fund and the Non Profit Fund. They consist of approved and other fixed interest securities and other assets.
- (ii) The scheme for the funds merger as at 31 December 2006 includes a provision that in the event that the value of the assets of any with-profits fund falls below the regulatory minimum, support will be provided to that fund by way of a loan arrangement from the Non Profit Fund or the Shareholders' Fund to the extent that the Board determines there are assets in those funds available to make such a loan.

11. TAX

(i) The investment returns used in the calculation of the with-profits benefits reserve are net of policyholder tax, where appropriate. The calculation of the net rate allows for tax on income and gains, split by asset class and using assumed rates appropriate to those assets.

Expenses attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve are reduced to reflect tax relief where appropriate, based on assumed rates.

(ii) In calculating the value of future policy related liabilities, tax is allowed for as follows.

Asset shares (or proxies to asset shares) are projected by the stochastic model used to determine the value of guarantees, and this allows for policyholder tax as described in (i).

(iii) The realistic value of the current liabilities is taken to be equal to the regulatory value. The value of any tax provisions resulting from the company's tax computation is included here.

12. DERIVATIVES

The fund has a portfolio of European-style receiver swaptions, to mitigate the effect that falls in interest rates have on the value of contracts written with a guaranteed annuity option. As at the valuation date, the fund held swaptions valued at £39m with an aggregate nominal value of £183m.

The option dates for swaptions range from the current year until 2035, with swap tenors of between 16 and 25 years. The majority of contracts are for a strike rate of interest of 5%. In recognition of an agreement with the Britannic With-Profits Fund (referred to in paragraph 6 (6)), the relevant policies were modelled assuming a 75% take-up rate for the option.

13. ANALYSIS OF WORKING CAPITAL

The movement in working capital over the twelve months to the valuation date is shown in the following table.

	£m	
Opening working capital	0.0	
Write back provision to repay contingent loan	6.7	
Write back planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	25.3	
Revised opening working capital	32.0	
Opening adjustments	52.1	
Restated opening working capital	84.1	
Investment return on working capital	3.7	
Mismatch profits and losses	(51.9)	
Assumption changes		
- Non-economic	(2.5)	
- Economic	(1.2)	
- Policyholder actions	(16.1)	
Impact of new business	0.0	
Other variances		
- Contingent loan drawdown	79.0	
- Contingent loan interest	(2.3)	
- Change in provisions	0.0	
- Expense variance	(2.6)	
- Tax variance	6.5	
- Lehman Re default	(72.0)	
- Unexplained	(1.0)	
Closing working capital before zeroisation	23.5	
Provision to repay contingent loan	(23.5)	
Planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	0.0	
Closing working capital	0.0	

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 51 of Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Claims Outstanding – Gross	25.4	17.9
Claims Outstanding - Reinsurers' Share	(0.4)	(0.8)
Provision for Deferred Tax	0.0	0.0
Provisions - Other risks and charges	3.7	3.4
Creditors - Direct insurance business	4.7	4.7
Creditors - Reinsurance ceded	4.0	0.0
Taxation	0.0	0.0
Other creditors	15.0	16.0
Accruals and deferred income	2.9	2.3
Total	55.4	43.5

Line 47 of Form 19 changed from £2.1m to £0.0m over the year, this is for the present value of future shareholder transfers on BL pre 1990 business.

14. OPTIONAL DISCLOSURE

None made.

Britannic Industrial Branch Fund

2. ASSETS

(1) Economic Assumptions For Valuing Non-Profit Business

The following table shows the principal economic assumptions that have been used to determine the value of future profits arising from non-profit life business written in the fund. The assumptions vary under the scenario of events assumed to occur when determining the risk capital margin and these are shown separately from the base scenario.

Economic Assumption*	Current Valuation		Previous Valuation	
	Base	RCM	Base	RCM
Valuation interest rate p.a.	3.02%	2.57%	3.00%	2.25%
Experience interest rate p.a.	3.17%	2.59%	3.92%	3.21%
Risk discount rate p.a.	3.84%	3.17%	4.65%	3.84%
Expense inflation p.a.	3.54%	3.54%	4.50%	4.50%

^{*} Investment rates are shown net of investment expenses of 0.12% gross per annum.

(2) Amount Determined Under INSPRU 1.3.33(2)(R)

Not applicable

(3) Valuation Of Insurance Contracts Written Outside The Fund

Not applicable

(4) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

(5) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable - the assumptions in (1) relate to all non-profit business within the fund.

3. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE LIABILITIES

(1) Calculation Of With-Profits Benefits Reserve

In determining the with-profits benefits reserve shown in Line 31 of Form 19, the company uses several methods. The methods can be summarised as:

(i) Asset Share Calculations

Asset shares are a roll-up, at historic achieved investment returns, of premiums, less expenses, charges and tax, adjusted for the profit or loss on providing death benefits and the profit or loss from contracts that terminated early.

(ii) Prospective Method

This method takes the basic policy reserve, including the long term insurance capital requirement, and deducts the present value of retained earnings. The present value of retained earnings is the present value of the surplus or deficit compared to the reserve, after taking into account all future policy-related income and outgo.

(iii) Regulatory Reserves

For some small classes of business it is not practical to apply either of the methods in (i) or (ii). In these cases the realistic reserve is taken as the regulatory reserve, excluding the long term insurance capital requirement.

The table below shows the breakdown of the with-profits benefits reserve into these methods.

Product Type	Method	With-profits benefits reserve	Future policy related liabilities	
		£m	£m	
Endowment	Asset Share	260	100	
Whole of Life	Prospective Method	142	15	
Miscellaneous adjustments	Regulatory Reserve	3		
Claims Pending	Regulatory Reserve	7		
Total		412	115	
Form 19 Line 31		412		
Form 19 Line 49			115	

In the table above, the future policy related liabilities' split into the same detail as the with-profits benefits reserve is approximated. This is partly because the assessment of prospective items such as the costs of guarantees and smoothing relies on grouped data, and partly because certain realistic future liabilities are not calculated at product level.

(2) Correspondence With Form 19

The amounts in (1) above reconcile directly to Form 19.

(3) With-Profits Benefits Reserves Below De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

(4) Types Of Products

The level of disclosure in the table above corresponds to material groupings of contracts offering significant variances in policyholder benefits.

4. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE – RETROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Retrospective Methods

- (a) All contracts have been calculated on an individual policy basis.
- (b) No contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(c) Not applicable as no contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(2) Significant Changes to Valuation Method

- (a) There have been no significant changes in the method of calculating the withprofits benefits reserve.
- (b) No policies were valued using approaches more approximate than used for the previous valuation.

(3) Expense Allocation

For each with-profits fund, the basis of allocating expenses to that fund during the financial year in question is described in note 4006 to Form 40.

- (a) The previous expense investigation was carried out in respect of the current financial year.
- (b) Expense investigations are carried out in respect of each financial year. Interim investigations are carried out during financial years for use in interim valuations.
- (c) The method by which expenses are charged to the with-profits benefits reserve in respect of individual contracts depends on the type of business and the method of determining asset shares:
 - Traditional with-profits business asset shares are charged expenses based on the expenses charged by the outsourcers in respect of this business. The expenses are an amount per policy which varies by product type and by premium paying status. The amount charged to asset shares is subject to an uplift to cover direct costs and an element of project costs. Additional one-off project costs are not charged to asset shares. Investment expenses are charged to asset shares by reducing the investment return allocated.

The expenses charged to asset shares are all charged as maintenance expenses as the fund is no longer actively seeking new business and, for the purposes of this expense investigation all expenses have been treated as maintenance and consequently the subsequent analysis does not identify any initial expenses.

The expenses charged to the with-profits fund in addition to those allocated to the with-profits benefits reserve comprise:

- One-off costs not charged to asset shares;
- Expenses in respect of with-profits policies that were in force at the previous financial year end and no longer in force at the current financial year end;
- The expenses incurred in respect of non-profit business in the fund;
- The investment expenses reduction not charged to asset shares;
- Investment expenses associated with the investments backing other withprofits reserves and the estate;
- Prior year adjustments; and

 Balance between aggregation of the amounts charged to assets shares and the items identified above and the aggregate amount allocated to the fund.

The expenses allocated to the with-profits benefits reserve and the residual balance charged to the fund during the financial year were:

Item		£m
Expenses charged to with profits benefits reserve	Conventional business	9.1
Other expenses charged	Other project costs	0.5
to fund	Excess product charges	-
	Exiting with-profits contracts	1.1
	Non profit contracts	2.9
	Investment expenses	0.3
	Prior year adjustments	0.3
	Balance	0.1
Total expenses		14.3
	Expenses charged to with profits benefits reserve Other expenses charged to fund	Expenses charged to with profits benefits reserve Other expenses charged to fund Other project costs Excess product charges Exiting with-profits contracts Non profit contracts Investment expenses Prior year adjustments Balance

(4) Significant Charges

There were no significant charges deducted in the year or the preceding year.

(5) Charges For Non-Insurance Risk

No charges were deducted from this fund for non-insurance risk.

(6) Ratio Of Claims To Reserve

Average ratio of total claims to asset shares:

Year	Average total with-profits
	claim ratio for financial year
Previous year -1	102.0%
Previous year	99.0% .
Current year	106.0%

(7) Allocated Return

The investment return before tax and expenses allocated to the with-profits benefits reserve in respect of the financial year in question was -7.21%.

5. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE - PROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Key Assumptions

Prospective methods of valuation are used in determining a proxy for an asset share calculation in respect of certain contracts. These methods are used where a retrospective asset share calculation may be inappropriate or impractical.

The prospective method was described in paragraph 3 (1) (ii).

The following table sets out the main assumptions used. There are no explicit risk adjustments made to assets.

Economic Assumptions*		
Valuation interest rate p.a.		3.02%
Experience interest rate p.a	•	3.17%
Discount rate p.a.**		3.84%
Expense Assumptions		
Investment Expense p.a.		0.12%
Per policy Expenses p.a.	Valuation	£37.85
	Experience	£37.17
Expense Inflation p.a.		3.54%

^{*} Investment rates are shown net of the investment expenses of 0.12% gross per annum.

No future reversionary bonus is assumed in the projections. Sample terminal bonus rates are:

Sample Terminal Bonus Rates - %						
	Policy Term					
Year of Maturity	5	10	15	20	25	
2010	0.0	31.5	21.5	12.0	46.0	
2015	0.0	33.0	36.5	22.0	8.5	
2020	0.0	0.0	37.0	41.0	29.0	
2025	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.0	49.0	
2030	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.0	

Sample lapse rates for products valued on a prospective basis, which are based on historic experience, are:

Sample Lapse Ra	tes - %		•			
Year of Maturity		Policy Term				
	5	10	15	20	25	
Whole of Life	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	

(2) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

6. COSTS OF GUARANTEES, OPTIONS AND SMOOTHING

(1) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

^{**} This discount rate is the 15 year gilt yield + 10 basis points which is consistent with the risk free rates in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (iii) which are derived from the proprietary economic scenario generator model as described in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) using the gilt yield curve + 10 basis points.

(2) Valuation Methods For Guarantees etc.

	Cost of Guarantees & Options	Smoothing Cost	Extent of Grouping	No of Individual policies	No of model points
All Business	Stochastic model	Stochastic model	All business	455,883	460

(a) Cost of Guarantees & Options

The costs of guarantees are determined using a stochastic model, with the asset returns being generated by a proprietary model. The following items were calculated stochastically:

(i) The reserves required in addition to asset share to meet guaranteed benefits

The calculations were carried out using a risk neutral approach.

Cost of Smoothing

The cost of smoothing is determined using the same stochastic model.

- (b) (i) In the stochastic model, no projections are carried out on individual policy data.
 - (ii) The model uses grouped policy data. However, the values for the withprofits benefits reserve are calculated on an individual basis and added to the data file before the data is grouped.
 - (iii) The stochastic model uses a grouped policy data file.

Policies are grouped according to product type, premium status, year of maturity, year of entry, age and premium term. All policies are assumed to be male lives.

There are separate groups for each year of maturity up to and including 11 years after the valuation date. Policies maturing from 12 to 14 years after the valuation date are grouped, as are policies maturing after that time.

The year of entry grouping is carried out in 5 year bands.

Within each group, weights are applied to certain key policy features before averaging. For example, the elapsed duration is weighted by the total of the sum assured and attaching bonuses. For other data, such as premium term, a simple average is taken.

Grouping Validations

It is impractical to attempt to validate, using the stochastic model, projections that use grouped data against projections that use individual data. Instead, comparisons are carried out using deterministic projections.

Comparison is made of the present value of key variables as well as progression of these variables over a period of up to 40 years. The comparison includes items such as reserve run off, claims outgo and

premium income, split by product type as necessary. Where material discrepancies arise, these may result in grouping being revisited.

(3) Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes since the previous valuation.

(4) Further Information On Stochastic Approach

- (a) (i) The stochastic model is used to place a value on:
 - Maturity guarantees on conventional endowments;
 - The impact of bonus smoothing.

The maturity guarantees on conventional endowments are strongly "in the money" at the valuation date.

As at 31 December, for a significant proportion of the with-profits business asset shares exceed maturity payouts. It is intended to reduce this underpayment in line with the company's smoothing policy subject to the level of guarantees. The impact of bonus smoothing is shown in Line 44 of Form 19.

An indication of the combined impact of guarantees and smoothing is provided in (vi) below.

- (ii) As for the Britannic With-Profits Fund (see below).
- (iii) As for the Britannic With-Profits Fund (see below).
- (iv) As for the Britannic With-Profits Fund (see below).
- (v) The asset model is not calibrated to any risk-free rates other than those derived from UK assets. There is no calibration to risk-free rates from overseas territories.
- (vi) The following table shows the approximate percentage of the total present value of guarantees and smoothing by duration to maturity, as projected by the stochastic model. It is based on the average overpayment across all projected investment scenarios using the base assumptions.

Term to maturity (years)	Endowments	Whole Life	
1-5	67%	11%	
6-10	4%	6%	
11-15 .	0%	3%	
16-20	0%	2%	
21-25	0%	2%	
26-30	0%	2%	

Calibration of the asset model to market data is shown, where available, in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) for the Britannic With-Profits Fund.

(vii) Comprehensive tests are carried out on the output produced by Barrie & Hibbert asset model as described for the Britannic With-Profits Fund.

(viii) The stochastic model is run on 1,000 investment scenarios generated by the asset model.

The scenario generation process incorporates variance reduction techniques (antithetic variables) to ensure that the scenarios selected pass the tests described in (vii) to a close tolerance.

- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable

(5) Management Actions

(a) The stochastic model does not take into account the possibility of actions taken by management in the projected investment scenarios, other than to the extent described below.

Bonus Policy

Future reversionary bonus rates are assumed to be zero.

Maturity payouts are targeted to be 100% of asset share, subject to the company's smoothing policy. To achieve this, the model compares policies maturing in one year against similar policies maturing in the previous year and derives a scale of terminal bonus rates such that the maximum change in payout from year to year is 15%.

Investment Mix

The proportion of real assets (UK equities, overseas equities and property) is assumed to be 30% at the valuation date and to remain constant for all future periods.

(b) For the management actions assumed to determine the costs in paragraph 6.(4), the best estimates as to the future proportions of the assets backing the with-profits benefits reserve which would consist of equities are shown in the following table. There is no accumulating with-profits business in the Industrial Branch Fund.

Yield = 3.84%	Equity Proportion of assets backing with-profits benefits				
	reserve				
Type of business	at end of financial year	In 5 years time	in 10 years time		
Traditional Business	28%	28%	28%		

(6) Persistency Assumptions

The surrender and paid-up assumptions are:

Product		Average surrender / paid-up rate for the policy years - %			
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
CWP savings endowment	Surrender	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5

The fund has no exposure to guaranteed annuity options.

(7) Policyholders' Actions

Not applicable

7. FINANCING COSTS

There are no financing arrangements currently in place for the fund.

8. OTHER LONG TERM INSURANCE LIABILITIES

No amounts have been included in Line 46 of Form 19. The amount shown in Line 47 of Form 19 is made up as follows:

	£m
Additional provision for tax*	1.8
Investment Expense Rebate credited to future asset shares	2.0
Future Projects & Issues	7.9
Costs Falling Outside MSAs	0.1
Total	11.8

^{*} Consisting of: Tax on future shareholder transfers, CGT reserve, deferred relief on acquisition expenses, and any adjustments in respect of amounts included in current liabilities.

9. REALISTIC CURRENT LIABILITIES

The realistic current value of liabilities, shown at line 51 of Form 19, is taken to be equal to the value assessed on a regulatory basis, this being £48m. The figure includes creditors (including outstanding claims), provisions (including taxation), accruals and deferred income.

10. RISK CAPITAL MARGIN

- (a) The risk capital margin for the fund was calculated to be zero at the valuation date.
 - (i) The risk capital margin allows for a fall in equity values of 20%. This was compared to a rise in equity values of the same amount and found to be more onerous for the fund.

A fall of 12.5% was allowed for in the value of property assets, and again this was found to be more onerous than a rise in property values of the same amount.

(ii) The scenario of a rise in fixed interest yields of 17.5% of the long-term gilt yield was compared against a fall in yields of the same amount. The more onerous result was assumed and represented a rise in yields. The nominal rise and fall in the (annualised) yields was 65 basis points.

There are no significant overseas territories. Overseas stocks were subjected to the same basis point adjustment as for UK stocks.

- (iii) The risk capital margin allows for a widening of the yields available on bonds, where the change in yields depends on the credit rating. The average change in spread for bonds subject to the test, weighted by market value, was 54 basis points for the fund. This change in yields resulted in a fall in the value of these bonds by an average of 6.68% for the fund.
- (iv) Persistency rates were assumed to improve by 32.5%. This was allowed for in the projections by multiplying the assumed lapse, paid-up and surrender rates at each duration by 67.5%.

Applying the persistency test on top of the tests already described in (i) to (iii) results in an increase in the value of realistic liabilities of 0.54% but this is offset by a corresponding increase in planned enhancements as described below.

- (v) Not applicable
- (b) In the stress scenarios the assumption is made that the data contingency reserve will be increased from £2.5m to £5.0m.

The working capital takes into account planned enhancements which reflect the intention to distribute to policyholders excess assets within the fund. These enhancements are assumed to be removed in the risk capital margin conditions to the extent that they would not be payable due to reductions in the excess assets. This action has a value of £19m in the fund.

Some policies have been granted discretionary enhancements to investment returns attributed to asset shares. These enhancements will be removed if the estate of the fund is insufficient to finance them. Enhancements worth £7.1m have been assumed to be removed in the risk capital margin conditions.

- (c) (i) The risk capital margin is zero.
 - (ii) The scheme for the funds merger as at 31 December 2006 includes a provision that in the event that the value of the assets of any with-profits fund falls below the regulatory minimum, support will be provided to that fund by way of a loan arrangement from the Non Profit Fund or the Shareholders' Fund to the extent that the Board determines there are assets in those funds available to make such a loan.

11. TAX

(i) The investment returns used in the calculation of the with-profits benefits reserve are net of policyholder tax, where appropriate. The calculation of the net rate allows for tax on income and gains, split by asset class and using assumed rates appropriate to those assets. For unrealised gains, a reduced rate is used in order to reflect deferral of the gain.

Expenses attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve are reduced to reflect tax relief where appropriate, based on assumed rates.

Where asset share calculations are used, the value of outstanding tax relief arising on acquisition expenses is not capitalised. This asset is reflected in Line 47 of Form 19.

Additional tax arising on shareholder transfers is met from the estate and is not chargeable to asset shares.

(ii) In calculating the value of future policy related liabilities, tax is allowed for in a number of ways.

Asset shares (or proxies to asset shares) are projected by the stochastic model used to determine the value of guarantees and smoothing, and this allows for policyholder tax as described in (i).

Additional tax on shareholder transfers, which is payable from the estate, is reflected in Line 47 of Form 19 and is derived from the stochastic model results.

The accrued amount of any unrealised capital gains is included in Line 47 of Form 19. This is based on the actual unrealised gains on the valuation date multiplied by a tax rate that does not allow for deferral of the gain being realised.

Outstanding tax relief on acquisition expenses is allowed for in Line 47 of Form 19 and is based on outstanding amounts from the company's tax computation, discounted at a risk-free rate.

The tax relief from any deferred expenses from the company's tax computation is assumed to be recovered after one year, and the discounted value (at a risk-free rate) is included in Line 47 of Form 19.

In Line 47 of Form 19, adjustments are made in respect of any amounts already included as current liabilities.

(iii) The realistic value of the current liabilities is taken to be equal to the regulatory value. The value of any tax provisions resulting from the company's tax computation is included here.

12. DERIVATIVES

On the valuation date, the fund held futures contracts to sell indices as described in the table below:

Index	Units	Price on the valuation date	Settlement Price	Unit Multiple for Settlement	Settlement Date
FTSE 100	512	4,390 GBP	4,247 GBP	10	March 2009

13. ANALYSIS OF WORKING CAPITAL

The movement in working capital over the twelve months to the valuation date is shown in the following table:

	£m
Opening working capital	0.0
Write back planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	34.2
Revised opening working capital	34.2
Opening adjustments	(12.4)
Restated opening working capital	21.8
Investment return on working capital	0.9
Mismatch profits and losses	(10.6)
Assumption changes	
- Non-economic	(2.2)
- Economic	2.2
- Policyholder actions	(1.9)
Impact of new business	0.0
Other variances	
- Non-economic variance	(0.2)
- Unexplained	(1.5)
Closing working capital before zeroisation	8.5
Planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	(8.5)
Closing working capital	0.0

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 47 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Additional provision for tax	1.8	2.5
Investment expense rebate credited to future	2.0	2.0
asset shares		
Future projects & issues	7.9	6.3
Costs falling outside MSAs	0.1	0.1
Treating Customers Fairly	0.0	1.1
Total	11.8	12.0

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 51 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Regulatory current liabilities	48.1	15.0
Total	48.1	15.0

14. OPTIONAL DISCLOSURE

None made.

Britannic With-Profits Fund

2. ASSETS

(1) Economic Assumptions For Valuing Non-Profit Business

The following table shows the principal economic assumptions that have been used to determine the value of future profits arising from non-profit business written in the fund. The assumptions vary under the scenario of events assumed to occur when determining the risk capital margin and these are shown separately from the base scenario.

Economic Assumption*		Current \	Current Valuation		Valuation	
		Base	RCM	Base	RCM	
Valuation	Pensions					
interest rate p.a.	Pre vesting	4.00%	3.36%	3.75%	3.50%	
	Post vesting	3.55%	2.90%	3.75%	3.50%	
	Life	5.20%	4.69%	3.00%	2.25%	
Experience	Pensions	3.71%	3.04%	4.51%	3.70%	
interest rate p.a.	Life	3.25%	2.66%	3.98%	3.26%	
Risk discount		3.84%	3.17%	4.65%	3.84%	
rate p.a.						
Expense		3.54%	3.54%	4.50%	4.50%	
inflation p.a.						

^{*} Investment rates are shown net of investment expenses of 0.12% gross per annum.

(2) Amount Determined Under INSPRU 1.3.33(2)(R)

Not applicable

(3) Valuation Of Insurance Contracts Written Outside The Fund

Not applicable

(4) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

(5) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable – the assumptions in (1) relate to all non-profit business within the With-Profits Fund.

3. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE LIABILITIES

(1) Calculation Of With-Profits Benefits Reserve

In determining the with-profits benefits reserve shown in Line 31 of Form 19, the fund uses several methods. The methods can be summarised as:

(i) Asset Share Calculations

Asset shares are a roll up, at historic achieved investment returns, of premiums, less expenses, charges and tax, adjusted for the profit or loss on providing death benefits and the profit or loss from contracts that terminated early.

For the former Century business, the with-profits benefits reserve is based on the amount transferred from the former Century Life With Profit Fund as at 31 December 2006 in respect of this business (excluding the value of future profits and loss transfers). The amount transferred was determined using a bonus reserve valuation approach with future bonuses set so as to equal the amount available for transfer. This amount transferred became the opening asset share as at 31 December 2006 in the Britannic With-Profits Fund in respect of this business. This opening asset share has been rolled up with the actual historic experience as described above.

(ii) Prospective Method

This method takes the basic policy reserve, including the long term insurance capital requirement, and deducts the present value of retained earnings. The present value of retained earnings is the present value of the surplus or deficit compared to the reserve, after taking into account all future policy-related income and outgo.

(iii) Shadow Funds

For most unitised with-profits contracts the with-profits benefits reserve is taken as the shadow fund available from the company's mainframe systems. The shadow fund is the result of accumulating premiums less policy charges at the earned investment rate.

(iv) Regulatory Reserves

For some small classes of business it is not practical to apply any of the methods in (i) to (iii). In these cases the realistic reserve is taken as the regulatory reserve, excluding the long term insurance capital requirement (and, in the case of the Insurance ISA, the sterling reserves).

The table below shows the breakdown of the with-profits benefits reserve into these methods.

Class	Product Type	Method	With-profits benefits reserve £m	Future policy related liabilities £m
Conventional	Premium-Paying Regular Premium Endowments	Asset Share	539	78
	Channel Islands Regular Premium Pensions (Premium Paying)	Asset Share	5	0
	Regular Premium, Premium Paying Pensions	Asset Share	42	66
*	Whole of Life	Asset Share	12	
:	Whole of Life	Prospective Method	11	1
	Other Endowments	Prospective Method	4	0
	Other Channel Islands Pensions	Prospective Method	1	0
	Other Pensions	Prospective Method	3	0
	Miscellaneous pensions & With-profits annuity	Regulatory Reserve	15	0
	Claims Pending	Regulatory Reserve	0	0
Unitised With- Profits	Insurance ISA	Regulatory Reserve	18	2
	Other UWP products	Shadow Funds	3,045	314
Additional				11
Total			3,694	472
Form 19 Line 31			3,694	
Form 19 Line 49	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•	472

In the table above, the split of the future policy related liabilities into the same detail as the with-profits benefits reserve is approximated. This is partly because the assessment of prospective items such as the costs of guarantees and smoothing rely on grouped data, and partly because certain realistic future liabilities are not calculated at product level.

(2) Correspondence With Form 19

The amounts in (1) above reconcile directly to Form 19.

(3) With-Profits Benefits Reserves Below De Minimis Limit

Not applicable as all products have been disclosed.

(4) Types Of Products

The level of disclosure in the table above corresponds to material groupings of contracts offering significant variances in policyholder benefits. For example, unitised with-profits business is separated from conventional with-profits business.

4. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE – RETROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Retrospective Methods

- (a) All contracts have been calculated on an individual policy basis.
- (b) No contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.
- (c) Not applicable as no contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(2) Significant Changes to Valuation Method

- (a) There have been no significant changes in the method of calculating the with-profits benefits reserve.
- (b) No policies were valued using approaches more approximate than used for the previous valuation.

(3) Expense Allocation

For each with-profits fund, the basis of allocating expenses to that fund during the financial year in question is described in note 4006 to Form 40.

- (a) The previous expense investigation was carried out in respect of the current financial year.
- (b) Expense investigations are carried out in respect of each financial year. Interim investigations are carried out during financial years for use in interim valuations.
- (c) The method by which expenses are charged to the with-profits benefits reserve in respect of individual contracts depends on the type of business and the method of determining asset shares:
 - Conventional business asset shares are charged expenses based on the
 expenses charged by the outsourcers in respect of this business. The
 expenses are an amount per policy which varies by product type and by
 premium paying status. The amount charged to asset shares is subject to
 an uplift to cover direct costs and an element of project costs. Additional
 one-off project costs are not charged to asset shares. Investment
 expenses are charged to asset shares by reducing the investment return
 allocated.
 - Unitised with-profits business asset shares are charged expenses using product charges, rather than actual expenses. The product charges cover acquisition, maintenance and investment expenses.
 - Smoothed return business, that is with-profits annuity business, overseas with-profits bond business and with-profits bond business, asset shares are charged expenses using product charges, rather than actual expenses. The product charges cover acquisition, maintenance and investment expenses.

The expenses charged to asset shares are all charged as maintenance expenses as the fund is no longer actively seeking new business and, for the purposes of this expense investigation, all expenses have been treated as maintenance. Consequently the subsequent analysis does not identify any initial expenses.

The expenses charged to the With-Profits Fund in addition to those allocated to the with-profits benefits reserve comprise:

- One-off costs not charged to asset shares;
- The difference between the expenses charged to the fund in respect of unitised with-profits business and smoothed business and the product charges charged to the associated asset shares;
- Expenses in respect of with-profits contracts that were in force at the previous financial year-end and are no longer in force at the current financial year-end;
- The expenses incurred in respect of non-profit business in the fund;
- The investment expenses reduction not charged to asset shares;
- Investment expenses associated with the investments backing other withprofits reserves and the estate;
- Wythall Green costs are netted off against the rental income when assessing the investment return on Wythall Green to be credited to asset shares and are thus only indirectly charged to asset shares;
- Prior year adjustments; and
- Balance between aggregation of the amounts charged to asset shares and the items identified above and the aggregate amount allocated to the fund.

The expenses allocated to the with-profits benefits reserve and the residual balance charged to the fund during the financial year were:

	Item		£m
(i)	Expenses charged to with	Conventional business	4.5
	profits benefits reserve	Unitised with-profits business	26.6
		Smoothed return business	1.2
(ii)	Other expenses charged	Other project costs	2.2
	to fund	Excess product charges	(14.2)
		Exiting with-profits contracts	0.9
		Non profit contracts	1.1
	1	Investment expenses	1.9
		Wythall Green Costs	4.1
	4	Prior year adjustments	0.7
		Balance	(0.3)
(iii)	Total expenses		28.7

(4) Significant Charges

Charges for cost of guarantees and cost of capital are not charged to conventional business or unitised with-profits business with-profits benefits reserves. Charges for cost of guarantees and cost of capital are included in the product charges for smoothed return business and hence are charged to the with-profits benefits reserves. The cost of capital funds the shareholder profit and loss transfer and

associated tax in respect of this business. The amounts charged to the with-profits benefits reserves are:

Policies previously	During fin	ancial year	Preceding financial year		
written in	cost of guarantees	cost of capital	cost of guarantees	cost of capital	
	£m	£m	£m	£m	
BA	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.4	

(5) Charges For Non-Insurance Risk

No charges were deducted from the With-Profits Fund for non-insurance risk.

(6) Ratio Of Claims To Reserve

Average ratio of claims to asset shares:

Year	Average total with-profits claim ratio for financial year		
Previous year -1	100.0%		
Previous year	100.0%		
Current year	107.0%		

(7) Allocated Return

The investment return before tax and expenses allocated to the with-profits benefits reserve in respect of the financial year in question is as follows:

Type of business	Investment Return
Policies previously written in BA other than Euro denominated business	(11.88)%
Policies previously written in BA - Euro denominated business (return in sterling terms)	(2.70)%
Policies previously written in Century	2.25%

The assets backing sterling and euro with-profits business asset shares and those backing former Century business are different and hence the investment returns in the above table are correspondingly different.

5. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE - PROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Key Assumptions

Prospective methods of valuation are used in determining a proxy for an asset share calculation in respect of certain contracts. These methods are used where a retrospective asset share calculation may be inappropriate or impractical.

The prospective method was described in paragraph 3 (1) (ii).

The following table sets out the main assumptions used. There are no explicit risk adjustments made to assets.

Policies previously written in BA					
Economic Assumptions*					
Valuation interest rate p.a.	Pensions				
	pre vesting	4.00%			
	post vesting	3.55%			
	Life	5.20%			
Experience interest rate p.a	Pensions	3.71%			
	Life	3.25%			
Discount rate p.a.**		3.84%			
Expense Assumptions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Investment Expense p.a.		0.12%			
Per policy Expenses p.a.	Valuation	£40.89			
	Experience	£40.01			
Expense Inflation p.a.		3.54%			

^{*} Investment rates are shown net of the investment expenses of 0.12% gross per annum.

No future reversionary bonus is assumed in the projections. Sample terminal bonus rates are:

Policies previously written in BA					
Sample Terminal	Bonus Rat	es*-%			
			Policy Term		
Year of Maturity	5	10	15	20	25
2010	0.0	17.5	1.0	0.0	23.0
2015	0.0	18.5	29.5	23.5	17.0
2020	0.0	0.0	38.5	43.0	42.5
2025	0.0	0.0	0.0 .	43.5	60.0
2030	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.0

^{*} Other than deferred annuities, for which the projected rates are zero.

For deferred annuity products valued on a prospective basis, lapses are not modelled. Sample lapse rates for other products valued on a prospective basis, which are based on historic experience, are:

Policies previously written in BA						
Sample Lapse Rates - %						
Policy Term						
Year of Maturity	5	10	15	20	25	
Whole of Life	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Endowment	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	

(2) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

^{**} This discount rate is the 15 year gilt yield + 10 basis points which is consistent with the risk free rates in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (iii) which are derived from the proprietary economic scenario generator model as described in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) using the gilt yield curve + 10 basis points.

6. COSTS OF GUARANTEES, OPTIONS AND SMOOTHING

(1) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

(2) Valuation Methods For Guarantees etc.

	Cost of Guarantees & Options	Smoothing Cost	Extent of Grouping	No of Individual policies	No of model points
All Business	Stochastic model	Stochastic model	Ex-BA conventional	121,012	741
			Ex-BA unitised	477,029	626
			Ex-Century conventional	5,471	356

(a) Cost of Guarantees & Options

The costs of guarantees are determined using a stochastic model, with the asset returns being generated by a proprietary model. The following items were calculated stochastically:

- (i) Guaranteed annuity option reserves;
- (ii) The reserves required in addition to asset share to meet guaranteed benefits.

The calculations were carried out using a risk neutral approach.

Cost of Smoothing

The cost of smoothing is determined using the same stochastic model.

- (b) (i) In the stochastic model, no projections are carried out on individual policy data.
 - (ii) The model uses grouped policy data. However, the values for the withprofits benefits reserve are calculated on an individual basis and added to the data file before the data is grouped.
 - (iii) The stochastic model uses three grouped policy data files: one for formerly Britannic conventional with-profits contracts, another for formerly Century conventional with-profits contracts and a third for unitised with-profits contracts.

Former Britannic Conventional Business Grouping

Policies are grouped chiefly according to product type, premium status, premium mode, year of maturity, year of entry, premium term, age and joint life status. For single life policies, all are assumed to be male lives.

Years of maturity are grouped into one or two year bands up to and including 14 years after the valuation date. Policies maturing from 15 to 20 years after the valuation date are grouped, as are policies maturing after that time.

Britannic With-Profits Fund

For the 5 years preceding the valuation date, the year of entry is not grouped. Before that, years of entry are banded into 2-3 year intervals up to 22 years preceding the valuation date. Policies that were taken out from 23 to 37 years before the valuation date are grouped, as are any taken out earlier than that.

Within each group, weights are applied to certain key policy features before averaging. For example, the elapsed duration is weighted by the sum assured, as is the premium term. For other data, such as sums assured and premiums, a simple average is taken.

Former Century Business Grouping

Policies are grouped chiefly according to product type, premium status, year of maturity, policy term, entry age and joint life status. For single life policies, all are assumed to be male lives.

Years of maturity are grouped into one year bands up to and including 20 years after the valuation date. Policies maturing after 20 years after the valuation date are grouped together.

Policy terms are grouped into 5 year bands around terms of 10, 15 and 20 years. Policies of longer terms are grouped together.

Entry ages are grouped depending on whether greater than or less than age 40.

Within each group, weights are applied to certain key policy features before averaging. For example, the elapsed duration is weighted by the sum assured, as is the premium term. For other data, such as sums assured and premiums, a simple average is taken.

Groups which contain very small subsets of the business are grouped together.

Unitised With-Profits Grouping

Policies are grouped chiefly according to product type, series number (this being relevant for bonds that have different dates at which benefits can be taken without reduction), premium status, premium mode, year of maturity (where relevant), policy size (by units) and the ratio of the shadow fund to the value of policy units.

For policies other than whole of life bonds, the maturity year is taken as the earliest year in which benefits can be taken without reduction. The grouping by maturity year is carried out in ten year bands, excluding policies due to mature in the next year.

For the ratio of the shadow fund to the value policy units, banding is normally carried out in 5% intervals. However, individual bands may be sub-divided where it is felt that there would otherwise be a bunching of policies.

Within each group, simple averages are taken to determine a representative policy.

Grouping Validations

It is impractical to attempt to validate, using the stochastic model, projections that use grouped data against projections that use individual data. Instead, comparisons are carried out using deterministic projections.

Comparison is made of the present value of key variables as well as progression of these variables over a period of up to 40 years. The comparison includes items such as reserve run-off, claims outgo and premium income, split by product type as necessary. Where material discrepancies arise, these may result in grouping being revisited.

For unitised with-profits business a closed form model is used to compare the results from individual policy data and grouped data.

(3) Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes since the previous valuation.

(4) Further Information On Stochastic Approach

- (a) (i) The stochastic model is used to place a value on:
 - Maturity guarantees on conventional endowments:
 - Guarantees on vesting of deferred annuity contracts;
 - Guarantees on maturity or retirement for unitised with-profits contracts:
 - Nil-penalty guarantees on the surrender of with-profits bonds at certain durations;
 - The impact of bonus smoothing.

Of these, the guarantees which are strongly "in the money" at the valuation date are the maturity guarantees on conventional endowments and the guarantees on the vesting of deferred annuities.

As at 31 December, for a significant proportion of the with-profits business maturity payouts (including retirements) exceed asset shares. It is intended to reduce this overpayment in line with the company's smoothing policy subject to the level of guarantees. The impact of bonus smoothing is shown in Line 44 of Form 19.

An indication of the combined impact of guarantees and smoothing is provided in (vi), below.

(ii) The asset returns in the stochastic model were generated by a proprietary model purchased from Barrie & Hibbert. The asset classes modelled are UK equities, overseas equities, UK property, UK corporate bonds and UK gilts.

Interest Rate

UK gilt returns are modelled using gilts + 10bps calibration in a Monthly LIBOR Market Model. The Government Nominal Bond yield curve is a direct input into the model.

The calibration at the valuation date was as follows:

Term	Govt. + 10bp	Model	Difference (Model - Market bp)
1	1.22	1.22	0.07
2	1.87	1.88	0.22
3	2.31	2.31	(0.40)
4	2.63	2.62	(0.96)
5	2.87	2.86	(1.22)
6	3.06	3.05	(0.95)
7	3.22	3.22	0.40
8	3.35	3.37	1.79
9	3.47	3.50	2.69
10	3.58	3.61	2.64
12	3.81	3.85	3.69
15	4.13	4.16	3.37
20	4.34	4.35	1.15
25	4.08	4.08	0.39

The volatility within the model is calibrated to the market implied volatility for at the money swaptions (for 20 year swaps). The calibration at the valuation date was as follows:

Term	Market Implied	Model	Difference (Model -
	Volatility		Market bp)
1	27.20	17.46	974
2	21.00	17.77	323
3	18.50	17.90	60
4	17.20	17.94	(74)
5	16.00	17.94	(194)
7	15.00	17.88	(288)
10	14.60	17.68	(308)
15	16.40	16.48	(8)
20	16.10	14.71	139
25	14.30	14.42	(12)
30	12.40	14.29	(189)

Equities and Property

Excess returns over risk free on UK equities, overseas equities and property are modelled using separate (but correlated) lognormal models. The equity model uses a volatility surface calibrated to market implied volatilities for a range of strikes and maturities. Volatilities are assumed to be constant beyond quoted strikes and maturities.

The UK equities asset model was calibrated by reference to the implied volatility of FTSE100 options for a range of strikes (from 0.8 to 1.2) and maturities of up to 10 years. All strikes are expressed as a proportion of atthe-money.

Implied volatility data at the valuation date is shown below:

Market

Term			Strike		
	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
	%	%	%	%	%
1	40.95	37.59	34.45	31.92	30.05
3	37.48	35.68	34.01	32.49	31.21
5	37.11	35.71	34.43	33.28	32.26
10	36.44	35.48	34.57	33.77	33.16

Model

Term			Strike		
	0.8	0.9	1	1,1	1.2
	%	%	%	%	%
1	39.77	37.72	35.69	34.08	33.06
3	34.83	33.76	32.69	31.74	31.01
5	33.53	32.76	32.05	31.45	30.96
10	33.09	32.69	32.31	32.01	31.78

Beyond 10 years the estimated volatility implied by the model calibration rises as follows:

Term			Strike		
	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
	%	%	%	%	%
15	39.83	38.85	38.03	37.31	36.65
20	35.01	34.43	34.01	33.66	33.31
25	39.74	38.95	38.33	37.81	37.43
30	34.97	34.65	34.37	34.13	33.94
35	33.83	33.59	33.46	33.32	33.18
40	38.68	38.10	37.66	37.34	37.09

Difference (Model - Market) %

Term	4		Strike		
	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
	%	%	%	%	%
1	(1.18)	0.13	1.24	2.16	3.01
3	(2.65)	(1.92)	(1.32)	(0.75)	(0.20)
5	(3.58)	(2.95)	(2.38)	(1.83)	(1.30)
10	(3.35)	(2.79)	(2.26)	(1.76)	(1.38)

There are no tests against market traded instruments for properties since there are no such instruments. A best estimate has therefore been used of 15% constant volatility

Corporate bond

Corporate bond returns are modelled using the extended Jarrow-Lando-Turnbull model. This describes bond prices in terms of a real-world transition matrix, which gives the probability of a transition to each credit rating over one year. Risk neutral transition probabilities are assumed to vary stochastically.

Britannic With-Profits Fund

The transition matrix is consistent with best estimates based on historic data of long term transition probabilities and spread volatilities and corporate bond prices. The model was fitted to a sample of predominantly investment grade sterling corporate bonds.

The following are examples of observed correlations of year 10 returns from the scenarios used (ZCB = zero coupon bond):

1				Output C	orrelatio	ns @ Y	ear 10			
	Cash	Equities	Property	Overseas	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr
		:		Equities	Govt	Govt	Corp	Corp	Index	Index
					ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	Linked	Linked
	-								ZCB	ZCB
Cash	1.00	0.03	0.28	(0.01)	(0.08)	(0.60)	(0.12)	(0.57)	0.81	0.41
Equities		1.00	0.09	0.18	(0.03)	(0.05)	0.24	0.07	0.13	0.16
Property			1.00	0.03	0.00	(0.14)	0.02	(0.12)	0.27	0.20
Overseas equities				1.00	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.16	0.26
5yr Govt ZCB					1.00	0.65	0.77	0.59	(0.06)	(0.02)
15yr Govt ZCB						1.00	0.53	0.93	(0.48)	(0.18)
5yr Corp ZCB							1.00	0.70	(0.06)	0.02
15yr Corp ZCB								1.00	(0.44)	(0.15)
5yr Index Linked ZCB									1.00	0.80
15yr Index Linked ZCB										1.00

(iii) The table below is based on 1,000 scenarios:

L	Asset type (all UK assets)	K=0.75				K=1	3			K=1.5			
L	, u	5	15	25	35	5	15	25	35	2	15	125	35
	r Annualised compound equivalent of the risk												
	free rate assumed for the period. (to two		:										
	decimal places)	2.86%	4.16%	4.08%	3.83%								
, -	Risk-free zero coupon bond	868,460	542,305	368,057	268,436								
7	FTSE All Share Index (p=1)	159,242	294,161	374,338	445,697	288,555	455,368	557,454	644,229	638,992	822,841	959,957	1,063,124
3	FTSE All Share Index (p=0.8)	149,764	244,740	283,264	318,906	272,981	379,889	425,034	462,268	606,254	691,310	739,299	774,500
4	Property (p=1)	29,901	115,234	197,152	265,825	131,041	250,203	357,467	441,916	524,809	623,386	743,716	843,961
က	Property (p=0.8)	25,385	79,589	125,946	162,134	115,834	184,498	239,712	280,117	486,878	485,325	528,209	562,873
9	15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=1)	22,612	28,816	17,628	27,138	95,867	96,944	83,330	132,182	499,507	501,185	503,663	544,483
7	15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=0.8)	19,484	17,659	8,782	9,101	83,658	28,067	26,444	31,670	458,275	333,465	253,722	246,388
œ	15 year risk free bonds (p=1)	26,453	40,469	35,968	58,015	108,204	127,133	121,022	164,990	499,165	499,570	502,568	548,160
6	15 year risk free bonds (p=0.8)	22,921	24,236	16,263	20,575	95,259	80,546	52,359	64,458	459,575	346,090	270,600	266,801
10	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%												
	property (p=1)	85,200	190,078	262,988	331,537	203,055	335,074	427,558	511,381	561,942	698,553	817,593	913,379
-	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%												
	property (p=0.8)	77,608	148,551	186,608	221,746	188,111	265,211	307,371	346,393	527,019	567,129	601,887	633,499
12	Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year												
	risk free zero coupon bonds (p=1)	83,321	181,262	236,754	296,178	198,848	318,129	391,731	463,389	556,778	666,472	765,463	852,732
13	Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year												
	risk free zero coupon bonds (p=0.8)	75,910	140,113	166,257	196,154	184,004	253,238	278,130	310,006	521,842	538,919	556,973	579,626
4	Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,												
	22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds												
	and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds (p=1)	37,277	97,504	140,683	193,148	138,054	218,755	277,293	342,857	518,001	573,122	643,549	718,283
15	Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,												
	22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds								-				
	and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds		,										
	(p=0.8)	32,069	67,451	83,957	109,593	123,908	157,940	176,303	205,064	480,418	439,095	434,669	451,214
								ç				1-05	
ļ	C		- 1	2				2					
9	Heceiver sw aptions	13.74%	8.47%	6.04%	4.41%	16.81%	10.34%	7.38%	5.37%	19.44%	11.96%	8.53%	6.21%

- (iv) In all investment scenarios the initial equity dividend yield is set to 5.95% and the initial property rental yield to 4.30% p.a.
- (v) The asset model is not calibrated to any risk-free rates other than those derived from UK assets. There is no calibration to risk-free rates from overseas territories, even where Britannic has significant investments in those territories.
- (vi) The following table shows the approximate percentage of the total present value of guarantees and smoothing by duration, as projected by the stochastic model. It is based on the average overpayment across all projected investment scenarios using the base assumptions.

	Conve	ntional	Unitised W	ith-Profits
Term to maturity (years)	Endowments	Whole Life	Endowments	Pensions
1-5	20%	0%	0%	14%
6-10	9%	0%	0%	13%
11-15	3%	0%	0%	10%
16-20	4%	0%	0%	8%
21-25	2%	0%	0%	9%
26-30	0%	0%	0%	5%

Calibration of the asset model to market data is shown, where available, in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) above.

(vii) Comprehensive tests are carried out on the output produced by Barrie & Hibbert asset model as follows:

For UK and Overseas equities and for UK property the ratio of the average (over the simulated scenarios) of the discounted present values of projected asset values (with income reinvested) to the original asset value has been verified to be acceptably close to unity – the martingale property.

The same test has been undertaken for gilts and bonds with terms of 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 years. Departures from unity in the average discounted present values have not had a significant impact on the valuation result.

Zero coupon bond yields calculated from the model cash output have been verified to match yields calculated from input Government spot rates and initial spot rates output from the model at time zero within an acceptable error margin.

For UK equity options verification has been made, within acceptable limits, that the option prices calculated from the model output and converted into implied volatilities using Black-Scholes formula reproduce the expected volatility surface.

Verification has also been made, within acceptable limits, that implied volatility calculated from the simulation model output reproduces the market volatility term structure for 20 year at the money swaptions.

(viii) The stochastic model is run on 1,000 investment scenarios generated by the asset model.

The scenario generation process incorporates variance reduction techniques (antithetic variables) to ensure that the scenarios selected pass the tests described in (vii) to a close tolerance.

- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable

(5) Management Actions

(a) The stochastic model does not take into account the possibility of actions taken by management in the projected investment scenarios, other than to the extent described below.

Bonus Policy - Conventional With-Profits Business

Future reversionary bonus rates are assumed to be zero except for business formerly written in Century. For business formerly written in Century, the reversionary bonuses are those declared at the valuation date and are kept constant over the projection period. The cost of guarantees on business formerly written in Century is immaterial.

Maturity payouts are targeted to be 100% of asset share, subject to the company's smoothing policy. To achieve this, the model compares policies maturing in one year against similar policies maturing in the previous year and derives a scale of terminal bonus rates such that the maximum change in payout from year to year is 15%.

Bonus Policy – Unitised With-Profits Business

The reversionary bonus rate is zero for unitised with-profits life business. For pensions business, no reversionary bonus is paid unless the ratio (in aggregate) of the shadow fund to the unit fund (including bonus units) exceeds 105%. In this case a 3% bonus is paid.

Terminal bonus rates are calculated based on a vintage unit method, by month of purchase. The bonus smoothing logic as described for conventional business is then applied to each monthly payout. Terminal bonus rates for each calendar year are taken as an average of the calculated monthly values.

Investment Mix

Appropriate allowance is made for the expectation that the exposure of the fund to real assets (UK equities, overseas equities and property) will reduce as the portfolios reach maturity. The proportion of real assets is assumed to reduce by 0.11% per month from 47% at the valuation date to 20% after 20 years.

(b) For the management actions assumed to determine the costs in paragraph 6.(4), the best estimates as to the future proportions of the assets backing the with-profits benefits reserve which would consist of equities and as to future reversionary bonus rates for significant accumulating with-profits business are shown in the following tables. They are given as at the end of the financial

Britannic With-Profits Fund

year in question, in 5 years time and in 10 years time, and are based on the 5 year gilt yield plus 10 basis points (3.84%) and on that yield both increased (4.49%) and decreased (3.19%) by 17.5% of the long term gilt yield.

	Polici	es previou	sly writte	n in BA			
Yield = 3.84%		Proportion o with-profits reserve			Future Reversionary Bonus R for accumulating with-profit business		
Type of business	at end of financial year	In 5 years time	in 10 years time	at end of financial year	in 5 years time	in 10 years time	
Conventional business	40%	34%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
UWP life regular premium	40%	34%	28%	0%	0%	0%	
UWP life single premium	40%	34%	28%	0%	0%	0%	
UWP pensions	40%	34%	28%	1%	1%	1%	

	Polici	es previou	sly writte	n in BA	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
Yield = 4.49%	Equity F	Proportion o	f assets	Future Rev	versionary B	onus Rate
	backing	with-profits	benefits	for accu	mulating wit	th-profits
		reserve			business	
Type of business	at end of	In 5 years	in 10	at end of	in 5 years	in 10
•	financial	time	years	financial	time	years
	year		time	year		time
Conventional	40%	34%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a
business		1				·
UWP life regular	40%	34%	28%	0%	0%	0%
premium	1					
UWP life single	40%	34%	28%	0%	0%	0%
premium						
UWP pensions	40%	34%	28%	1%	1%	1%

	Polici	es previou	sly writte	n in BA		
Yield = 3.19%	Equity I	roportion o	fassets	Future Reversionary Bonus Ra		
	backing	with-profits	benefits	for accumulating with-profits		
. ,		reserve			business	
Type of business	at end of	In 5 years	in 10	at end of	in 5 years	in 10
	financial	time	years	financial	time	years
	year		time	year time		
Conventional	40%	34%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a
business						
UWP life regular	40%	34%	28%	0%	0%	0%
premium						
UWP life single	40%	34%	28%	0%	0%	0%
premium						
UWP pensions	40%	34%	28%	1%	1%	1%

Policies previously written in Century							
Yield = 3.84%		backing with-profits benefits			efits for accumulating with-		
Type of hypinese	ot and of	reserve	:- 10	-1 4 -6	business	1- 10	
Type of business	financial vear	in 5 years time	in 10 years time	financial year	in 5 years time	in 10 years time	
Conventional business	40%	34%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policies previously written in Century							
Yield = 4.49%	1	Equity Proportion of assets backing with-profits benefits reserve			1		
Type of business	at end of financial year	in 5 years time	in 10 years time	at end of financial year	in 5 years time	in 10 years time	
Conventional business	40%	34%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Policies previously written in Century						
Yield = 3.19%		Equity Proportion of assets backing with-profits benefits reserve			ersionary B mulating wit business	
Type of business	at end of financial year	in 5 years time	in 10 years time	at end of financial year	in 5 years time	in 10 years time
Conventional business	40%	34%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a

(6) Persistency Assumptions

The surrender and paid-up assumptions are:

Product		Average surrender / paid-up for the policy years - %			
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
CWP savings endowment	Surrender	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
UWP savings endowment	Surrender	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
UWP bond	Surrender	0.0	14.7	9.7	7.1
CWP pension regular premium	Surrender	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
CWP pension single premium	Surrender	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
UWP individual pension regular premium	PUP	9.8	8.0	6.0	5.3
UWP individual pension regular premium	Surrender	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
UWP individual pension single premium	Surrender	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5

There is an exposure to guaranteed annuity options in respect of an agreement with the Alba With-Profits Fund. In summary the agreement is such that the Alba With-Profits Fund pays the Britannic With-Profits Fund 75% of the potential guaranteed annuity cost which could arise when a customer retires and the Britannic With-Profits Fund pays the actual cost. Thus the Britannic With-Profits Fund bears the cost (or takes the profits) if the take up rate is more (less) than 75%. The current take-up rate is less than 75% and no provision has been made for this liability under the "base" scenario, but a provision has been made under the "risk capital margin" scenarios.

(7) Policyholders' Actions

The model adds an extra 10% to the underlying rates shown in the table in paragraph 6 (6) above on no market value reduction dates for unitised with-profits whole life bonds when the guarantees are in the money.

7. FINANCING COSTS

There are no financing arrangements currently in place for the fund.

8. OTHER LONG TERM INSURANCE LIABILITIES

No amounts have been included in Line 46 of Form 19. The amount shown in Line 47 of Form 19 is made up as follows:

£m	Current Valuation
Pensions Review Reserve	18.5
Mortgage Endowment Reserve	6.1
Expense Overrun Reserve	0.0
Value of future charges less expenses on UWP contracts	(14.3)
Additional provision for tax*	51.7
Investment Expense Rebate credited to future asset shares	3.0
Data/modelling/unknown mis-selling	0.7
Litigation	0.0
SERPS mis-selling	0.0
Treating Customers Fairly	0.2
Ex-Century future shareholder transfers	5.0
Total	70.7

^{*} Consisting of: Tax on future shareholder transfers, CGT reserve, deferred relief on acquisition expenses, and any adjustments in respect of amounts included in current liabilities.

9. REALISTIC CURRENT LIABILITIES

The realistic current value of liabilities, shown at line 51 of Form 19, is taken to be equal to the value assessed on a regulatory basis, this being £282m. The figure includes creditors (including outstanding claims), provisions (including taxation), accruals and deferred income.

10. RISK CAPITAL MARGIN

- (a) The risk capital margin for the fund was calculated to be zero at the valuation date.
 - (i) The risk capital margin allows for a fall in equity values of 20%. This was compared to a rise in equity values of the same amount and found to be more onerous for the fund.

A fall of 12.5% was allowed for in the value of property assets, and again this was found to be more onerous than a rise in property values of the same amount.

(ii) The scenario of a fall in fixed interest yields of 17.5% of the long-term gilt yield was compared against a rise in yields of the same amount. The more onerous result was assumed and represented a rise in yields. The nominal rise and fall in the (annualised) yields was 65 basis points.

There are no significant overseas territories. Overseas stocks were subjected to the same basis point adjustment as for UK stocks.

- (iii) The risk capital margin allows for a widening of the yields available on bonds, where the change in yields depends on the credit rating. The average change in the spread for bonds subject to the test, weighted by market value, was 143 basis points for the fund. This change in yields resulted in a fall in the value of these bonds by an average of 9.04% for the fund.
- (iv) Persistency rates were assumed to improve by 32.5%. This was allowed for in the projections by multiplying the assumed lapse, paidup and surrender rates at each duration by 67.5%, with the exception of surrender rates on unitised with-profits contracts at dates when market value reductions cannot be applied.

Applying the persistency test on top of the tests already described in (i) to (iii) results in an increase in the value of realistic liabilities of 0.60% but this is offset by a corresponding reduction in planned enhancements as described below.

- (v) Not applicable
- (b) In the stress scenarios, the assumption is made that the data contingency reserve will be increased from £7.5m to £15.0m.

The working capital takes into account planned enhancements which reflect the intention to distribute to policyholders excess assets within the With-Profits Fund. These enhancements are assumed to be removed in the risk capital margin conditions to the extent that they would not be payable due to reductions in the excess assets. This action has a value of £55m in the fund.

Some policies have been granted discretionary enhancements to investment returns attributed to asset shares or shadow units. These enhancements will be removed if the estate of the With-Profits Fund is insufficient to finance them. No removal of enhancements has been assumed for the fund in the risk capital margin conditions.

For the fund, the effect of the above management actions would be to leave a working capital of zero in the risk capital margin conditions.

- (c) (i) The risk capital margin is zero.
 - (ii) The scheme for the funds merger as at 31 December 2006 includes a provision that in the event that the value of the assets of any withprofits fund falls below the regulatory minimum, support will be provided to that fund by way of a loan arrangement from the Non Profit Fund or the Shareholders' Fund to the extent that the Board

determines there are assets in those funds available to make such a loan.

11. TAX

(i) The investment returns used in the calculation of the with-profits benefits reserve are net of policyholder tax, where appropriate. The calculation of the net rate allows for tax on income and gains, split by asset class and using assumed rates appropriate to those assets. For unrealised gains, a reduced rate is used in order to reflect deferral of the gain.

Expenses attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve are reduced to reflect tax relief where appropriate, based on assumed rates.

Where asset share calculations are used, the value of outstanding tax relief arising on acquisition expenses is not capitalised. This asset is reflected in Line 47 of Form 19.

Additional tax arising on shareholder transfers is met from the estate and is not chargeable to asset shares.

(ii) In calculating the value of future policy related liabilities, tax is allowed for in a number of ways.

Asset shares (or proxies to asset shares) are projected by the stochastic model used to determine the value of guarantees and smoothing, and this allows for policyholder tax as described in (i).

Additional tax on shareholder transfers, which is payable from the estate, is reflected in Line 47 of Form 19 and is derived from the stochastic model results.

The accrued amount of any unrealised capital gains is included in Line 47 of Form 19. This is based on the actual unrealised gains on the valuation date multiplied by a tax rate that does not allow for deferral of the gain being realised.

Outstanding tax relief on acquisition expenses is allowed for in Line 47 of Form 19 and is based on outstanding amounts from the company's tax computation, discounted at a risk-free rate.

The tax relief from any deferred expenses from the company's tax computation is assumed to be recovered after one year, and the discounted value (at a risk free rate) is included in Line 47 of Form 19.

In Line 47 of Form 19, adjustments are made in respect of any amounts already included as current liabilities.

(iii) The realistic value of the current liabilities is taken to be equal to the regulatory value. The value of any tax provisions resulting from the company's tax computation is included here.

12. DERIVATIVES

Not applicable

13. ANALYSIS OF WORKING CAPITAL

The movement in working capital over the twelve months to the valuation date is shown in the following table:

	£m
Opening working capital	0.0
Write back planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	184.2
Revised opening working capital	184.2
Opening adjustments	14.3
Restated opening working capital	198.5
Investment return on working capital	(26.4)
Mismatch profits and losses	(65.5)
Assumption changes	
- Non-economic	0.6
- Economic	23.1
- Policyholder actions	(2.9)
Impact of new business	0.0
Other variances	
- Retrospective changes to asset shares	0.0
- Unexplained	1.0
Closing working capital before zeroisation	128.5
Planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	(128.5)
Closing working capital	0.0

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities show on line 47 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Pensions Review Reserve	18.5	23.0
Mortgage Endowment Reserve	6.1	5.4
Expense Overrun Reserve	0.0	0.3
Value of future charges less expenses	(14.3)	(40.2)
on UWP contracts		
Additional provision for tax*	51.7	85.0
Investment Expense Rebate credited to	3.0	3.0
future asset shares		
Data/modelling/unknown mis-selling	0.7	4.8
Litigation	0.0	7.3
SERPS mis-selling	0.0	2.0
Treating Customers Fairly	0.2	1.4
Ex-Century future shareholder transfers	5.0	8.0
Total	70.7	99.9

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities show on line 51 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Regulatory current liabilities	282.27	97.70
Total	282.27	97.70

14. OPTIONAL DISCLOSURE

None made.

PHOENIX WITH-PROFITS FUND

2. ASSETS

(1) Economic Assumptions For Valuing Non-Profit Business

The economic assumptions used to calculate the value of future profits on non-profit products are as follows:

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Gross Investment return	See below	See below
Risk discount rate	See below	See below
RPI Inflation	2.54%	3.50%
Expense inflation	6.34%	7.30%

The value of future profits on non-profit contracts was calculated by assuming risk free rates of investment return and discount rates. These were based on a zero coupon gilt yield curve plus 10 basis points as at the valuation date.

Earned rates of return were assumed to be annual forward yields derived from the curve, net of tax and investment expenses.

Discount rates used were spot yields taken from the curve, net of tax and investment expenses.

The risk free yields (gilt yield curve plus 10 basis points) were:

	Risk Free Rate				
Term (years)	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation			
1	1.22%	4.55%			
2	1.87%	4.50%			
3	2.31%	4.54%			
4	2.63%	4.59%			
5	2.87%	4.63%			
6	3.06%	4.65%			
7	3.22%	4.67%			
8	3.35%	4.68%			
9	3.47%	4.69%			
10	3.58%	4.69%			
12	3.81%	4.68%			
15	4.13%	4.65%			
20	4.34%	4.57%			
25	4.08%	4.47%			

Allowance has been made under INSPRU 1.3.39G for the illiquid nature of a proportion of the assets (namely the corporate bonds) backing the immediate non-profit annuities within the Fund. A margin of 10% has been added to cover the risk of unexpected mismatch between the assets and liabilities.

(2) Amount Determined Under INSPRU 1.3.33(2)(R)

Not applicable

(3) Valuation Of Contracts Written Outside The Fund

Not applicable

(4) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

(5) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable – the assumptions in (1) relate to all non-profit business within the With-Profits Fund.

3. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE LIABILITIES

(1) Calculation Of With-Profits Benefits Reserve

Product Type	Method	With-profits benefits reserve	Future policy related liabilities
With-profits – Whole Life	Prospective	£m 114	£m 6
With-profits – Other Life	Retrospective	1,843	103
With-profits - Pensions (Regular and Single		296	109
Premium)	•		
With-profits - Pensions (Paid-Up)	Prospective	256	93
UWP Life (including Whole Life With-Profits	Retrospective	683	116
Bond)			
UWP Pensions	Retrospective	597	105
Other		14	
Total		3,803	533
Form 19 Line 31		3,803	
Form 19 Line 49			533

In the table above, the future policy related liabilities for with-profits life business and with-profits pensions business have been split in proportion to the with-profits benefits reserves.

(2) Correspondence With Form 19

Not applicable

(3) With-Profits Benefits Reserves Below De Minimis Limit

The amount categorised as "Other" above falls within the de minimis limit.

(4) Types Of Products

The level of disclosure in the table above corresponds to material groupings of contracts offering significant variances in policyholder benefits. For example, unitised with-profits business is separated from conventional with-profits business.

4. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE – RETROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Retrospective Methods

- (a) All contracts have been calculated on an individual policy basis. Whilst the asset shares have been calculated using individual data in all cases, the method used for unitised with-profits (including Whole Life With-Profits Bond) has been the application, to the individual data, of a factor (the ratio of asset share to face value of units) which has been calculated by reference to grouped / sample data. This is consistent with the way the business is operated in practice
- (b) No contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.
- (c) Not applicable as no contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(2) Significant Changes To Valuation Method

- (a) There have been no significant changes in the method of calculating the withprofits benefits reserve.
- (b) No policies were valued using approaches more approximate than used for the previous valuation.

(3) Expense Allocation

- (a) The previous expense investigation was carried out in the fourth quarter of the current financial year.
- (b) Expense investigations are carried out twice annually.

(c)

	Item	£m
(i)	Initial Expenses	Nil
(ii)	Maintenance Expenses	11.0
(iii)	Investment Expenses	5.4
(iv)	Method	Average expense charge deducted
(iv)	Expenses charged other than to with-	29.9
	profits benefits reserve	·

Since the company is closed to new business (apart from contractual increments etc.), there are no material acquisition expenses.

Investment expenses were deducted from the with-profits benefits reserve at a rate of 0.125% p.a.

(4) Significant Charges

The charges deducted from the with-profits benefits reserve in the year to the valuation date and the preceding year were:

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
•	£m	£m
Charges for guarantees and smoothing	4.0	4.6
Net losses on non-profit business	4.2	(6.2)
Proportion of up-front outsourcing costs attributable to the period	0.0	4.4
Write-off of initial spreads on derivative contracts	0.3	0.9

(5) Charges For Non-Insurance Risk

Not applicable

(6) Ratio Of Claims To Reserve

Terminal bonus rates are set in advance for conventional with-profits policies. The terminal bonus rate is set based on assumptions about future investment returns. Terminal bonus rates on maturing endowment life policies and pension policies vesting at the intended retirement date were set to give the following percentages of the with-profits benefits reserve plus any past miscellaneous surplus less any miscellaneous deficit attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve, for the following specimen products and terms:

-	Endowment Policies	Regular Premium Personal Retirement Plan	Single Premium Personal Retirement Plan	Regular Premium Retirement Plan	Single Premium Retirement Plan
1/1/2006 to 30/4/2006					
10 year term	100	100	100	103	110
15 year term	102	101	100	114	100
20 year term	100	100	101	101	103
25 year term	100	100	101	100	101
1/5/2006 to 31/8/2006		·			
10 year term	100	100	103	103	114
15 year term	100	101	100	109	101
20 year term	101	100	101	101	102
25 year term	100	100	100	100	100
1/9/2006 to 31/12/200	6				
10 year term	100	100	100	100	117
15 year term	100	100	100	102	100
20 year term	100	100	112	100	103
25 year term	100	100	102	100	100

	Endowment Policies	Regular Premium	Single Premium	Regular Premium	Single Premium
• .		Personal	Personal	Retirement	Retirement
		Retirement	Retirement	Plan	Plan
		Plan	Plan		
1/1/2007 to 30/4/2007					
10 year term	100	100	108	100	118
15 year term	100	100	100	102	100
20 year term	100	100	102	100	106
25 year term	101	100	104	102	109
1/5/2007 to 31/8/2007					
10 year term	100	100	113	100	123
15 year term	100	100	100	100	100
20 year term	100	100	100	100	103
25 year term	100	100	105	100	109
1/9/2007 to 31/12/200)7	-			
10 year term	100	100	118	100	129
15 year term	100	100	100	100	100
20 year term	100	100	100	100	100
25 year term	100	100	106	100	112
1/1/2008 to 30/6/2008		•	•		
10 year term	101	101	124	100	142
15 year term	100	100	108	100	112
20 year term	100	100	100	100	100
25 year term	100	101	103	100	112
1/7/2008 to 31/12/200					
10 year term	100	100	134	101	154
15 year term	100	100	116	100	125
20 year term	100	100	102	102	104
25 year term	100	104	111	102	124

Payouts on surrenders will generally have been based on a lower percentage of the with-profits benefits reserve plus any past miscellaneous surplus less any miscellaneous deficit attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve.

Payouts on surrenders of unitised with-profits bonds have been set to the following percentages of the with-profits benefits reserve plus any past miscellaneous surplus less any miscellaneous deficit attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve but not less any exit charge:

Year	Ratio of claims to asset
	shares
2006	100.00%
2007	100.00%
2008	100.00%

(7) Allocated Return

The rate of investment return attributed to the with-profits benefits reserve of a policy depends on the asset mix for it. The asset mix depends on the outstanding term and the level of guarantees under the policy (see PPFM for more details).

The average rates of investment return (before tax) added are:

Product Type	Investment Return		
Conventional Life	(5.9)%		
Conventional Pensions	(7.5)%		
UWP Bonds	(3.0)%		
UWP Pensions	(9.3)%		
Profit Plus Fund	(9.7)%		

5. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE - PROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Key Assumptions

A prospective method has been used for with-profits whole life business and for paidup with-profits pensions business.

Bonus rates on with-profits whole life business and paid-up pensions contracts are the same as the bonus rates on endowments and regular premium pension contracts respectively for the same term. A bonus reserve valuation is used to determine the with-profits benefits reserve, where:

- The bonus rates are the supportable bonus rates determined from the relevant product, and
- The economic assumptions are consistent with the supportable bonus rates

The supportable bonus rates are determined using one of the sets of economic assumptions that the company uses for illustrative projections on the business. Hence, the risk free rates are not directly relevant to the calculation of the prospective with-profits benefits reserves.

The assumptions underlying this method are as follows:

With-Profits Whole Life Business

The discount rate is the same as the investment return assumption. These rates together with the assumed rate for expense inflation are consistent with the assumed supportable bonus rates.

Economic Assumptions	
Discount Rate p.a. (net of investment expense)	5.90%
Investment Return p.a. (net of investment expense)	5.90%
Expense Assumptions	
Investment Expense p.a.	0.10%
Per Policy Expenses p.a.	£34.12
Expense Inflation p.a.	6.34%
Bonus Assumptions	
Reversionary Bonuses	
On Basic Sum Assured	0.25%
On Accrued Bonuses	0.25%

Future terminal bonus rates vary by duration in force (at time of payment) and the actual year of payment.

Sample terminal bonus rates are as follows:

	-!				····				
Elapsed									
Term in								4	
Years	2009	2014	2019	2024	2029	2034	2039	2044	2049
5	3.7%	3.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
10	4.4%	7.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
15	0.0%	35.7%	49.6%	57.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
20	8.5%	19.7%	61.9%	78.9%	86.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
25	19.4%	30.3%	40.9%	99.8%	115.8%	123.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a
30	41.6%	49.6%	55.1%	68.9%	134.9%	158.3%	150.2%	n/a	n/a
35	97.4%	69.4%	79.8%	82.0%	50.8%	165.4%	123.4%	80.5%	n/a
40	148.6%	135.9%	92.5%	124.3%	121.0%	118.4%	253.8%	257.1%	235.8%

There are no lapses.

Paid-Up With-Profits Pensions Business

The discount rate is the same as the investment return assumption. These rates together with the assumed rate for expense inflation are consistent with the assumed supportable bonus rates.

6.63%
6.63%
0.13%
£34.12
6.34%
0.20%
0.20%

Future terminal bonus rates vary by duration in force (at time of payment) and the actual year of payment.

Sample terminal bonus rates are as follows:

Personal Retirement Plan

Elapsed Term in Years	2009	2014	2019	2024	2029	2034	2039	2044	2049
5	0.0%	1.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
10	7.1%	18.8%	18.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
15	5.4%	22.0%	27.3%	24.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
20	26.7%	22.4%	46.2%	55.3%	58.1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
25	30.3%	45.8%	40.5%	65.9%	73.7%	73.1%	n/a	n/a	n/a
30	41.0%	48.6%	61.8%	64.9%	96.6%	105.3%	103.8%	n/a	n/a
35	n/a	59.3%	61.2%	86.0%	99.4%	125.3%	128.6%	121.6%	n/a
40	n/a	n/a	69.0%	82.3%	114.7%	144.1%	190.1%	193.3%	190.4%

Retirement Plan

Elapsed Term in Years	2009	2014	2019	2024	2029	2034	2039	2044	2049
5	2.6%	13.9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
10	0.0%	15.8%	22.9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
15	0.0%	10.3%	21.4%	28.0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
20	13.5%	9.7%	23.6%	36.1%	42.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
25	30.5%	26.2%	20.3%	38.1%	51.3%	55.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a
30	51.0%	52.2%	43.1%	37.9%	60.2%	75.2%	75.6%	n/a	n/a
35	n/a	67.7%	71.1%	54.7%	71.1%	98.8%	115.2%	121.1%	n/a
40	n/a	n/a	72.4%	97.2%	91.9%	122.5%	177.1%	168.3%	176.6%

There are no lapses.

(2) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

6. COST OF GUARANTEES, OPTIONS AND SMOOTHING

(1) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

(2) Valuation Methods For Guarantees etc.

	Cost of Guarantees & Options	Smoothing Cost	Extent of Grouping	No of Individual policies	No of model points
All Business	Stochastic model	Deterministic calculation	All business	300,450	4,788

(a) Cost of Guarantees & Options

The costs of guarantees are determined using a stochastic model, with the asset returns being generated by a proprietary model. The following items were calculated stochastically:

- (i) Guaranteed annuity option reserves
- (ii) The reserves required in addition to asset share to meet guaranteed benefits
- (iii) Future retentions at maturity where payouts of less than 100% of asset share are being targeted (this applies to the risk capital margin only)
- (iv) Future profits and losses where amounts payable upon surrender are less or more than asset share
- (v) The value of future guarantee charges deducted from asset share

The calculations were carried out using a risk neutral approach.

Early Retirements

For Personal Retirement Policies the stochastic model does not allow for lapses in the period from the earliest possible retirement age up to normal retirement date. Such contracts allow benefits to be taken, with a guaranteed annuity rate at any age after 50 (60 for some earlier series). The use of a nil lapse rate after age 50 is considered to make suitable allowance for this early retirement option. For Retirement Plans a guaranteed annuity rate is not available on early retirements.

The calculations allow for the assumed expenses of paying the annuity.

The assumption is made that policyholders elect to take a proportion of their benefits as cash where permitted.

Cost of Smoothing

The small amount of smoothing cost was determined deterministically as the excess of the projected actual payouts over the projected target payouts.

For pensions policies the smoothing cost allows for any guaranteed annuity rates that will be provided on the overpayment.

Actual payouts at the valuation date are compared with target payouts.

Where there is currently an overpayment relative to the target, the assumption is made that payouts will be cut at 4 monthly intervals, the first cut being 4 months after the valuation date. The assumption is that payouts can be cut by up to 5% at any one change and 15% over 12 months until the target is reached. Projected maturity payouts are obtained for this calculation.

- (b) (i) In the stochastic model, no projections are carried out on individual policy data.
 - (ii) All of the contracts are valued on a grouped basis. However, the values for the with-profits benefits reserve are calculated on an individual basis and added to the data file before the data is grouped.
 - (iii) For each product type separate model points are initially created for each combination of year of commencement and year of maturity. For unitised with-profits bonds the split is by commencement month.

This grouping allows for the asset mix associated with each cohort of business. It is aligned with the way in which bonus rates are declared on the business – actual terminal bonus rate calculations are based on specimen policies split out in the same way, i.e. by product type, year of commencement and year of maturity, although at quinquennial rather than annual intervals with monthly cohorts for unitised with-profits bonds.

The initial model point files outlined above are then more heavily grouped to improve the run times in the stochastic model by amalgamating some of the smaller model points that were not making a significant contribution to the overall results. In order to test that this heavier grouping did not materially affect the results, 3,000 simulations were run at both levels of grouping and the results differed by less than 1.0% for the guaranteed annuity rate and non-guaranteed annuity rate reserves.

One class of group unitised with-profits pensions business representing approximately 4% of with-profits liabilities is modelled as if it was an equivalent amount of similar individual pensions business.

Guaranteed annuity option liabilities were calculated assuming that all lives are male. This approach is conservative given the mortality tables used in the valuation and the nature of the guarantees given.

(3) Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes since the previous valuation.

(4) Further Information on Stochastic Approach

(a) (i) The guarantees and options being valued using a full stochastic approach are described in paragraph 6 (2) (a) above. The following tables give an indication of the extent to which the guarantees are in or out of the money at the valuation date. The table shows the percentage of the withprofits benefits reserve (including miscellaneous profits and losses) for each product that falls within each band. The bands are defined below.

% Asset Share	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D
Endowments & Whole Life	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	98.9%
Direct Written Pre 1997 Bonds	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Conventional Pensions	0.9%	0.5%	0.9%	97.7%
Unitised With Profit Pensions	1.9%	2.1%	49.3%	46.7%
UWPB - Strong Guarantee	89.9%	0.4%	1.3%	8.5%
 Weak Guarantee 	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	90.7%

Where:

-	
Band A	Contracts would need to earn >10% p.a. (higher for shorter terms) on the equities & property backing their asset share to meet the maturity guarantee
Band B	Contracts need to earn between 7.5% and 10% p.a. (higher for shorter terms) on the equities & property backing their asset share to meet the maturity guarantee
Band C	Contracts need to earn between 5% and 7.5% p.a. (higher for shorter terms) on the equities & property backing their asset share to meet the maturity guarantee
Band D	Contracts need to earn <5% p.a. on the equities & property backing their asset share to meet the maturity guarantee

(ii) The asset returns in the stochastic model were generated by a proprietary model licensed from Barrie & Hibbert. The asset classes modelled are UK equities, overseas equities, UK property, UK corporate bonds and UK gilts.

Interest Rate

UK gilt returns are modelled using a gilts + 10bps calibration in an Annual LIBOR Market Model. The Government Nominal Bond yield curve is a direct input into the model.

The calibration at the valuation date was as follows:

Term	Govt. + 10bp	Model	Difference (Model - Market bp)
1	1.22%	1.22%	0
2	1.87%	1.87%	0
3	2.31%	2.31%	0
4	2.63%	2.64%	1
5	2.87%	2.87%	0
7	3.22%	3.23%	1
10	3.58%	3.60%	2
15	4.13%	4.13%	0
20	4.34%	4.34%	0
25	4.08%	4.07%	(1)

The volatility within the model is calibrated to the market implied volatility for at the money swaptions (for 20 year swaps). The calibration at the valuation date is as follows:

Term	Market Implied Volatility	Model	Difference (Model - Market bp)
1	27.20	17.73	(947)
2	21.00	17.92	(308)
3	18.50	17.94	(56)
4	17.20	17.89	69
5	16.00	17.83	183
7	15.00	17.70	270
10	14.60	17.43	283
15	16.40	16.16	(24)
20	16.10	14.71	(139)
25	14.30	14.64	34
30	12.40	14.48	208

Equities and Property

Excess returns over risk free on UK equities, overseas equities and property are modelled using separate (but correlated) lognormal models. The equity model uses a local volatility surface calibrated to market implied volatilities for a range of strikes and maturities. Volatilities are assumed to be constant beyond quoted strikes and maturities.

The split between UK and overseas equities was 70%/30%. The asset model was calibrated by reference to the implied volatility of FTSE100 options for a range of strikes (from 0.8 to 1.2) and maturities of up to 10 years. All strikes are expressed as a proportion of at-the-money.

Implied volatility data (%) at the valuation date is shown below:

Market

			Strike		
Term	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
1	40.95	37.59	34.45	31.92	30.05
3	37.48	35.68	34.01	32.49	31.21
5	37.11	35.71	34.43	33.28	32.26
10	36.44	35.48	34.57	33.77	33.16

Model

			Strike		
Term	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
1	34.02	33.93	33.95	34.06	34.12
3	35.48	34.85	34.36	33.94	33.58
5	33.82	33.26	32.81	32.41	32.09
10	33.42	33.09	32.79	32.54	32.28

Beyond 10 years the estimated volatility implied by the model calibration rises as follows:

			Strike		
Term	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
15	32.35	32.07	31.82	31.61	31.44
20	32.03	31.79	31.57	31.39	31.22

Difference (Model - Market) %

			Strike		
Term	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2
1	(6.94)	(3.66)	(0.51)	2.14	4.07
3	(1.99)	(0.83)	0.35	1.45	2.36
5	(3.30)	(2.46)	(1.62)	(0.88)	(0.17)
10	(3.03)	(2.39)	(1.78)	(1.23)	(0.87)

There are no tests against market traded instruments for properties since there are no such instruments. A best estimate has therefore been used of 15% constant volatility.

Corporate bond

Corporate bond returns are modelled using the extended Jarrow-Lando-Turnbull model. This describes bond prices in terms of a real-world transition matrix, which gives the probability of a transition to each credit rating over one year. Risk neutral transition probabilities are assumed to vary stochastically. The transition matrix is consistent with best estimates based on historic data of long term transition probabilities and spread volatilities and corporate bond prices. The model was fitted to a sample of predominantly investment grade sterling corporate bonds.

The asset model uses a credit transition matrix. The fit of the model is targeted to the market spread on a 7 year A rated bond only. Credit derivatives are not used to derive market implied transition probabilities.

The following are examples of observed correlations of year 10 returns from the scenarios used (ZCB = zero coupon bond):

Phoenix With-Profits Fund

[Output Cor	relation	s @ Yea	ır 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Cash	Equities	Property	Overseas	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr
				Equities	Govt	Govt	Corp	Corp	Index	Index
					ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	Linked	Linked
									ZCB	ZCB
Cash	1	0.03	0.24	(0.04)	0.09	(0.56)	0.00	(0.48)	0.81	0.42
Equities	_	1	0.11	0.32	0.12	0.08	0.58	0.31	0.13	0.21
Property		•	1	. 0.10	0.03	(0.11)	0.05	(0.07)	0.24	0.16
Overseas equities				1	0.14	0.15	0.24	0.21	0.14	0.26
5yr Govt ZCB				.•	1	0.59	0.60	0.52	0.15	0.15
15yr Govt ZCB		•			•	1	0.41	0.88	(0.42)	(0.11)
5yr Corp ZCB							1	0.70	0.09	0.17
15yr Corp ZCB							•	1	(0.33)	(0.03)
5yr Index Linked ZCB									. 1	0.79
15yr Index Linked ZCB										1

Phoenix With-Profits Fund

(iii) The table below is based on 3,000 scenarios:

	Accet tune (all IIK accete)	K-0 75				K-1				K=1.5		
		Ī						-6	10			-
٢	_	_	_		35	5	15	25	35	2	15	25
	Annualised compound equivalent of the risk	2.87%	4.13%	4.07%	3.81% ×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
	free rate assumed for the period. (to two					,						
	decimal places)											
_	Risk-free zero coupon bond	868,035	544,870	368,792	269,868	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
2	FTSE All Share Index (p=1)	153,061	295,921	375,224	445,094	287,434	459,173	556,465	638,815	649,079	832,871	955,038
က	FTSE All Share Index (p=0.8)	143,648	245,759	285,557	320,150	270,861	383,028	425,573	462,046	615,351	700,701	737,414
4	Property (p=1)	73,933	186,512	275,305	348,236	195,576	341,768	449,017	533,280	564,856	722,541	845,462
2	Property (p=0.8)	66,383	142,318	193,097	232,668	179,662	268,116	322,801	364,200	529,985	586,228	656,799
9	15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=1)	19,868	24,059	17,073	23,837	90,037	87,262	85,733	129,684	500,336	498,794	506,505
7	15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=0.8)	16,919	14,629	7,345	7,772	78,065	50,434	26,895	28,592	459,000	331,384	257,037
8	15 year risk free bonds (p=1)	27,467	42,315	44,128	58,865	108,812	126,747	131,872	160,599	491,073	480,026	490,368
6	15 year risk free bonds (p=0.8)	23,659	26,398	19,494	22,745	96,068	80,843	63,336	65,495	451,816	336,026	271,504
9	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	88,463	203,116	274,415	339,665	210,577	350,653	441,534	519,282	576,634	714,918	823,480
	property (p=1)		Commence of the street									
-	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	80,849	159,822	195,257	228,522	194,663	280,752	320,216	322,075	541,804	583,434	612,698
	property (p=0.8)											
12	Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	81,354	180,992	239,881	298,331	198,680	320,835	395,414	466,678	567,614	677,294	765,784
	risk free zero coupon bonds (p=1)											
13	Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	73,884	140,634	168,490	194,677	183,218	254,175	281,673	312,693	532,243	547,230	559,484
	risk free zero coupon bonds (p=0.8)											
14	Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	40,580	104,289	147,560	195,322	143,189	227,545	285,078	346,967	524,479	583,904	648,367
	22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds						•					
	and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds (p=1)											
15	Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	35,145	72,430	90,625	110,171	128,591	166,664	183,048	207,825	487,134	449,711	442,812
	22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds											
	and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds											
	(b=0.8)											
			L=15	5			L=20	50			L=25	5
16	Receiver sw aptions	8.18%	10.88%	9.98%	7.70%	11.58%	13.64%	12.24%	9.25%	14.61%	16.11%	14.03%
									A			

- (iv) UK initial equity yield: 5.95% UK initial property rental yield: 4.30%
- (v) Not applicable there are no significant territories other than the UK.
- (vi) The following table shows the outstanding guarantees analysed by term. In addition, the guarantees in column B have a guaranteed annuity rate at vesting at various strike rates as shown below.

Term to maturity (years)	Guaranteed Benefit (Policies with no GAR) £m	Guaranteed Benefit (Policies with GAR) £m	No MVA Guarantee £m
	Α	В	C
1-5	1355	167	545
6-10	565	167	0
11-15	421	123	0
16-20	314	67	0
21-25	264	34	0
26-30	110	10	0

Specimen cash option rates per £100 p.a. pension for annuities guaranteed five years and payable monthly in advance:

		Cash	Option £
	Retirement Age	Male	Female
Retirement Plan	60	1,000	1,100
	65	900	1,000
· I	70	800	900

Specimen minimum rates per £1,000 cash for annuities with no guarantee period and payable yearly in arrears:

		Annuity £ p.a.		
	Retirement Age	Male	Female	
Personal	60	77.24	67.77	
Retirement Plan	65	89.98	76.79	
	70	108.28	89.64	
	75	128.88	104.03	

Calibration of the asset model to market data is shown, where available, in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) above.

(vii) Comprehensive tests are carried out on the output produced by the Barrie & Hibbert asset model as follows:

For UK and Overseas equities and for UK property the average (over the simulated scenarios) of the discounted present values of projected asset values (with income reinvested) have been verified to be acceptably close to unity – the martingale property.

The same test has been undertaken for 15-year zero-coupon gilts and for 4 classes of zero-coupon corporate bonds with terms of 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 years. Departures from unity in the average discounted present values have not had a significant impact on the valuation result.

Zero coupon bond yields calculated from the model cash output have been verified to match yields calculated from input Government spot rates and initial spot rates output from the model at time zero within an acceptable error margin.

For UK equity options verification has been made, within acceptable limits, that the option prices calculated from the model output and converted into implied volatilities using the Black-Scholes formula reproduce the expected volatility surface.

Verification has also been made, within acceptable limits, that implied volatilities calculated from the simulation model output reproduce the market volatility term structure for 20 year at the money swaptions.

(viii) The assets and liabilities have been computed using 3,000 (1,500 antithetic pairs of) simulated scenarios. This results in standard errors in the calculated yield curve of less than 1 basis point for terms 1- 30 years.

For a 10-year at the money (based on the forward price) UK equity put option at a strike of 1.0, the standard error of the estimated option price represents 4.9% of its calculated value.

Similarly, for a range of swaptions with maturities between 5 and 25 years on underlying 20 year swaps the standard errors in the calculated prices represent, typically, 1.6% of these prices.

- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable

(5) Management Actions

- (a) No scenario specific management actions are assumed to take place in the stochastic model. However the model allows for the investment strategy as follows:
 - a) Sales of property and equity during the next valuation year to bring the actual asset mix into balance with the strategic target.
 - b) Close matching by outstanding term of fixed interest assets to liabilities by means of a swap overlay.
 - c) An internal delta-hedge for equities and property which has an effect in the stress scenario.
 - d) Reduction in equity/property backing ratios as policies near their guarantee date for all products except the weak guarantee Unitised With-Profits Bonds.
 - e) Policy classes are assumed not to move from the guarantee-related asset mix band to which they are allocated at the valuation date, although in practice some changes will occur in more extreme stochastic scenarios.

Existing market value adjustment policy will continue to be applied, i.e. market value adjustments are allowed for on surrender of unitised with-profits business, but with a "floor" based on a discounted value of the no market value adjustment guarantee.

Reversionary bonus rates will remain at current levels in future years.

Future miscellaneous surplus will be nil.

Charges made to asset shares for guarantees will continue in the future at the levels for the next valuation year.

- (b) The following table shows the equity backing ratio at the valuation date and best estimate equity backing ratio in 5 years and 10 years time for the following scenarios, together with the reversionary bonus rates for the accumulating with-profits business:
 - (i) The investment return on all assets over the relevant period is based on the forward rates derived from the risk-free interest rate curve as calibrated to at the valuation date;
 - (ii) As for (i) but with the risk-free interest rate curve increased across the period by 17.5% of the long-term gilt yield;
 - (iii) As for (i) but with the risk-free interest rate curve decreased across the period by 17.5% of the long-term gilt yield;

	·			
*		Current	Current	Current
		Valuation Date	Valuation Date	Valuation Date
			Plus 5 years	Plus 10 years
% UK & Overseas Equities		27%	32%	31%
	ii	Unchanged	Unchanged	Unchanged
	iii	Unchanged	Unchanged	Unchanged
Reversionary bonus rates on ac	cumulat	ting with-profits		
Unitised With-Profits Bond	l	Strong	Strong	Strong
		Guarantee	Guarantee	Guarantee
	:	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
		Weak	Weak	Weak
		Guarantee	Guarantee	Guarantee
	:	1%	1%	1%
	ii	Nil	Nil	Nil
	iii	Nil	Nil	Nil
Unitised With-Profits Pensions	i	1%	1%	1%
·	ii	Nil	Nil	Nil
	iii	Nil	Nil	Nil
PPF	i	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	ü	Nil	Nil	Nil
	==	Nil	Nil	Nil

Derivative contracts do not have any significant impact on the figures shown.

(6) Persistency Assumptions

The surrender and paid-up rates are:

Product		Average surrender / paid-up rate for the policy years			
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
CWP savings endowment	Surrender	10.40%	11.80%	5.00%	5.00%
CWP target cash endowment	Surrender	10.40%	11.80%	5.00%	5.00%
UWP bond	Surrender	3.60%	10.80%	10.00%	10.00%
UWP bond	Automatic	see	see	see	see
	withdrawals	below	below	below	below
CWP pension regular premium	PUP	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
CWP pension regular premium	Surrender	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
CWP pension single premium	Surrender	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%
UWP individual pension regular premium	PUP	7.00%	6.60%	5.00%	5.00%
UWP individual pension regular premium	Surrender	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
UWP individual pension single premium	Surrender	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%

For Personal Retirement Plans the assumption is that there will be no surrenders after age 50 on the grounds that they would then be able to take their retirement benefits.

Policies that are taking automatic withdrawals are assumed to continue to do so at the current rates.

Current and future paid-up policies are assumed to lapse at the same rate as premium paying policies.

For Personal Retirement Plans lives under age 65 at the valuation date are assumed to retire at age 65; otherwise they are assumed to retire at 75 (or the maximum retirement age under the contract, if earlier).

There is no other allowance for early retirements.

Take up Rates of Guaranteed Annuity Options

The assumed proportion of cash in each scenario is dynamic according to the following formula:

$$Cash = Min(L, (Max(10\%, (CxF)))x(1-Min(t,T)/SxT))$$

where

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}^{k(j)x100} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{R}^{(i-j-k(j))x100x(ABS(i-j))}$$
semirange)

and

$$k(j) = i - Min(Max(j, i - semirange), i + semirange)$$

where

L	Overall limit on cash proportion - set it to 1.25 x C
<i>C</i>	Current experience assumption
F	Overall reduction factor comprising R and R' components (see below) to

Phoenix With-Profits Fund

	reflect decline in cash as interest rates decline and guaranteed annuity rates become more valuable.
R	Reduction factor that applies outside of central "plateau" range (R=2/3)
R '	Reduction factor that applies within central "plateau" range (R'=0.9)
k(j)	Interim calculation variable depending on i,j, and semirange
semirange	Central "plateau" assumed to apply over a range from (i-semirange) to (i + semirange). Set at 1%.
t	Time in years from the valuation date
T	Period over which a decline in cash is recognised due to longevity making guaranteed annuity rates more valuable (T=30)
S	Amount of longevity decline (S=3 so that cash declines by 1/3 over T years)
i	Average 20 year interest rate over the period used to set the current experience assumption. This is 4.52% at the valuation date
j	20 year gilt rate at maturity for the particular scenario

Annuitant Mortality

Deferred pension contracts (post vesting) include guaranteed annuity options.

The mortality assumption for annuities in possession arising from the exercising of guaranteed annuity options is 10% higher than that described in Appendix 9.4, paragraph 4 (4).

(7) Policyholders' Actions

Modelled policyholder behaviour is static, i.e. it does not vary between the different stochastic simulations apart from guaranteed annuity rate take up rates, which vary according to the formula in paragraph 6 (6) above.

7. FINANCING COSTS

The fund has no financing costs as at the valuation date.

8. OTHER LONG-TERM INSURANCE LIABILITIES

No amounts have been included in Line 46 of Form 19. The amount shown in Line 47 of Form 19 is made up as follows:

	£m
Mortgage Endowment Compensation Reserve	5.0
Additional Guaranteed Annuity Option Reserve	2.6
Future projects and issues	26.2
GAO Project - Correcting errors in payments	15.0
Other *	7.9
Total	56.7

^{*} Consisting of: UISL VAT reserve, a reserve for the costs falling outside the Management Services Agreement, a redundancy reserve, TCF reserve, and a reserve for other special costs.

9. REALISTIC CURRENT LIABILITIES

The reconciliation of the realistic current liabilities to the regulatory current liabilities is:

	£m
Regulatory current liabilities	546.6
+ Future tax adjustment	(19.2)
+ Additional tax on shareholder transfers	5.0
Realistic current liabilities	532.4

(a) Future Tax Adjustment

The realistic balance sheet calculations assume that tax will be payable in relation to the realistic proportion of life business. In reality the tax is calculated by reference to statutory liabilities. An approximate adjustment is made to allow for the fact that future tax will be based on the statutory life proportion rather than the realistic life proportion.

This adjustment as at the valuation date amounted to an asset of £19.2m.

(b) Additional Tax on Shareholder Transfers

An allowance is made for the additional tax arising on transfers to shareholders in respect of life business. This is calculated as a percentage of the present value of future transfers to shareholders in respect of life business.

The liability as at the valuation date amounted to £5.0m.

10. RISK CAPITAL MARGIN

- (a) The risk capital margin is nil.
 - (i) The market risk scenario assumes that equities fall by 20% and real estate falls by 12.5%. The equity fall and the property fall were the more onerous scenarios.
 - (ii) The nominal change in yields for fixed interest securities for the purpose of the market risk scenario is 0.66%. This is consistent with a rise or fall of 17.5% in the long term gilt yield. A rise in yields is the more onerous scenario.
 - (iii) The average change in spread is 1.43%. Changes in market values are:
 - (a) (8.10)% for bonds
 - (b) Not applicable
 - (c) Not applicable
 - (d) Not applicable
 - (e) 15% for swaps.
 - (iv) The average change in persistency experience is a 32.5% reduction in future lapse and paid-up rates. The overall percentage change in the

realistic value of liabilities from applying the persistency stress is 0.11%.

- (v) The change in asset value in (iii) is materially independent of the change in liability values in (iv).
- (b) (i) In the stress scenarios the following additional assumptions are made:

Reversionary bonus rates will be reduced to nil

The future projects and issues reserve will be increased from £26.2m to £36.2m.

The impact of the combined stress will be partially offset by increasing guarantee charges. An introduction of an exit charge of 1% of asset share on terminations is assumed.

Furthermore, it is assumed that the planned benefit enhancements will be reduced by £109.9m, resulting in £nil working capital under the stressed conditions.

These actions are consistent with the PPFM and investment strategy.

- (ii) The effect on the risk capital margin of assuming reduced reversionary bonuses is a reduction of £54.9m and of introducing a 1% exit charge is a reduction of £15.9m.
- (iii) No changes would apply to the table in paragraph 6 (5) (b) if the management actions were taken
- (iv) The requirements of INSPRU 1.3.188(R) would be met if the actions described in paragraph 10 (b) (i) were integrated into the projection of assets and liabilities.

(c)

- (i) The risk capital margin is covered by the assets of the long-term fund and the value of future profits on non-profit business.
- (ii) The scheme for the funds merger as at 31 December 2008 includes a provision that in the event that the value of the assets of any withprofits fund falls below the regulatory minimum support will be provided to that fund by way of a loan arrangement from the Non-Profit Fund or the Shareholders Fund to the extent that the Board determines there are assets in those funds available to make such a loan.

11. TAX

Tax on assets backing the with-profits benefits reserve for BLAGAB business is charged to those asset shares approximately and allowance is made for relief on expenses.

Tax on any future policy related liabilities for BLAGAB business is allowed for in determining those liabilities.

An approximate adjustment is made to allow for any differences between the tax calculated as described and the tax expected on a corporate basis. The adjustment is calculated within the stochastic model.

12. DERIVATIVES

At the valuation date the fund had a number of significant positions in interest rate swaps and swaptions.

The interest rate swaps are held in connection with the fixed interest portfolio and are used to improve the matching between the assets and the liabilities against changes in the yield curve for the long-term fund as a whole.

The interest rate swaptions are held in respect of the guaranteed annuity rate liabilities. Receiver swaptions are held to cover part of the guaranteed annuity rate liability where the with-profits benefits reserve is invested in equities or property. Payer swaptions are held where the with-profits benefits reserve is invested in fixed interest assets and the expected annuity benefit arising is matched by fixed interest investments. The quantum of swaptions held is based on a prudent assessment of future guaranteed annuity rate liabilities taking account of expected future lapse rates and take up rates. The duration and tenor of the swaptions corresponds broadly with the liabilities. The strike rates for the receiver swaptions are 5%. The strike rates for the payer swaptions vary according to the rate at which it is expected the cash option will become more valuable than the guaranteed annuity rate allowing for future improvements in mortality.

Both the swaps and swaptions are wholly sterling denominated. As at the valuation date, the swaps had a value of £20m and the swaptions had a value of £68m.

The counterparties to the swaps and swaptions are approved credit institutions. Variation margin (collateral) arrangements are in place under both the swaps and swaptions. In addition the swaps provide for initial margins by both parties.

13. ANALYSIS OF WORKING CAPITAL

The movement in working capital over the twelve months to the valuation date is shown in the following table.

	£m
Opening working capital	0.0
Write back planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	166.6
Revised opening working capital	166.6
Opening adjustments	77.8
Restated opening working capital	244.4
Investment return on working capital	12.1
Mismatch profits and losses	(171.9)
Assumption changes	
- Non-economic	3.6
- Economic	6.1
- Policyholder actions	12.6
Impact of new business	0.0
Other Variances	
- Non-economic	11.9
- New provisions	(3.3)
- Unexplained	14.9
Closing working capital before zeroisation	130.4
Planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	(130.4)
Closing working capital	0.0

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 47 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Mortgage Endowment Compensation Reserve	5.0	8.5
Additional Guaranteed Annuity Option Reserve	2.6	6.6
Future projects and issues	26.2	26.2
GAO Project - Correcting errors in payments	15.0	25.0
Other	7.9	8.7
Total	56.7	73.7

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 51 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Accounting Liabilities	546.6	380.5
Future Tax Profit	(19.2)	(12.5)
Additional Tax on Shareholders' Transfers	5.0	9.0
Total	532.4	377.0

14. OPTIONAL DISCLOSURE

None made.

90% WITH-PROFITS FUND

2. ASSETS

(1) Economic Assumptions For Valuing Non-Profit Business

The economic assumptions used to calculate the value of future profits in non-profit business are as follows:

	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Fixed Interest Investment return	3.84%	4.65%
Risk discount rate	3.84%	4.65%
RPI Inflation	2.54%	3.50%
Expense inflation	3.94%	5.90%

(2) Amount Determined Under INSPRU 1.3.33(2)(R)

Not applicable

(3) Valuation Of Contracts Written Outside The Fund

Not applicable

(4) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

(5) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

3. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE LIABILITIES

(1) Calculation Of With-Profits Benefits Reserve

Product Type	Method	With-profits benefits reserve	Future policy related liabilities	
		£m	£m	
SLUK Industrial Branch business conventional WL and EA	Prospective	20.1	2.4	
SLUK Ordinary Branch business conventional WL and EA	Retrospective	59,5	6.5	
BULA conventional life business	Retrospective	45.8	5.3	
BULA pension contracts with guaranteed annuity rate option	Retrospective	2.1	2.7	
Total		127.5	16.8	
Form 19 Line 31		127.5		
Form 19 Line 49			16.8	

(2) Correspondence With Form 19

The above reconciles to lines 31 and 49 of Form 19.

(3) With-Profits Benefits Reserves Below De Minimis Limit

All with-profits benefits reserves are shown in the table above.

(4) Types Of Products

The level of disclosure in the table above corresponds to material groupings of contracts offering significant variances in policyholder benefits. For example, contracts with and without guaranteed annuity options are identified separately.

4. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE – RETROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Retrospective Methods

- (a) All contracts have been calculated on an individual policy basis.
- (b) No contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.
- (c) Not applicable as no contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(2) Significant Changes To Valuation Method

- (a) There are no significant changes.
- (b) Not applicable.

(3) Expense Allocation

- (a) The previous expense investigation was carried out in respect of the current financial year.
- (b) Expense investigations are carried out annually.

(c)

	Item	£m
(i)	Initial Expenses	Nil ¹
(ii)	Maintenance Expenses	0.4
(iii)	Method	Average expense charge deducted
(iv)	Expenses charged other than to with- profits benefits reserve	0.5

¹ Since the company is closed to new business (apart from contractual increments etc.), there are no material acquisition expenses.

Investment expenses are allowed for by deducting the fees payable to the company's investment manager for managing the assets from the investment return credited to asset shares. The exception to this is the ex-BULA business where the investment expenses are not charged to asset shares and are shown in the above table.

(4) Charges For Insurance Risk

Not applicable.

(5) Charges For Non-Insurance Risk

Not applicable.

(6) Ratio Of Claims To Reserves

Average ratio of total claims to asset shares:

Year	SLUK IB	SLUK OB	BULA	
Previous year -1	107%	107%	99%	
Previous year	113%	113%	101%	
Current year	114%	114%	104%	

The above ratios reflect the target payout as a percentage of asset share used in the calculation of the terminal bonus rates.

(7) Allocated Return

The average rates of investment return (before tax) added for the year to the valuation date are:

Type of business	Investment Return
SLUK IB	(14.04)%
SLUK OB	(14.04)%
BULA	(5.62)%

5. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE - PROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Key Assumptions

A prospective method has been used for ex-SLUK Industrial branch with-profits whole life business.

Bonus rates on with-profits whole life business are the same as the bonus rates on endowments for the same term. A bonus reserve valuation is used to determine the with-profits benefits reserve, where:

- The bonus rates are the supportable bonus rates determined from the relevant product, and
- The economic assumptions are consistent with the supportable bonus rates

The assumptions underlying this method are as follows:

	Ex SLUK IB excl Pioneer Mutual	Pioneer Mutual with cash bonuses	Stamford with cash bonuses	
	and Stamford	with cash bonuses	cash bonuses	
Discount Rate p.a.	6.46%	6.46%	6.46%	
Investment Return p.a.				
Fixed Interest	2.19%	2.19%	2.19%	
Equities	3.06%	3.06%	3.06%	
Expense Assumptions				
Investment Expense p.a.	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	
Per policy Expenses				
Per Annum	£0.39	£0.39	£0.39	
Per Premium	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%	
Expense Inflation p.a.	3.94%	3.94%	3.94%	
Bonus Assumptions	·			
Reversionary Bonuses				
On Basic Sum Assured	4.50%	9.00%	2.25%	

Future terminal bonus rates vary by duration in force (at time of payment) and the actual year of payment.

There are no lapses.

(2) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

6. COSTS OF GUARANTEES, OPTIONS AND SMOOTHING

(1) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

(2) Valuation Method For Guarantees etc.

	Cost of Guarantees & Options	Smoothing Cost	Extent of Grouping	No of Individual policies	No of model points
All Business	Stochastic model	See below	All business	138,514	6,072

(a) Cost of Guarantees & Options

The costs of guarantees are determined using a stochastic model, with the asset returns being generated by a proprietary model. The following items were calculated stochastically:

- The reserves required in addition to asset share to meet guaranteed benefits.
- Future profits where amounts payable upon surrender are less than asset share.

The calculations were carried out using a risk neutral approach.

Cost of Smoothing

There is no significant cost of smoothing and this has been taken to be zero. All business has been modelled assuming future payouts of 100% of asset share.

(b) Stochastic model

- (i) In the stochastic model, no projections are carried out on individual policy data.
- (ii) All of the contracts are valued on a grouped basis. However, the values for the with-profits benefits reserve are calculated on an individual basis and added to the data file before the data is grouped.
- (iii) For each product type the data is split initially by bonus series. Separate model points are then created for each combination of year of commencement and year of maturity.

This grouping is aligned with the way in which bonus rates are declared on the business – actual terminal bonus rate calculations are based on specimen policies split out in the same way, i.e. by product type, year of commencement and year of maturity, although at quinquennial rather than annual intervals.

No significant attributes of the contracts should be lost with this low level of grouping.

(c) Guaranteed annuity option liabilities for the ex-BULA pension contracts were calculated on a prudent deterministic basis, given the low volume of these. In addition, when calculating the cost of guarantees stochastically, the initial guaranteed sum assured has been increased to reflect the presence of the guaranteed annuity option.

The stochastic model assumes compound bonus only. The majority of the ex-SLUK conventional business participates in simple bonus only so the guarantee cost is overstated. This is not significant given the small guarantee cost overall.

(3) Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes since the previous valuation.

(4) Further Information On The Approach Used To Calculate The Cost Of Guarantees and Options

(a) Stochastic approach

 The guarantees and options being valued using a full stochastic approach are described in paragraph 6 (2) (a) above. The following table gives an indication of the extent to which the guarantees are in or out of the money at the valuation date. For the various product types the with-profits benefits reserve is shown along with the guaranteed sum assured plus bonuses payable on death/maturity and the sum of the difference where the guarantees are higher.

Product type	With-profits benefit reserve (A) £m	Sum assured plus bonuses (B) £m	Sum of positive B-A		
SLUK IB	20.1	6.7	0.0		
SLUK OB	59.5	54.9	0.6		
BULA Life	45.8	45.2	2.3		
BULA pensions	2.1	3.8	1.7		

(ii) The asset returns in the stochastic model were generated by a proprietary model licensed from Barrie & Hibbert. The asset classes modelled are UK equities, overseas equities, UK property, UK corporate bonds and UK gilts.

Interest Rate

UK gilt returns are modelled using a gilts + 10bps calibration in an Annual LIBOR Market Model. The Government Nominal Bond yield curve is a direct input into the model.

The calibration at the valuation date was as follows:

Term	Govt. + 10bp	Model	Difference (Model - Market bp)		
1	1.22%	1.22%	0		
2	1.87%	1.87%	0		
3	2.31%	2.31%	0		
4	2.63%	2.64%	1		
5	2.87%	2.87%	0		
7	3.22%	3.23%	1		
10	3.58%	3.60%	2		
15	4.13%	4.13%	0		
20	4.34%	4.34%	0		
25	4.08%	4.07%	(1)		

The volatility within the model is calibrated to the market implied volatility for at the money swaptions (for 20 year swaps). The calibration at the valuation date is as follows:

Term	Market Implied	Model	Difference
	Volatility		(Model - Market
			bp)
1	27.20	17.73	(947)
2	21.00	17.92	(308)
3	18.50	17.94	(56)
4	17.20	17.89	69
5	16.00	17.83	183
7	15.00	17.70 ·	270
10	14.60	17.43	283
15	16.40	16.16	(24)
20	16.10	14.71	(139)
25	14.30	14.64	34
30	12.40	14.48	208

Equities and Property

Excess returns over risk free on UK equities, overseas equities and property are modelled using separate (but correlated) lognormal models. The equity model uses a local volatility surface calibrated to market implied volatilities for a range of strikes and maturities. Volatilities are assumed to be constant beyond quoted strikes and maturities.

The split between UK and overseas equities was 74%/26%. The asset model was calibrated by reference to the implied volatility of FTSE100 options for a range of strikes (from 0.8 to 1.2) and maturities of up to 10 years. All strikes are expressed as a proportion of at-the-money.

Implied volatility data (%) at the valuation date is shown below:

Market

	Strike							
Term	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2			
1	40.95	37.59	34.45	31.92	30.05			
3	37.48	35.68	34.01	32.49	31.21			
5	37.11	35.71	34.43	33.28	32.26			
10	36.44	35.48	34.57	33.77	33.16			

Model

Term		Strike								
	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2					
1	34.02	33.93	33.95	34.06	34.12					
3	35.48	34.85	34.36	33.94	33.58					
5	33.82	33.26	32.81	32.41	32.09					
10	33.42	33.09	32.79	32.54	32.28					

Beyond 10 years the estimated volatility implied by the model calibration rises as follows:

Term		Strike							
	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2				
15	32.35	32.07	31.82	31.61	31.44				
20	32.03	31.79	31.57	31.39	31.22				

Difference (Model – Market) %

Term	Strike								
	0.8	0.9	1	1.1	1.2				
1	(6.94)	(3.66)	(0.51)	2.14	4.07				
3	(1.99)	(0.83)	0.35	1.45	2.36				
5	(3.30)	(2.46)	(1.62)	(0.88)	(0.17)				
10	(3.03)	(2.39)	(1.78)	(1.23)	(0.87)				

There are no tests against market traded instruments for properties since there are no such instruments. A best estimate has therefore been used of 15% constant volatility.

Corporate bond

Corporate bond returns are modelled using the extended Jarrow-Lando-Turnbull model. This describes bond prices in terms of a real-world transition matrix, which gives the probability of a transition to each credit rating over one year. Risk neutral transition probabilities are assumed to vary stochastically. The transition matrix is consistent with best estimates based on historic data of long term transition probabilities and spread volatilities and corporate bond prices. The model was fitted to a sample of predominantly investment grade sterling corporate bonds.

The asset model uses a credit transition matrix. The fit of the model is targeted to the market spread on a 7 year A rated bond only. Credit derivatives are not used to derive market implied transition probabilities.

The following are examples of observed correlations of year 10 returns from the scenarios used (ZCB = zero coupon bond):

		Output Correlations @ Year 10								
	Cash	Equities	Property	Overseas	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr	5yr	15yr
				Equities	Govt	Govt	Corp	Corp	Index	Index
					ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	ZCB	Linked	Linked
									ZCB	ZCB
Cash	1.00	0.03	0.19	(0.04)	0.09	(0.56)	0.00	(0.48)	0.81	0.42
Equities		1.00	0.07	0.32	0.12	0.08	0.58	0.31	0.13	0.21
Property		•	1.00	0.09	0.01	(0.09)	0.02	(0.06)	0.18	0.13
Overseas equities			•	1.00	0.14	0.15	0.24	0.21	0.14	0.26
5yr Govt ZCB				•	1.00	0.59	0.60	0.52	0.15	0.15
15yr Govt ZCB						1.00	0.41	0.88	(0.42)	(0.11)
5yr Corp ZCB						•	1.00	0.70	0.09	0.17
15yr Corp ZCB								1.00	(0.33)	(0.03)
5yr Index Linked ZCB									1.00	0.79
15yr Index Linked ZCB									1	1.00

90% With-Profits Fund

(iii) The table below is based on 3,000 scenarios:

L	L	Asset type (all UK assets)	K=0.75				K=1				K=1,5		
	c		5	15	25	35	5	15	25	35	5	15	25
<u> </u>		Annualised compound equivalent of the risk	2.87%	4.13%	4.07%	3.81%	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
		free rate assumed for the period. (to two			·								
_	-	Risk-free zero coupon bond	868,035	544,870	368,792	269,868	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
N		FTSE All Share Index (p=1)	153,061	295,921	375,224	445,094	287,434	459,173	556,465	638,815	649,079	832,871	955,038
က	L	FTSE All Share Index (p=0.8)	143,648	245,759	285,557	320,150	270,861	383,028	425,573	462,046	615,351	700,701	737,414
4		Property (p=1)	123,493	269,428	371,398	447,185	258,759	439,132	560,662	648,129	624,379	830,039	972,388
ιΩ	_	Property (p=0.8)	114,115	217,841	277,146	317,478	241,975	360,059	424,100	464,877	590,515	692,070	748,145
9		15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=1)	19,868	24,059	17,073	23,837	90,037	87,262	85,733	129,684	500,336	498,794	506,505
_		15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=0.8)	16,919	14,629	7,345	7,772	78,065	50,434	26,895	28,592	459,000	331,384	257,037
æ		15 year risk free bonds (p=1)	27,467	42,315	44,128	58,865	108,812	126,747	131,872	160,599	491,073	480,026	490,368
၈		15 year risk free bonds (p=0.8)	23,659	26,398	19,494	22,745	96,068	80,843	63,336	65,495	451,816	336,026	271,504
0	<u> </u>	Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	94,915	213,006	288,728	354,248	219,187	363,859	459,787	537,700	584,363	732,077	843,475
		property (p=1)											
11		Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	86,985	168,479	207,162	240,366	203,201	292,638	335,620	370,003	549,803	599,896	632,328
	_	property (p=0.8)											
12		Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	81,354	180,992	239,881	298,331	198,680	320,835	395,414	466,678	567,614	677,294	765,784
		risk free zero coupon bonds (p=1)											
<u>ဗ</u>		Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	73,884	140,634	168,490	194,677	183,218	254,175	281,673	312,693	532,243	547,230	559,484
		risk free zero coupon bonds (p=0.8)						•					
14		Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	42,193	106,696	151,437	199,922	145,354	231,106	290,389	352,249	525,589	588,340	654,070
		22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds											
		and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds (p=1)											
5		Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	36,685	74,397	93,661	114,038	130,665	169,750	187,482	212,418	488,556	453,978	449,003
		22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds								-	5		
		and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds											
\perp	\downarrow	(p=0.8)											
				L=15	15			L=20	0.			L=25	2
16		Receiver sw aptions	8.18%	10.88%	9.98%	7.70%	11.58%	13.64%	12.24%	9.25%	14.61%	16.11%	14.03%

(iv) UK initial equity yield: 5.95% UK initial property rental yield: 4.30%

- (v) Not applicable there are no significant territories other than the UK. 0.4% of the guaranteed benefit is in relation to Eire policies.
- (vi) The following table shows the outstanding guarantees analysed by outstanding term. The SLUK IB business is nearly all whole life and the term has been taken as the term to age 110.

Outstanding term	SLUK IB	SLUK OB CWP	BULA Life	BULA Pensions
(years)	£m	£m	£m	£m
1-5	0.0	49.0	39.2	2.5
6-10	0.2	3.9	1.2	0.5
11-15	0.4	0.1	1.1	0.6
15+	6.0	1.9	3.6	3.6

Calibration of the asset model to market data is shown, where available, in paragraph 6 (4) (a) (ii) above.

(vii) Comprehensive tests are carried out on the output produced by the Barrie & Hibbert asset model as follows:

For UK and Overseas equities and for UK property the average (over the simulated scenarios) of the discounted present values of projected asset values (with income reinvested) has been verified to be acceptably close to unity – the martingale property.

The same test has been undertaken for 15-year zero-coupon gilts and for 4 classes of zero-coupon corporate bonds with terms of 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 years. Departures from unity in the average discounted present values have not had a significant impact on the valuation result.

Zero coupon bond yields calculated from the model cash output have been verified to match yields calculated from input Government spot rates and initial spot rates output from the model at time zero within an acceptable error margin.

For UK equity options verification has been made, within acceptable limits, that the option prices calculated from the model output and converted into implied volatilities using the Black-Scholes formula reproduce the expected volatility surface.

Verification has also been made, within acceptable limits, that implied volatilities calculated from the simulation model output reproduce the market volatility term structure for 20 year at the money swaptions.

(viii) The assets and liabilities have been computed using 3,000 (1,500 antithetic pairs of) simulated scenarios. This results in standard errors in the calculated yield curve of less than 1 basis point for terms 1-30 years.

For a 10-year at the money (based on the forward price) UK equity put option at a strike of 1.0, the standard error of the estimated option price represents 2.4% of its calculated value.

Similarly, for a range of swaptions with maturities between 5 and 25 years on underlying 20 year swaps the standard errors in the calculated prices represent, typically, 1.5% of these prices.

- (b) Not applicable
- (c) Not applicable

(5) Management Actions

No scenario specific management actions are assumed to take place in the stochastic model.

(6) Persistency Assumptions

The surrender and paid-up assumptions are:

Product			ge surrei for the p	-	•
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
CWP savings endowment	Surrender	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
CWP target cash endowment	Surrender	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
CWP pension regular premium	PUP	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CWP pension regular premium	Surrender	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
UWP individual pension regular premium	PUP	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
UWP individual pension regular premium	Surrender	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
UWP individual pension single premium	Surrender	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

(7) Policyholders' Actions

No such assumptions were made.

7. FINANCING COSTS

There are no financing arrangements.

8. OTHER LONG-TERM INSURANCE LIABILITIES

No amounts have been included in Line 46 of Form 19. The amount shown in Line 47 of Form 19 is made up as follows:

	£m
Future shareholder transfers not deducted from asset share	2.8
Provision for underpayment of SLUK ordinary branch terminal bonus	1.5
Future shareholder transfers from planned enhancements to with- profits benefit reserve	0.3
Additional provision for tax on shareholder transfers	0.4
Future investment expenses not deducted from asset share	0.1
Future tax adjustment	(0.1)
Total	5.1

9. REALISTIC CURRENT LIABILITIES

The realistic current liabilities are taken to be the same as the regulatory current liabilities.

10. RISK CAPITAL MARGIN

- (a) The risk capital margin is nil.
 - (i) The market risk scenario assumes that equities fall by 20% and real estate falls by 12.5%. The equity fall and the property fall were the more onerous scenarios.
 - (ii) The nominal change in yields for fixed interest securities for the purpose of the market risk scenario is 0.66%. This is consistent with a rise or fall of 17.5% in the long term gilt yield. A rise in yields is the more onerous scenario.
 - (iii) The average change in spread is 0.88%. Changes in market values are:
 - (a) (5.51)% for bonds
 - (b) Not applicable
 - (c) Not applicable
 - (d) Not applicable
 - (e) Not applicable
 - (iv) The average change in persistency experience is a 32.5% reduction in future lapse and paid-up rates. The overall percentage change in the realistic value of liabilities from applying the persistency stress is 0.19%.
 - (v) The change in asset value in (iii) is materially independent of the change in liability values in (iv).
- (b) (i) In the stress scenarios an assumption is made that:
 - Reversionary bonus rates will be reduced to nil in stages over the next two years.
 - (ii) The effect on the risk capital margin of assuming reduced reversionary bonuses is a reduction of £2.9m.
 - (iii) Not applicable
 - (iv) Not applicable
- (c) (i) The risk capital margin is covered by the assets of the long-term fund and the value of future profits on non-profit business.
 - (iii) The scheme for the funds merger as at 31 December 2006 includes a provision that in the event that the value of the assets of any with-profits fund falls below the regulatory minimum, support will be provided to that fund by way of a loan arrangement from the Non-Profit Fund or the Shareholders'

Fund to the extent that the Board determines there are assets in those funds available to make such a loan.

11. TAX

Tax on assets backing the with-profits benefits reserve for BLAGAB business is charged to those asset shares approximately and allowance is made for relief on expenses.

Tax on any future policy related liabilities for BLAGAB business is allowed for in determining those liabilities.

An approximate adjustment is made to allow for any differences between the tax calculated as described and the tax expected on a corporate basis. The adjustment is calculated within the stochastic model.

12. DERIVATIVES

There are no major positions of derivative contracts held in the Fund.

13. ANALYSIS OF WORKING CAPITAL

The movement in the working capital over the twelve months to the valuation date is shown in the following table:

	£m
Opening working capital	0.0
Write back planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	18.9
Revised opening working capital	18.9
Opening adjustments	(1.4)
Restated opening working capital	17.5
Investment return on working capital	0.8
Mismatch profits and losses	(11.1)
Assumption changes .	
- Non-economic	0.0
- Economic	(0.2)
- Policyholder actions	0.0
Impact of new business	0.0
Other Variances	
- Estate distribution	(3.7)
- Change in provisions	(0.7)
- Surrender profit	0.2
- Other traced	(0.2)
- Unexplained	0.7
Closing working capital before zeroisation	3.3
Planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	(3.3)
Closing working capital	0.0

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 47 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current valuation	Previous valuation
Future shareholder transfers not deducted	2.8	4.8
from asset share	1	
Provision for underpayment of SLUK ordinary	1.5	0.0
branch terminal bonus		
Future shareholder transfers from planned	0.3	1.9
enhancements to with-profits benefit reserve		
Additional provision for tax on shareholder	0.4	0.7
transfers		
Future investment expenses not deducted	0.1	0.2
from asset share		
Future tax adjustment	(0.1)	(0.1)
		1
Total	5.1	7.4

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 51 Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current valuation	Previous valuation
Provisions taxation	0.0	0.9
Creditors taxation	1.0	2.8
Creditors other	5.4	1.5
Accruals and deferred income	0.0	0.8
Total	6.5	5.9

14. OPTIONAL DISCLOSURE

None made.

100% WITH-PROFITS FUND

2. ASSETS

(1) Economic Assumptions For Valuing Non-Profit Business

Not applicable as there is no non-profit business valued in the 100% With-Profits Fund.

(2) Amount Determined Under INSPRU 1.3.33(2)(R)

Not applicable

(3) Valuation Of Contracts Written Outside The Fund

Not applicable

(4) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

(5) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

3. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE LIABILITIES

(1) Calculation Of With-Profits Benefits Reserve

Product Type	Method	With-profits benefits reserve	Future policy related liabilities
		£m	£m
Premium Paying Endowments (PAL)	Retrospective	12.1	74.7
Paid Up Endowment (PAL)	Retrospective	0.9	4.6
Whole Life Premium Paying (PAL)	Prospective	5.1	26.6
Whole Life - Paid Up (PAL)	Prospective	1.1	5.5
UWP Pensions (SLUK)	Retrospective	37.3	4.4
Other		3.5	4.8
Total		59.9	120.6
Form 19 Line 31		59.9	
Form 19 Line 49			120.6

(2) Correspondence With Form 19

The above reconciles to lines 31 and 49 of Form 19.

(3) With-Profits Benefits Reserves Below De Minimis Limit

The amount categorised as "Other" above falls within the de minimis limit.

(4) Division Of Portfolio

In the above table, the following classes have similar bonus declaration characteristics:

- Premium Paying Endowments (PAL)
- Paid Up Endowment (PAL)
- Whole Life Premium Paying (PAL)
- Whole Life Paid Up (PAL)

Other business is distinct from these classes.

4. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE – RETROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Retrospective Methods

- (a) All contracts have been calculated on an individual policy basis.
- (b) No contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.
- (c) Not applicable as no contracts have been valued on a grouped basis.

(2) Significant Changes to Valuation Method

- (a) There have been no significant changes in the method of calculating the withprofits benefits reserve.
- (b) Not applicable.

(3) Expense Allocation

- (a) The previous expense investigation was carried out in respect of the current financial year.
- (b) Expense investigations are carried out annually.

(c)

	Item	£m
(i)	Initial Expenses	Nil
(ii)	Maintenance Expenses	0.37
(iii)	Method	Average expense charge deducted
(iv)	Expenses charged other than to with- profits benefits reserve	Nil

Since the company is closed to new business (apart from contractual increments etc.), there are no material initial expenses.

Investment expenses are allowed for by deducting the fees payable to the company's investment manager for managing the assets from the investment return credited to asset shares.

The expenses above include those allocated during the year to the unitised with-profits group pensions business previously reassured from Phoenix & London Assurance Limited. This reinsurance was recaptured as at 31 December 2008.

(4) Significant Charges

There are currently no guarantee charges taken from asset shares for these funds.

(5) Charges For Non-Insurance Risk

Not applicable

(6) Ratio Of Claims To Reserves

Average ratio of total claims to asset shares:

Year	Ratio of claims to	
	asset shares (ex- PAL)	to asset shares (ex_SLUK)
Previous year -1	660%	100%
Previous year	735%	100%
Current year	763%	100%

(7) Allocated Return

The average rates of investment return (before tax) added for the year to the valuation date are:

Type of business	Investment
	Return
Premium Paying Endowments (PAL)	-11.82%
Paid Up Endowment (PAL)	-11.82%
UWP Pensions (SLUK)	-14.54%

5. WITH-PROFITS BENEFITS RESERVE - PROSPECTIVE METHOD

(1) Key Assumptions

The discount rate used is consistent with the investment return used in determining supportable bonus rates. Hence, the risk free rates are not directly relevant to the calculation of the prospective with-profits benefits reserves.

The rates are shown in the table below:

	Premium Paying	Paid Up
Discount Rate p.a.	3.00%	3.00%
Investment Return p.a.	3.00%	3.00%
Expense Assumptions		
Investment Expense p.a.	0.10%	0.10%
Per Policy Expenses p.a.	£49.59	£49.59
Expense Inflation p.a.	7.34%	7.34%
Bonus Assumptions		
Reversionary Bonuses		
On Basic Sum Assured	5.00%	5.00%
On Accrued Bonuses	8.00%	8.00%

Future terminal bonus rates vary by duration in force at time of payment. Sample terminal bonus rates are as follows:

Elapsed Term in Years	Terminal Bonus Rate		
10	760%		
15	902%		
20	1223%		
25	1667%		
30	2310%		
35	4715%		
40	6494%		

There are no assumed lapse rates.

(2) Different Sets Of Assumptions

Not applicable

6. COSTS OF GUARANTEES, OPTIONS AND SMOOTHING

(1) De Minimis Limit

Not applicable

(2) Valuation Method Used To Calculate The Costs Of Guarantees

(a) Cost of Guarantees & Options

The costs of guarantees on maturity for ex-SLUK unitised with-profits pensions are determined using a variation of the Black-Scholes formula.

The costs of guarantees on all other business are determined using a stochastic model, with the asset returns being generated by a proprietary model. The following items were calculated stochastically:

- The reserves required in addition to asset share to meet guaranteed benefits.
- Future profits where amounts payable upon surrender are less than asset share.

The calculations were carried out using a risk neutral approach.

Cost of Smoothing

There is no significant cost of smoothing and this has been taken to be zero. All business has been modelled assuming future payouts of 100% of asset share.

(b) <u>Black-Scholes formula</u>

- (i) In the variation of the Black-Scholes formula, all of the contracts are valued on an individual basis.
 - (ii) None of the contracts is valued on a grouped basis.

(iii) Not applicable as grouping is not applied.

(3) Significant Changes

There have been no significant changes since the previous valuation.

(4) Further Information On The Approach Used To Calculate The Cost Of Guarantees

(a) Not applicable

(b) Market costs of hedging approach

(i) The date at which the option can be exercised is taken to be the maturity date of the pension and this varies between policies. It is provided for each policy in the model point data file.

The expected guaranteed benefits at maturity are calculated using separate methods for regular premium and single premium unitised with-profits pension contracts.

Single Premium Unitised With-Profits Pensions

For single premium contracts, this is calculated by rolling up the current guaranteed fund value to the maturity date at the guaranteed bonus rate of 4% p.a.

Regular Premium Unitised With-Profits Pensions

For regular premium contracts, the capital and accumulation funds need to be projected separately and the future premiums have to be considered. The calculations are detailed below.

Accumulation Unit funds

The current accumulation unit fund value is rolled up to the maturity date at the guaranteed bonus rate of 4% p.a.

Future premiums payable are also rolled up at 4% p.a., with allowance for premium escalation and all fees and charges. There is an initial charge of 5% assumed for all contracts, whereas policy fee, unit allocation rate and rate of premium escalation are all provided in the model point data file. For hybrid contracts, only the unitised with-profits proportion of the premium is included in the calculation and this is also provided in the model point data file.

The accumulated value as at maturity of the 50% proportion of each premium that is fed into asset share is also deducted. This is calculated by firstly obtaining the present value using a yield that incorporates the risk free rate, premium escalation rate and 0.875% p.a. management charge. This present value is then rolled up to the maturity date at the risk free rate.

The risk free rate is taken from the zero coupon gilt yield curve plus 10 basis points:

Term (years) Risk Free Rate			
1	1.22%		
2	1.87%		
3	2.31%		
4	2.63%		
5	2.87%		
6	3.06%		
7	3.22%		
8	3.35%		
9	3.47%		
10	3.58%		
12	3.81%		
15	4.13%		
20	4.34%		
25	4.08%		

Capital Unit funds

The current capital unit fund value is rolled up to the maturity date in a similar way to the accumulation unit fund value. The difference is that while the capital fund is still in its initial unit period, the bonus rate is (1.875)% p.a. and 4% p.a. applies thereafter. The length of the initial unit period is given in the model point data file.

Future premiums payable are projected in a similar way to those in the accumulated unit fund, except that the bonus rate applicable is (1.875)% p.a.

Also, the deduction of asset share proportion of premiums is calculated in a similar way to that for the accumulated unit fund, except that the management charge is 6.75% p.a.

(ii) The implied put options and hence cost of underlying guarantees are valued using the Black-Scholes formula:

$$p = xe^{-rt}\Phi(-d_2) - s\Phi(-d_1)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log(s/x) + (r + \sigma^2/2)t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma \sqrt{t}$$

and

x is the expected guaranteed benefit as described in paragraph 6 (4) (b) (i)

r is the risk free rate taken from the gilt yield curve plus 10 basis points t is the term in years until maturity

s is the current asset share, plus the present value of the proportion of future premiums that are fed into asset share as described in paragraph 6 (4) (b) (i)

 σ is the (constant) volatility of the asset portfolio assuming 50% equities and 50% bonds

σ is derived using the formula:

- = (equity proportion) x (equity volatility), since bond volatility is assumed to be zero
 - $=50\% \times 0.334$
 - = 16.70%

The equity volatility is taken from the LIBOR market model, with 15 years considered as an appropriate outstanding term to maturity.

The value of the put option is multiplied by the following factor to allow for future surrenders

(1 - surrender rate) (outstanding term)

Surrender rates are shown in paragraph 6 (6). A zero mortality assumption is used in the calculation.

The table below is based on the Black-Scholes formula described above. There are no swaptions or property held by the 100% With-Profits Fund so some of the entries in the table are not applicable. \equiv

L	L	Asset type (all UK assets)	K=0.75				K=1				K=1.5		
L	_		5	15	25	35	5	15	25	35	5	15	25
<u> </u>	_	Annualised compound equivalent of the risk	2.87%	4.13%	4.07%	3.81%	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
		free rate assumed for the period. (to two											
		decimal places)									,		
_		Risk-free zero coupon bond	868,035	544,870	368,792	269,868	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
N		FTSE All Share Index (p=1)	153,061	295,921	375,224	445,094	287,434	459,173	556,465	638,815	649,079	832,871	955,038
ო	L	FTSE All Share Index (p=0.8)	143,648	245,759	285,557	320,150	270,861	383,028	425,573	462,046	615,351	700,701	737,414
4		Property (p=1)	123,493	269,428	371,398	447,185	258,759	439,132	560,662	648,129	624,379	830,039	972,388
w		Property (p=0.8)	114,115	217,841	277,146	317,478	241,975	360,059	424,100	464,877	590,515	692,070	748,145
ဖ		15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=1)	19,868	24,059	17,073	23,837	90,037	87,262	85,733	129,684	500,336	498,794	506,505
7		15 year risk free zero coupon bond (p=0.8)	16,919	14,629	7,345	7,772	78,065	50,434	26,895	28,592	459,000	331,384	257,037
8		15 year risk free bonds (p=1)	27,467	42,315	44,128	298'89	108,812	126,747	131,872	160,599	491,073	480,026	490,368
တ		15 year risk free bonds (p=0.8)	23,659	26,398	19,494	22,745	96,068	80,843	63,336	65,495	451,816	336,026	271,504
9		Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	94,915	213,006	288,728	354,248	219,187	363,859	459,787	537,700	584,363	732,077	843,475
		property (p=1)											
11		Portfolio of 65% FTSE All Share and 35%	86,985	168,479	207,162	240,366	203,201	292,638	335,620	370,003	549,803	599,896	632,328
		property (p=0.8)											
12		Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	81,354	180,992	239,881	298,331	198,680	320,835	395,414	466,678	567,614	677,294	765,784
		risk free zero coupon bonds (p=1)											
13		Portfolio of 65% equity and 35% 15 year	73,884	140,634	168,490	194,677	183,218	254,175	281,673	312,693	532,243	547,230	559,484
		risk free zero coupon bonds (p=0.8)											
14		Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	42,193	106,696	151,437	199,922	145,354	231,106	290,389	352,249	525,589	588,340	654,070
		22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds											
\perp		and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds (p=1)											
5		Portfolio of 40% equity, 15% property,	36,685	74,397	93,661	114,038	130,665	169,750	187,482	212,418	488,556	453,978	449,003
		22.5% 15 year risk free zero coupon bonds											
		and 22.5% 15 year corporate bonds		٠									
	_	(b=0.8)											
	1											1	
				L=15	5			[=]	L=20			L=25	
9		Receiver swaptions	8.18%	10.88%	%86'6	%02'2	11.58%	13.64%	12.24%	9.25%	14.61%	16.11%	14.03%

- (iv) UK initial equity yield: 5.95%. There is no property in this fund and no significant territories other than the UK.
- (v) The following table shows the outstanding guarantees analysed by outstanding term.

Outstanding term	Guaranteed benefit
(years)	£m
1-5	11
6-10	7
11-15	8
16-20	7
21-25	5
26-30	1

(c) Not applicable

(5) Management Actions

We do not assume any specific management actions take place during the projection of assets and liabilities used to determine costs in paragraph 4 (b).

(6) Persistency Assumptions

The surrender and paid-up assumptions are:

Product		Average surrender / paid-up rate			
		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20
CWP savings endowment	Surrender	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CWP target cash endowment	Surrender	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UWP savings endowment	Surrender	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UWP target cash endowment	Surrender	. n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UWP bond	Surrender	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UWP bond	Automatic	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	withdrawals				
CWP pension regular premium	PUP	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CWP pension regular premium	Surrender	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CWP pension single premium	Surrender	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UWP individual pension regular premium	PUP	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
UWP individual pension regular premium	Surrender	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
UWP individual pension single premium	Surrender	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%

(7) Policyholders' Actions

No such assumptions were made.

7. FINANCING COSTS

There are no financing arrangements.

8. OTHER LONG-TERM INSURANCE LIABILITIES

The amount shown in Line 47 of Form 19 is made up as follows:

	£m
Potential future tax liabilities	4.8
Total	4.8

This total of these additional reserves is the value in line 47 of Form 19. Line 46 is zero.

9. REALISTIC CURRENT LIABILITIES

The realistic current liabilities are set equal to the regulatory current liabilities.

10. RISK CAPITAL MARGIN

- (a) The risk capital margin is nil.
 - (i) The market risk scenario assumes that equities fall by 20% and real estate falls by 12.5%. The equity fall and the property fall were the more onerous scenarios.
 - (ii) The nominal change in yields for fixed interest securities for the purpose of the market risk scenario is 0.66%. This is consistent with a rise or fall of 17.5% in the long term gilt yield. An increase in yields is the more onerous scenario.
 - (iii) The average change in spread is 0.87%. Changes in market values are:
 - (a) (5.47)% for bonds
 - (b) not applicable
 - (c) not applicable
 - (d) not applicable
 - (e) not applicable
 - (iv) The average change in persistency experience is a 32.5% reduction in future lapse and paid-up rates. The overall percentage change in the realistic value of liabilities from applying the persistency stress is (1.06)%.
 - (v) The change in asset value in (iii) is materially independent of the change in liability values in (iv).
- (b) (i) In the stress scenarios an assumption is made that:
 - Terminal bonus rates are changed such that the revised estate is extinguished.
 - (ii) Under the most onerous stress, the risk capital margin is reduced by £11.0m by changing the terminal bonus rates.

- (iii) Not applicable.
- (iv) The requirements of INSPRU 1.3.188(R) would be met if the actions described in paragraph 10 (b) (i) were integrated into the projection of assets and liabilities.
- (c) (i) The risk capital margin is covered by the assets of the long-term fund.
 - (ii) The scheme for the funds merger as at 31 December 2006 includes a provision that in the event that the value of the assets of any with-profits fund falls below the regulatory minimum, support will be provided to that fund by way of a loan arrangement from the Non Profit Fund or the Shareholders' Fund to the extent that the Board determines there are assets in those funds available to make such a loan.

11. TAX

Tax on assets backing the with-profits benefits reserve for BLAGAB business is charged to those asset shares approximately and allowance is made for relief on expenses.

Tax on any future policy related liabilities for BLAGAB business is allowed for in determining those liabilities.

12. DERIVATIVES

There are no major positions of derivative contracts held in the Fund.

13. ANALYSIS OF WORKING CAPITAL

The movement in working capital over the twelve months to the valuation date is shown in the following table.

	£m
Opening working capital	0.0
Write back planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	172.3
Revised opening working capital	172.3
Opening adjustments	(0.4)
Restated opening working capital	171.8
Investment return on surplus	7.8
Mismatch profits and losses	(33.8)
Assumption changes	
- Non-economic	0.0
- Economic	0.0
- Policyholder actions	0.0
Impact of new business	0.0
Other Variances	i
- Claim payouts above asset share	(31.6)
- Surrender profit	(0.7)
- Change in provisions	(1.6)
- Unexplained	(0.5)
Closing working capital before zeroisation	111.4
Planned benefit enhancements to zeroise working capital	111.4
Closing working capital	0.0

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 47 of Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

2m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Potential future tax charges	4.8	8.3
Other	0.0	1.4
Total	4.8	9.7

The following table shows a breakdown of the liabilities shown on line 51 of Form 19 at the start and end of the year:

£m	Current Valuation	Previous Valuation
Claims outstanding	6.1	20.0
Deferred tax provision	0.0	1.9
Provisions - Other risk and charges	0.1	0.0
Creditors - Direct insurance business	0.1	0.0
Creditors taxation	1.7	9.0
Creditors other	11.3	0.0
Total	19.4	30.9

14. OPTIONAL DISCLOSURE

None made.